

**THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING HELD  
MONDAY, JUNE 12, 2000 AT 1:30 P.M.**

The Meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m. Present: Council Chairperson Seng; Council Members: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Shoecraft; Paul A. Malzer, Jr., City Clerk;

The Council stood for a moment of silent meditation.

**READING OF THE MINUTES**

MCROY Having been appointed to read the minutes of the City Council proceedings of June 5, 2000, reported having done so, found same correct.

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

APP. OF B & R STORES, INC. DBA SUPER SAVER FOR A LIQUOR CATERING LICENSE AT 233 N. 48TH ST. - Tom Nunn, 7320 S. 32nd St., took oath & came forward to answer any questions.

This matter was taken under advisement.

APP. OF GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY FOR A RETAIL CLASS I LIQUOR LICENSE AT 5100 N. 27TH ST.;

MAN. APP. OF SEAN STEVENS FOR GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY AT 5100 N. 27TH ST.;

APP. OF GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY FOR A RETAIL CLASS C LIQUOR LICENSE AT 6891 A ST.;

MAN. APP. OF SEAN STEVENS FOR GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY AT 6891 A ST. - Sean Stevens, 7221 Pioneers Blvd., too oath & came forward to answer any questions.

This matter was taken under advisement.

AMENDING TITLE 5 OF THE LMC BY ADDING A NEW CHAPTER 5.17 PERTAINING TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS PROVIDERS USING THE CITY'S RIGHTS-OF-WAY TO ESTABLISH DEFINITIONS, STANDARDS, & PERMIT FEES FOR THE USE OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY; TO PROVIDE FOR INSURANCE, BONDING, & CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES LOCATED IN RIGHTS-OF-WAY; TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR REVIEWS OF DECISIONS REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES; & TO PROVIDE FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE (6/5/00 - CONT'D 3RD READING W/PUB. HEARING ON ORD. & AMENDMENTS TO 6/12/00) - Jon Carlson, Pres. of Near South Neighborhood Assoc., no address given: I come before you today supporting the proposal &, specifically, Council Member Cook's amendment or some other appropriate language that would establish a policy of overhead line burial. Hopefully, we can decide two things today. Do we want to continue Lincoln's tradition of smart community choices & will the utility & telecommunications providers be willing to help draft language that will provide a cost efficient mechanism for implementing that vision. Lincoln has a history of making choices that are community-based. We're a growing city but are blessed to still consider ourselves philosophically & legally one community, one big neighborhood, if you will. City government continues to make decisions based on what's best for the City as whole. Lincoln also enjoys a tradition of making smart choices. An example of this is our policy on line burial in the new development areas. In Lincoln, as in cities across the country, we've made the decision that burying all the lines in the new development areas is the smarter, safer policy choice. The areas with buried lines benefit greatly from that policy & we need to look no farther than the Oct. 1997 snowstorm to verify the wisdom of that policy choice. Those areas with the buried lines suffered little or no loss of service. The parts of the community not benefitted by that policy lost service for up to 5 or even 10 days. This is particularly disturbing when you

**REGULAR MEETING**

**JUNE 12, 2000**

**PAGE 84**

consider that a high proportion of our elderly & special needs neighbors live in these areas. These are people where loss of power or communications can quickly become life threatening. That leads to two questions. What can we do to provide a higher level of protection to the citizens in our established areas &, importantly, how should that policy be implemented? The Near South Neighborhood Assoc. believes that the ultimate community goal should be, at some point, to bury lines in all parts of the City. This is the smarter, safer choice. This is how a city with Lincoln's enviable quality of life should want to proceed. Now, that said, I'm not going to stand before you & suggest that you can waive your legislative magic wand & all the lines will be buried tomorrow. Obviously even the smartest choice can become dumb when it's cost-prohibitive or unworkable. Everyone in the community is interested in providing the highest level of service to all citizens. The reality, of course, is that we have to make choices based on our available resources. We must not make unrealistic demands on our business community including the utility & telecommunication providers & here, again, historically, we've never had to. Lincoln's providers time & again have stepped up to provide answers & resources that reinforce their positions as responsible members of our community & Lincoln citizens have been willing to step up & pay for solutions that provide real value for their tax dollars & utility bills.

