



3rd Program Year -- FY 2015

Comprehensive **A**nnual **P**erformance & **E**valuation **R**eport

Prepared by:

City of Lincoln, Nebraska

Chris Beutler, Mayor

Urban Development Department

David Landis, Director

**Submitted to U.S. Housing & Urban
Development Department:**

November 28, 2016

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

All housing goals and objectives are either on track to achieve or have achieved their five year goals. We recognize that there are several blank fields in this report, or correspond to an adjacent cell. We reviewed our 2013-17 consolidated plans and determined that this inclusion/error is a system error or hold over from a prior accepted consolidated plan submission. Next, all but one non-housing Community Development goals and objectives were met or exceeded. Within our 'Increase Neighborhood Livability' goal we are behind 7% of where we should be by the end of our reporting period. This is a NRSA goal and we report on the number of persons within the NASA. The department also assisted five non-homeless special needs projects this year. We began implementing a memorandum of understanding with the Lincoln Housing Authority to partner with them to begin a collaborative assessment of fair housing.

Lincoln's FY 2015 Continuum of Care (CoC) application added 25 beds for the Chronically Homeless, and achieved their five year goal. Regarding employment among the formerly homeless who exited projects; we achieved our FY15 employment goal, and are ahead in our efforts to attain our five year plan goal. The story is similar for connecting individuals with mainstream benefits. During the program year, 85% of homeless clients exited programs with more mainstream benefits than they were receiving prior to entry. Next, Lincoln's CoC agencies fell short of goals in the permanent housing category. We expected that 95% of our exiting clients would have permanent housing but realized only an 85% success rate. Lincoln has a very tight housing market with vacancy rates of 1% - 1.5%. This strong rental market in conjunction with our deep need for affordable housing for populations below the 50% AMI level is an impediment to fully achieving the measures success. Finally, Lincoln's CoC agencies did not meet its goal in the temporary housing category, but continues to show success at the established five year goal of 70%. The CoC Executive Committee meets on a biannual basis to specifically analyze data and progress on these goals, and will be focusing on ways to meet and exceed benchmarks in the coming months.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Administration	Admin	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Other	Other	100	60	60.00%	100	100	100.00%
Chronic Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	9	34	377.78%	2	25	1,250.00%
Direct Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	858		0	286	
Direct Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	500	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Direct Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	250	211	84.40%	50	63	126.00%
Direct Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	225	134	59.56%	45	44	97.78%
Employment - Homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	30	26	86.67%	25	35	140.00%
Employment - Low Income	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	70	45	64.29%	14	13	92.86%
Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	15000	15136	100.91%	3000	5565	185.50%
Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ ESG: \$	Other	Other	70	72	102.86%	87	63	72.41%
Increase Food Security	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	300	2362	787.33%	60	834	1,390.00%
Increase Housing Stock	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	30	32	106.67%	6	12	200.00%
Increase Neighborhood Livability	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5700	201098	3,528.04%	1140	8212	720.35%
Increase Neighborhood Livability	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	188485	100032	53.07%	37697	31405	83.31%
Mainstream Benefits	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	92	77	83.70%	70	85	121.43%
Permanent Housing	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	80	85	106.25%	95	87	91.58%
Promote Sustainable Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1200	13121	1,093.42%			
Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	5		0	3	
Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	385	233	60.52%	77	94	122.08%
Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	
Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$ ESG: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	
Support Non-Homeless Special Needs	Non-Homeless Special Needs		Other	Other	4	7	175.00%	1	5	500.00%
Transitional Housing	Homeless	HOME: \$25000 ESG: \$	Other	Other	70	63	90.00%	85	61	71.76%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Urban Development has a variety of housing programs using CDBG funds to meet our affordable housing goals. The Home Improvement Loan Program (HILP) uses CDBG funds to subsidize the interest rate to the borrower. Nebraska Investment Finance Authority (NIFA) works with the four lenders involved in the program to supply the non-federal loan funds. The program serves homeowners up to 80% of median income. The Deferred Payment Loan Program (DPL) (serves homeowners up to 80% of median income) is for more extensive housing rehabilitation in the Low- to Moderate-Income (LMI) Area. The Emergency Repair Program is limited to homeowners at 50% of median income but is available city-wide. The CDBG First Home Program assists home buyers at or below 80% AMI which do not fit the conventional bank profile with a first mortgage product.

