

| BRIEF TITLE | APPROVAL DEADLINE | REASON |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Interlocal Agreement | | |
| | | |

DETAILS

POSITIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between the City of Lincoln and the City of Fremont, City of Papillion, Loup River Public Power District, Lower Platte South NRD, Metropolitan Utilities District, Nebraska Public Power District, North Loup Public Power and Irrigation District, Omaha Public Power District, The Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District, and Twin Loup Reclamation District, as proponents of Sound Science for Lower Platte River Policy Decisions, for the comprehensive review of the Biological Opinion of the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission in the Lower Platte River, and for the submission of comments at public hearings, meetings and gatherings.</p> | Sponsor | Law Department |
| | Program Departments, or Groups Affected | Water NRDs |
| | Applicants/Proponents | Applicant City of Lincoln City Department Other |
| | Opponents | Groups or Individuals Basis of Opposition |
| | Staff Recommendations | X <input type="checkbox"/> For <input type="checkbox"/> Against Reason Against |
| <p>Discussion (Including Relationship to other Council Actions)</p> <p>A group of cities, NRDs, power districts, and irrigation districts would like to join together for the purpose of evaluating the recent Nebraska Game And Parks Biological Opinion. The Biological Opinion indicates that the remaining flows in the lower Platte river, which the City of Lincoln has located wellfields along, should be preserved for three endangered species found along the river. Those species are the Least Tern, the Piping Plover and the Pallid Sturgeon. The preservation of such flows would effectively fully appropriate the lower Platte. While the preservation of flows would enhance the City of Lincoln’s existing water rights, it may have a deleterious effect on future municipal water rights applications. The group would propose to jointly engage professional help to conduct an evaluation of the Biological opinion. Any of the parties to the agreement can terminate their participation at any time pursuant to the provisions of the agreement. The initial financial participation of members has been estimated at \$5000 each. The costs of the effort can not be absolutely determined until after a proposal for services is received. The group has not taken any position as to the accuracy of the Biological Opinion and would not do so until after an evaluation had been conducted.</p> | Board or Commission Recommendation | BY <input type="checkbox"/> For <input type="checkbox"/> Against <input type="checkbox"/> No Action Taken <input type="checkbox"/> For with revisions or conditions (See Details column for conditions) |
| | CITY COUNCIL ACTIONS (For Council Use Only) | <input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Pass (As Amended) <input type="checkbox"/> Council Sub. <input type="checkbox"/> Without Recommendation <input type="checkbox"/> Hold <input type="checkbox"/> Do not Pass |

DETAILS

POLICY/PROGRAM IMPACT

| | | |
|------------------------|---|---|
| | POLICY OR PROGRAM CHANGE | <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> |
| | OPERATIONAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> |
| | FINANCES | |
| | COST AND REVENUE PROJECTIONS | COST of total project: COST of this Ordinance/ Resolution \$ |
| | | RELATED annual operating Costs \$ |
| | | INCREASE REVENUE EXPECTED/YEAR \$ |
| SOURCE OF FUNDS | CITY [Approximately] <hr/> \$ % <hr/> <hr/> \$ % <hr/> <hr/> \$ % <hr/> | |
| | NON CITY [Approximately] <hr/> \$ % <hr/> <hr/> \$ % <hr/> <hr/> \$ % <hr/> | |
| | BENEFIT COST | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Front Foot Average Assessment | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Square Foot \$ _____ | \$ _____ |

APPLICABLE DATES:

FACT SHEET PREPARED BY:

REVIEW BY: Steve Huggenberger, Assistant City Attorney

REFERENCE NUMBER