When we choose to have lines buried in new development areas, we also choose to pay for that decision in the form of our increased rates whether we live in those areas or not. What Lincoln needs is a policy that will take us in the direction of City-wide line burial. Not over night, maybe not in 10 yrs., maybe not in 20, but step by step, over time. The policy needn't be burdensome to either the customer or the provider. Certainly, it seems to me, that language could be written to enable the lines to be buried at a rate that will not be cost prohibitive. And just as certainly, Lincolnites again will be willing to share in those costs as we currently do in the new areas. We need a policy to bury the lines in the right-of-way that is somehow similar to the current house policy. If you update the electrical service in your home, as my wife Jenny & I did last year, all the lines can be buried underground at once. As the owners of the property, why would we choose to have our land trenched again & again to bury separate lines? Obviously we wouldn't & we didn't. When the trench was open, we buried all the lines. When the opportunity exists to do something smart & efficient, we did it. And that's what Lincoln needs to do. House by house, street by street, step by step, each step taking us closer to our end goal. We know that line burial is the smarter path, a path that provides Lincoln citizens with a safer, higher quality of life. Safer for the traffic, less damaging to trees, fewer outages due to storms & squirrels & greatly reduce down times. Let's choose today to begin down that path. Near South Neighborhood Assoc. is very interested in working with the providers & with the City to establish some sort of policy on overhead lines. I'm sure that together we can continue Lincoln's quality progression towards the future. Appreciate your attention & we would support placing the right-of-way ordinance on Pending to allow further discussions to see if we can up with some kind of a policy on those overhead lines.

Mike Morosin, 2055 "S" St., Past President of Malone Neighborhood Assoc.: In the snowstorm, our neighborhood took a big hit. Many of the people in the neighborhood, elderly & that, were without power. Some of us had to string electrical cords almost 200' to some of the houses & that to at least keep some type of heat going. We have two projects coming up in our neighborhood. Of course, Antelope Valley is a number of neighborhoods & the Vine Street project. So, I think if we could take a look at both those projects, especially Vine St., when we widen Vine St., to bury some of those lines. And then with Antelope Valley along there, there's two projects that we could test there a little bit on what the cost factors of putting it in & getting the people to the table. So, we, in the Malone Neighborhood, support the burying of the lines because I think it'd be very beneficial & when we do have these catastrophic storms, more & more people won't be without heat.

Peter Katt, 1045 Lincoln Mall, Ste. 200, representing TimeWarner:

TimeWarner is not opposed to the entire ordinance & it does not directly affect them at this time but with the proposed amendment & I don't know what exactly we're referring...how we refer to the individual amendments but the changes that would effectively mandate all overhead utilities going underground, that particular amendment TimeWarner is opposed to. This proposed amendment was not in the original proposal. That original proposal had a lot of involvement of your staff in, industry officials, in arriving at what was in there & there was a number of compromises & other positions taken that eventually got it to you in the form that it currently is in front of the City Council. In general, the original proposal provides that where practical the facilities are to be placed underground which is very much the same case of industry cooperation that we have today without this particular ordinance. In fact, the current proposal contemplates this cooperation in its section 5.17.320 in that it provides for mutual planning of all of the industries that will locate.

That is the way that this process should proceed. It should be on a case by case basis, as suggested by Mr. Carlson, not by creating a mandate that under all circumstances, all of these overhead utility facilities should go underground. It should not be mandatory unless all decide to go underground. Which, again, is the language in the current proposal. In the purpose of your ordinance, there are no provisions in your ordinance language that talk about one of the purposes of your ordinance being for making it pretty or making it aesthetically pleasing. And it's my understanding, that's the primary purpose for wanting to place these facilities underground. Rather, the purpose sections are intended to "promote competition", "encourage advanced competitive telecommunications facilities". Understand that these provisions, to the extent these facilities are forced to go underground, become a cost that is not incurred by the wireless providers of these types of services. And so, it would have a negative impact on competition. Specifically, just so that this can be placed in context with regard to one specific project that's currently underground & that we're not just talking about pocket change.