Affordable housing is one of the highest priorities in Lincoln. As such, the majority of CDBG and HOME funds are used for housing related programs administered by the Housing Rehabilitation & Real Estate Division. Improving neighborhood livability is also a high priority and some CDB funding, administered by Community Development staff, is used for projects in low-to moderate income neighborhoods — such as park improvements and neighborhood commercial area streetscapes.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).
91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	4,957	242	1,599
Black or African American	965	46	755
Asian	570	18	27
American Indian or American Native	189	12	139
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15	0	11
Total	6,696	318	2,531
Hispanic	807	32	272
Not Hispanic	5,889	286	2,507

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

A total of 6696 families were assisted with CDBG. Of the total 4,957 or 74% were white, 965 or 14.4% were Black or African American and 570 or 8.5% were Asian. In regards to ethnicity, 807 or 12.1% identified themselves as Hispanic. Within HOME a total of 318 families were assisted. Of the total 242 or 76.1% were white, 46 or 14.5% were Black or African American, 18 or 5.7% were Asian, and 12 or 3.8% were American Indian or American Native. In regards to ethnicity, 32 or 10.1% identified themselves as Hispanic. Within ESG a total of 2,879 families were assisted. In the table above the total of the race table is not 2,879 but instead 2,531. IDIS does not request data on three additional fields that is reported in eCart. For clarity 347 families were mixed race, zero didn't know or refused to answer the question and 1 families had missing information. Of the total 1,599 or 55.54% were white, 755 or 26.22% were Black or African American, 27 or .93% were Asian, 139 or 4.82% were American Indian or American Native and the remaining 348 accounted for 12.08% . In regards to ethnicity, 272 or 9.44% identified themselves as Hispanic. Again, as a point of clarification the ethnicity table able above yields a sum total of 2,779; however, eCart states that 2,879 families were served. This error is accounted for in table 12b. The eCart total for the row 'a.Non-Hispanic/non-Latino' (i.e. cell A149 is noted at 2,507. However, when fields a,b,c and d (i.e. C149:F149) are added the total is 2,607.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		5,287,820	2,643,624
HOME		1,846,568	1,848,914
ESG		169,936	97,425

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

These areas were identified in the five year 2013-2017 consolidated plan

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Antelope Valley Area	5	0	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan
City-wide Program Area	25	39.91	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan
Clinton Impact Area	5	0	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan
Low- and Moderate-Income Area	50	9.9	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan
NRSA (Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Area)	10	50.19	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan
South Capitol	5	0	See 2013-17 Consolidated Plan

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

These areas were identified in the five year 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The Home Improvement Loan Program (HILP) uses CDBG funds to subsidize the interest rate to the borrower. Nebraska Investment Finance Authority (NIFA) works with the four lenders involved in the program to supply the non-federal loan funds.

HOME funds are used for Habitat for Humanity to purchase buildable lots. The materials and labor for construction of the house is donated. The 25 percent match requirement for the HOME funds is exceeded on every project. See form HUD-Form-40107 Home-Match-Report in attachments.

The First Home Program uses HOME funds for down payment and “gap” financing for low/mod income buyers with new and existing houses. The assistance of non-federal funds to make new construction

affordable and the HOME funds to assist the buyer in the purchase makes it possible for the buyers to obtain the first mortgage from a private lender.

NeighborWorks®Lincoln, in developing an affordable housing project and working in the low-to-moderate income neighborhoods, has incorporated the funding from the Department of Economic Development of the State, NeighborWorks-America and private sources.

Non-housing Community Development projects are primarily funded with CDBG. However, the Public Works and Utilities Department provided local funds for construction administration and management for sidewalk improvements in the Goodhue area. Redevelopment on North 27th Street continued in FY 15, as well as in other redevelopment areas. Projects in these redevelopment areas are funded by Tax Increment Financing (TIF) but benefit low- and moderate-income people and areas. Additional efforts are utilizing staff time only but include a variety of funding sources including TIF, other grants and private donations. These include efforts such as the various Antelope Valley projects and redevelopment projects in older commercial areas.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	6,249,157
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	457,023
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	6,706,180
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	195,819
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	6,510,361

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (Non-Federal Sources) \$	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges \$	Appraised Land/Real Property \$	Required Infrastructure \$	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated Labor \$	Bond Financing \$	Total Match \$
1	08/31/2016	184,293	0	0	0	262,677	10,053	457,023

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

Program Income				
<i>Enter the program amounts for the reporting period</i>				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	673,635	673,635	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

HOME MBE/WBE report

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises						
<i>Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</i>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
\$ Amount	261,134	0	0	0	0	261,134
Number	48	0	0	0	0	48
Sub-Contracts						
Number	31	0	0	0	0	31
\$ Amount	37,524	0	0	0	0	37,524
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
\$ Amount	261,134	0	261,134			
Number	48	0	48			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	31	0	31			
\$ Amount	37,524	0	37,524			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property						
<i>Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</i>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$ Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition
Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	10	65
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	10	3,355
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	20	3,420

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	45	48
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	6	15
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	77	99
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	3	0
Total	131	162

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Many of the applicants to our Home Improvement Loan Program were unable to qualify due to low credit scores and high debt to value ratios. We were able to assist most with other programs but will have to do additional outreach in the future.

Steady progress has been made in meeting specific objectives of providing affordable housing. New affordable housing ownership opportunities were created with four units by Habitat for Humanity, and eight units under the Troubled Property Program and two by Urban Development under the Rescue Program for a total of fourteen. Our goal was six units.

