

Dana W Roper/Notes  
02/22/2007 01:10 PM

To Trish J Babb/Notes@Notes  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: Hollon Claim

----- Forwarded by Dana W Roper/Notes on 02/22/2007 01:09 PM -----



Harry B Kroos/Notes  
02/21/2007 02:30 PM

To Nicole Tooze/Notes@Notes  
cc Dana W Roper/Notes@Notes, Roger A Figard/Notes@Notes  
Subject Re: Hollon Claim

Nicole:

At locations where the street paving was not completed, the sidewalk was normally left short of the road, instead of having the sidewalk end in a roadside ditch. Unless someone called and identified a need for completion of the sidewalk after the paving was done, the sidewalk was left as it was before the paving was completed. Since the sidewalk is not complete in the west half of this block, the issue of competing the sidewalk at 40th Street was never recognized.

I also spoke with Larry Kathol at LES, and he explained that they have no records or evidence which demonstrates that the location of the hole was an LES pole. The existing street light pole was placed in 1968 and they have no additional work orders or records for this corner. I also checked with Al Schroeder with Windstream, and there was never any poles for telephone along Baldwin Avenue. The telephone is located in the alley 1/2 block to the north of 40th & Baldwin. In summary, we have exhausted our ability to determine ownership of the pole.

Harry Kroos  
Nicole Tooze/Notes



Nicole Tooze/Notes  
02/21/2007 11:44 AM

To Harry B Kroos/Notes@Notes  
cc Dana W Roper/Notes@Notes, Roger A Figard/Notes@Notes  
Subject Re: Hollon Claim

Hi, Harry. Just checking to see if you think the sidewalk was not required because the street was unpaved. Also Dana stopped in to see whether we had anything more on the pole ownership.

Many thanks, Nicole.  
Nicole Tooze/Notes



Nicole Tooze/Notes  
02/14/2007 07:45 PM

To Harry B Kroos/Notes@Notes  
cc Dana W Roper/Notes@Notes, Roger A Figard/Notes@Notes  
Subject Re: Hollon Claim

Harry, thanks for all the info so quickly. Does this mean that presumably the sidewalk was not required to be connected to the street because the street at the time was unpaved?

-----Harry B Kroos/Notes wrote: -----

To: Nicole Tooze/Notes@Notes  
From: Harry B Kroos/Notes  
Date: 02/14/2007 04:32PM  
cc: Dana W Roper/Notes@Notes, Roger A Figard/Notes@Notes  
Subject: Re: Hollon Claim

Nicole:

Records appear to indicate at the time the house and sidewalk were constructed at 3940 Baldwin Avenue in 1953, North 40th Street and Baldwin Avenue were not paved. Baldwin Avenue from 39th to 40th was paved in 1977, North 40th Street from Baldwin Avenue to Adams Street was paved in 1957 and Baldwin Avenue from North 40th Street to North 42nd Street including the intersection of 40th & Baldwin Avenue, was paved in 1966. When these paving districts were created and completed, completion of sidewalks to the curb was not included with the paving projects.

I am also following up to determine if there is any additional information on ownership of the pole. I'll let you know if I am able to gain any additional details.

Harry

cc: Nicole Tooze/Notes

**Nicole  
Tooze/Notes**

02/13/2007  
04:17 PM

To: Harry B Kroos/Notes@Notes

cc: Dana W Roper/Notes@Notes, Roger A  
Figard/Notes@Notes

Subject: Hollon Claim

Harry,

Yesterday, the City Council removed the Beth Hollon claim from the list of denied claims on the resolution. It will have continued public hearing and action on 2/26. This is the claim from the woman who allegedly fell in a hole in the ROW at 40th and Baldwin for which you provided information to the Law Dept. Dana is asking for the following additional info:

- 1) What is the reason why there is no sidewalk in this location?
- 2) Do you have any other suggestions on getting LES to further examine whether it could have been from one of their poles? (I know you talked to them and they indicated their records did not show it).

Thanks, Nicole.