Take, for instance, the UNL Parking Garage that's currently planned for construction on campus. There's a need to relocate existing facilities in an alley that's going to be vacated, about a half stretch of alley. The cost to LES of relocating their facilities out of that half block alley about \$200,000 plus. The cost of the cable services to be relocated about \$50,000. And when the University found out about that number, they also asked about what it would cost to relocate it not just out of that half alley but also as it relates to the 17th St. right-of-way & for a few additional blocks in that area, the cost rapidly escalates then to \$225,000 for that half of the alley plus 17th Street. We would encourage the City to continue its informal policy that it has. That we try to address those situations where these facilities should be placed underground on a case by case, as needed, basis.

Gary Reiber, representing Alltel Comms., 1440 M St.: We share many of the same concerns you've heard today. And it's my understanding that it's possible we'll have an opportunity to express those concerns with other industry members & City officials & look forward to doing that at that time.

Coleen Seng, Council Member: I think we had a letter from Terry Bundy from LES asking us to put this on Pending for at least two weeks there, I believe he said. But I guess I'd like to encourage us to put it on pending & do some more communication with both our private sector & our public sector & see if there's any kind of compromise we can work out. Since I was one of those people that lost power last time, I've always been kind of interested in exploring this & I'm not ready to put an addition on my house to do anything major but I would really like to have us take a little longer at this & take a look at it & I wish we had the plan laid out, something like Jon Carlson was talking about, & then as the opportunities arise, we can go ahead & work on it. I think it's Law Dept. that probably has to pull this all together. Am I correct? You've been doing this so...that's kind of what...I'd like to have it put on pending & longer time & I'll move that unless someone else wants to make...do some talking about it.

**REGULAR MEETING**

**JUNE 12, 2000**

**PAGE 86**

Jerry Shoecraft, Council Chair: Jonathan, any comments?

Jonathan Cook, Council Member: I just have...I'll second that, that we just put it on Pending & that we'll decide the appropriateness of a public hearing when we take it off Pending. Yeah, I just want to note, the Law Dept. has been doing some research as to what right-of-way ordinances say in a number of other cities & (inaudible) reflect the kind of language that I was interested in having in this language such as whenever an electric utility opens a trench for the purposes of installing or relocating facilities, all communication system operators shall concurrently relocate their facilities underground & if they use the same trench, share the cost. Another provision allowing them to order all underground facilities, this is Tacoma, Washington, being the intent, that the number & extent of overhead facilities & the visual pollution resulting therefrom will, over time, be reduced & eventually, to the extent feasible, eliminated. It's a pretty aggressive policy. But, obviously, these are things other cities have done, I've been looking at, &, you know, we need to see what's appropriate for Lincoln, of course., but I'd like to sit down with LES. They've been brought into this. I know they had some concerns about whether the language would apply to them. Of course it wouldn't but they need to be a partner in any efforts of this type & so I'd like to sit down with LES & the cable company, with Alltel, & with neighborhood representatives. I know there's some here today that might have an interest in that so that we get a broad view & maybe we can come up with some compromise language that would be appropriate.

Mr. Shoecraft: Okay. So, there's a motion to place it on Pending. There's a second, Paul?

Clerk: Yes, there is.

Mr. Shoecraft: Please call for that vote.

Motion carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

This matter was taken under advisement.

**ORDINANCES - 3RD READING**

AMENDING TITLE 5 OF THE LMC BY ADDING A NEW CHAPTER 5.17 PERTAINING TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS PROVIDERS USING THE CITY'S RIGHTS-OF-WAY TO ESTABLISH DEFINITIONS, STANDARDS, & PERMIT FEES FOR THE USE OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY; TO PROVIDE FOR INSURANCE, BONDING, & CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES LOCATED IN RIGHTS-OF-WAY; TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR REVIEWS OF DECISIONS REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES; & TO PROVIDE FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE (6/5/00 - CONT'D 3RD READING W/PUB. HEARING ON ORD. & AMENDMENTS TO 6/12/00) - PRIOR to reading:

SENG Moved to place Bill 00-100 on Pending.

Seconded by Cook & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

CLERK Read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, amending Title 5 of the LMC by adding a new Chapter 5.17 pertaining to telecommunications providers using the City's right-of-way to establish definitions, standards, & permit fees for the use of right-of-way; to provide for insurance, bonding & construction standards for telecommunications facilities located in the right-of-way; to establish procedures for reviews of decisions regarding telecommunications facilities; & to provide for enforcement of this ordinance, the third time.

ADOPTING A REVISED EMPLOYEE'S RETIREMENT PLAN TO ELIMINATE OUTDATED, OBSOLETE LANGUAGE; TO CHANGE PROVISIONS REGARDING EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS; TO PROVIDE FOR PRE-TAX CONTRIBUTIONS; TO CHANGE THE VESTING SCHEDULE; TO ELIMINATE PROVISIONS RELATING TO FORFEITURES OF CITY CONTRIBUTIONS; TO CHANGE PROVISIONS REGARDING RE-PARTICIPATION IN THE RETIREMENT PLAN AFTER A BREAK IN SERVICE WITH THE CITY; & TO CHANGE PROVISIONS RELATING TO EARLY RETIREMENT - PRIOR to reading:

CAMP Moved to amend Bill 00-101 in the following manner: On page 1, line

2, delete the phrase "to change provisions regarding employee contributions".

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

SENG Moved to accept a Substitute Agreement.

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

CLERK Read an ordinance, introduced by Jerry Shoecraft, adopting a revised version of the Employee's Retirement Plan to eliminate outdated, obsolete language; ~~to change provisions regarding employee contributions;~~ to provide for pre-tax contributions; to change the vesting schedule; to eliminate provisions relating to forfeitures of City contributions; to change provisions regarding re-participation on the retirement plan after a break in service with the City; to change provisions relating to early retirement; and repealing Ordinance No. 16055 as hitherto existing, the third time.

SENG Moved to pass the ordinance as amended.

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: Camp.

The ordinance, being numbered **17685**, is recorded in Ordinance Book 24, Page

**PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS**

UNL MICROBIOLOGIST REPORT OF WATER TESTED FOR THE MONTH OF MAY, 2000 - CLERK presented said report which was placed on file in the Office of the City Clerk. (35-01)

**REGULAR MEETING**

**JUNE 12, 2000**

**PAGE 88**

TWO FORMAL PAVING PETITIONS FOR PAVING DIST. 2617 IN 73RD ST., CUMING TO THURSTON, SUBMITTED BY C. MAYA MCCARRON & SHERYL TESLOW - CLERK presented said petition which was referred to the Public Works Dept.

PETITION TO VACATE PUBLIC WAY IN W. PINE LAKE RD. ABUTTING LAZY ACRES SUBDIVISION SUBMITTED BY ROGER & DOROTHY SCHMIDT - CLERK presented said petition which was referred to the Law Dept.

PETITION TO VACATE PUBLIC WAY IN S. 16TH ST. FROM THE SOUTH LINE OF OUTLOT Ad@, VAVRINA MEADOWS ADD. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID ADDITION SUBMITTED BY R. C. KRUEGER DEVELOPMENT CO. - CLERK presented said petition which was referred to the Law Dept.

**REPORTS TO CITY OFFICERS**

CLERK'S LETTER & MAYOR'S APPROVAL OF ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS PASSED ON MAY 30, 2000 - CLERK presented said report which was placed on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

INVESTMENT OF FUNDS - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Jon Camp, who moved its adoption:

A-80233 BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the attached list of investments be confirmed & approved, & the City Treasurer is hereby directed to hold said investments until maturity unless otherwise directed by the City Council. (Investments beginning 06/2/00)

Introduced by Jon Camp

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

REPORTS FROM CITY TREASURER OF TELECOMMS. OCC. TAX DUE FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2000 FROM GLOBAL CROSSING TELECOMMS. & MCI TELECOMMS. - CLERK presented said reports which were placed on file in the Office of the City Clerk. (20)

ACCEPTING THE REPORT OF NEW & PENDING CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY & APPROVING DISPOSITION OF CLAIMS SET FORTH THEREIN FOR THE PERIOD OF MAY 16-31, 2000 - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Jon Camp, who moved its adoption:

A-80232 BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the claims listed in the attached report, marked as Exhibit "A", dated June 1, 2000, of various new and pending tort claims filed against the City of Lincoln with the Office of the City Attorney or the Office of the City Clerk, as well as claims which have been disposed of, are hereby received as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. ' 13-905 (Reissue 1997). The dispositions of claims by the Office of the City Attorney, as shown by the attached report, are hereby approved:

<u>DENIED</u>		<u>ALLOWED</u>	
Bill Parminter	NAS*	Robert Lott	\$12,571.50
Georgeen Transue	NAS*	Margaret Nehring	450.00
		George & Pat Westendorf	1,600.00

\*No Amount Specified

The City Attorney is hereby directed to mail to the various claimants listed herein a copy of this resolution which shows the final disposition of their claim.

Introduced by Jon Camp

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

**OTHER RESOLUTIONS**

APP. OF B & R STORES, INC. DBA SUPER SAVER FOR A LIQUOR CATERING LICENSE AT 233

N. 48TH ST. - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Cindy Johnson, who moved its adoption for approval:

A-80227 BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska: That after hearing duly had as required by law, consideration of the facts of this application, the Nebraska Liquor Control Act, and the pertinent City ordinance, the City Council recommends that the application of B & R Stores, Inc. dba Super Saver for the issuance of a Catering Permit to the existing liquor license, located at 233 North 48th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, be approved with the condition that the premise complies in every respect with all city and state regulations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be transmitted by the City Clerk to the Nebraska Liquor Control Commission.

Introduced by Cindy Johnson

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

APP. OF GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY FOR A RETAIL CLASS I LIQUOR LICENSE AT 5100 N. 27TH ST. - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Cindy Johnson, who moved its adoption for approval:

A-80228 BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska: That after hearing duly had as required by law, consideration of the facts of this application, the Nebraska Liquor Control Act, and the pertinent City ordinances, the City Council recommends that the application of Garden Enterprises II L.L.C. dba AGarden Café & Bakery@ for a Class AI@ liquor license at 5100 North 27th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, for the license period ending April 30, 2001, be approved with the condition that the premise complies in every respect with all city and state regulations. The City Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Nebraska Liquor Control Commission.

Introduced by Cindy Johnson

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

MAN. APP. OF SEAN STEVENS FOR GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY AT 5100 N. 27TH ST. - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Cindy Johnson, who moved its adoption for approval:

A-80229 WHEREAS, Garden Enterprises II L.L.C. dba AGarden Café & Bakery@ located at 5100 North 27th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska has been approved for a Retail Class "I" liquor license, and now requests that Sean Stevens be named manager;

WHEREAS, Sean Stevens appears to be a fit and proper person to manage said business.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That after hearing duly had as required by law, consideration of the facts of this application, the Nebraska Liquor Control Act, and the pertinent City ordinances, the City Council recommends that Sean Stevens be approved as manager of this business for said licensee. The City Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Nebraska Liquor Control Commission.

Introduced by Cindy Johnson

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

APP. OF GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY FOR A RETAIL CLASS C LIQUOR LICENSE AT 6891 A ST. - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by Cindy Johnson, who moved its adoption for approval:

A-80230 BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska: That after hearing duly had as required by law, consideration of the facts of this application, the Nebraska Liquor Control Act, and the pertinent City ordinances, the City Council recommends that the application of Garden Enterprises II L.L.C. dba AGarden Café & Bakery@ for a Class AI@ liquor license at 6891 A Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, for the license period ending April 30, 2001, be approved with the condition that

**REGULAR MEETING**

**JUNE 12, 2000**

**PAGE 90**

the premise complies in every respect with all city and state regulations.  
The City Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the  
Nebraska Liquor Control Commission.

Introduced by Cindy Johnson

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook,  
Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

MAN. APP. OF SEAN STEVENS FOR GARDEN ENTERPRISES II LLC DBA GARDEN CAFÉ & BAKERY  
AT 6891 A ST. - CLERK read the following resolution, introduced by

Cindy Johnson, who moved its adoption for approval:

A-80231 WHEREAS, Garden Enterprises II L.L.C. dba AGarden Café & Bakery@  
located at 6891 A Street, Lincoln, Nebraska has been approved for a  
Retail Class "I" liquor license, and now requests that Sean Stevens be  
named manager;

WHEREAS, Sean Stevens appears to be a fit and proper person to manage said business.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That after hearing duly had as required by law, consideration of the facts of this application, the Nebraska Liquor Control Act, and the pertinent City ordinances, the City Council recommends that Sean Stevens be approved as manager of this business for said licensee. The City Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Nebraska Liquor Control Commission.

Introduced by Cindy Johnson

Seconded by Seng & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

**ORDINANCES - 1ST & 2ND READING**

CREATING ALLEY REPAVING DIST. 47 IN THE EAST/WEST ALLEY FROM 14TH ST. TO

CENTENNIAL MALL, BETWEEN P & Q STS. - CLERK read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, creating Alley Repaving Dist. 47, defining the limits thereof, establishing the width of the alley roadway to be repaved, providing for the payment of the cost thereof, designating the property to be benefited, providing for the acquisition of easements & additional right-of-way, if necessary, & repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, the first time.

RENAMING "WILDFLOWER DR." LOCATED IN THE NORTHRIDGE HEIGHTS 6TH & 7TH ADDS. AS

"CORNFLOWER DR.", & RENAMING "CYPRESS LN." LOCATED IN NORTHRIDGE HEIGHTS 6TH ADD. AS "WATERCRESS LN." - CLERK read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, changing the name of Wildflower Dr. to Cornflower Dr. located in the Northridge Heights 6th & 7th Adds., & changing the name of Cypress Ln. to Watercress Ln. in Northridge Heights 6th Add., as recommended by the Street Name Committee, the first time.

VACATING TIMOTHY CT. EAST OF N. 24TH ST. BETWEEN SUPERIOR ST. & DODGE ST. - CLERK

read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, vacating a portion of Timothy Ct. east off of N. 24th St. between Superior St. & Dodge St., & retaining title thereto in the City of Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, the first time.

VACATING LOTS 36, 37, 38, & 39, BLOCK 1, NORTHRIDGE HEIGHTS 6TH ADD., & OUTLOT

A, NORTHRIDGE HEIGHTS 7TH ADD., GENERALLY LOCATED AT PRAIRIEVIEW DR. & N. 34TH ST. - CLERK read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, vacating a portion of Northridge Heights 6th Add. & Northridge Heights 7th Add., Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, the first time.

CHANGE OF ZONE 3257 - APP. OF THE PLANNING DIRECTOR FOR A CHANGE FROM P PUBLIC

TO I-1 INDUSTRIAL ON PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED AT 6TH & THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD - CLERK read an ordinance, introduced by Jon Camp, amending the Lincoln Zoning Dist. Maps attached to & made a part of Title 27 of the LMC, as provided by Sec. 27.05.020 of the LMC, by changing the boundaries of the districts established & shown thereon, the first time.

**MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS**

**PENDING LIST -**

APPROVING & SUPPORTING THE REPORT & RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LANCASTER COUNTY

MEDICAL SOCIETY CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "INDEPENDENT MEDICAL OVERSIGHT FOR PRE-HOSPITAL MEDICAL CARE" WHICH RECOMMENDS AN EMERGENCY & NON-EMERGENCY MEDICAL SYSTEM FOR THE CITY. (4/24/00 - Placed on Pending until a Provider is picked):

JOHNSON Moved to remove Bill 00R-126 from Pending for action on 6/19/00.

**REGULAR MEETING**

**JUNE 12, 2000**

**PAGE 92**

Seconded by Camp & **LOST** by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Fortenberry, Johnson; NAYS: Cook, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft.

CAMP Moved to extend the Pending List for 1 week.

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

**UPCOMING RESOLUTIONS**

CAMP Moved to approve the resolutions to have Public Hearing on June 19, 2000.

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

**ADJOURNMENT**

**2:45 P.M.**

CAMP Moved to adjourn the City Council Meeting of June 12, 2000.

Seconded by Johnson & carried by the following vote: AYES: Camp, Cook, Fortenberry, Johnson, McRoy, Seng, Shoecraft; NAYS: None.

So ordered.

---

Paul A. Malzer, Jr., City Clerk

---

Teresa J. Meier-Brock, Office Assistant III