Urban Development has a variety of housing programs using CDBG funds to meet our affordable housing goals. The Home Improvement Loan Program (HILP) uses CDBG funds to subsidize the interest rate to the borrower. Nebraska Investment Finance Authority (NIFA) works with the four lenders involved in the program to supply the non-federal loan funds. The program serves homeowners up to 80% of medium income. The Deferred Payment Loan Program (DPL) (serves homeowners up to 80% of medium income) is funded by CDBG and is for more extensive housing rehabilitation in the Low- to Moderate-income (LMI) Area. The Emergency Repair Program is limited to homeowners at 50% of median income

but is available city-wide. The Barrier Removal Program is used to overcome architectural barriers and facilitate the installation of wheelchair ramps or lifts and bathroom modifications. The CDBG First Home Program assists home buyers at or below 80% AMI which do not fit the conventional bank profile with a first mortgage product.

Homeowner rehabilitation was made available through the Home Improvement Loan Program (HILP), Direct or Deferred Loan Program, Emergency Repair Loan Program, Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Program, and Barrier Removal Program. A total of 99 households were assisted with a goal of 77.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Many of the applicants to our Home Improvement Loan Program were unable to qualify due to low credit scores and high debt to value ratios. We were able to assist most with other programs but will have to do additional outreach in the future.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	25	3
Low-income	37	18
Moderate-income	36	51
Total	98	72

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Lincoln's Continuum of Care (CoC) continued implementation of a community-wide coordinated access system. The system is built on results obtained from a "vulnerability index" survey administered at intake at all homeless service provider agencies across the community.

The survey, titled Vulnerability Index- Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Prescreen Tool, or VI-SPDAT for short, allows the CoC to develop an evidence informed approach to assessing individual and family needs. Coordinated access helps Lincoln's homeless agencies prioritize who to serve next, and why, and identifies the appropriate agencies and programs necessary to avoid housing instability.

On-going training on the VI-SPDAT was provided throughout the year. The Most Vulnerable Review Team team (MVRT), comprised of outreach workers and case managers, is tasked with prioritizing referrals to permanent supportive housing units/programs. Clients who score a 10 or above on the VI-SPDAT are automatically referred to the MVRT, which uses Lincoln's HMIS system to help place and refer clients to agencies based on the real-time ability to determine bed availability and program openings for all Lincoln homeless housing providers. The MVRT meets weekly.

Ultimately, full implementation of the coordinated access system in Lincoln will result in a "no wrong door" approach to serving the homeless or near homeless, and provide a systematic and formalized way for agencies to work together as a network across the community, as opposed to addressing homelessness as it presents at each agency.

How does it work? Here's a simplified example: a single mother and daughter may visit Matt Talbot Kitchen and Outreach for a meal. After administering the VI-SPDAT, it becomes apparent that the clients are at the top of the list for transitional housing. The coordinated access system indicates that Community Action Partnership has an available unit in their Transitional Housing program. The unit at Community Action Partnership can be filled by the case worker at Matt Talbot Kitchen and Outreach.

This is a coarse example. However, the basic idea is correct. Coordinated access allows Homeless service providers to provide homeless outreach as a community-wide network, and systematically place individuals and families with the greatest needs in the most logical support programs. Since October of 2015, the MVRT has "processed" 171 individuals. 20% exited to Permanent Supportive Housing, 32% exited to Permanent Housing, and 34% exited without contact. The average length of stay on the MVRT list was 54 days.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The ultimate goal for homeless persons in emergency shelter or transitional housing is to obtain permanent or permanent supportive housing. In FY 16 Lincoln's CoC saw a decrease in the percentage of individuals who moved from emergency and transitional housing (TH) to permanent housing (PH) compared to FY15, from 65% (FY15) to 61% (FY16). This is in part because many of Lincoln's TH beds are connected to mental health and substance abuse services, and those exiting from programs often move to halfway-houses, which aren't considered PH by HUD definition. Although moving from TH to a halfway house is a successful outcome, it does depress arbitrary statistical measurement towards HUD objectives. The CoC Executive Committee implemented several goals that helped move homeless persons into permanent housing, including the expansion of employment services, continued

collaboration with private market housing providers, and the development of a coordinated assessment system created to provide case managers with real time appropriate housing options and current availability at intake.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Please refer to Lincoln's FY16 CoC Consolidated Application for a detailed response to this issue, including specific short-term plans, long-term plans, objectives, benchmarks, and responsible parties for meeting benchmarks. In particular, "Section 3A. System Performance." Lincoln's FY16 is available on the web at Lincoln.ne.gov, keyword search: homeless.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Please refer to Lincoln's FY16 CoC Consolidated Application for a detailed response to this issue, including specific short-term plans, long-term plans, objectives, benchmarks, and responsible parties for meeting benchmarks. In particular, "Section 1D. Discharge Planning" The FY16 CoC Consolidated Application is available on the web at Lincoln.ne.gov, keyword search: homeless

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City offers an impact fee exemption to low income, owner occupied home buyers. This popular and successful program has been in existence for six years. The funding source is the City's General Fund. The purpose of the incentive is to provide home buyers with additional funds for down payment or payment of fees associated with originating their mortgage.

In the Strategic Plan FY 2005 to 2009, one strategy identified to remove the barriers to affordable housing specified that the City would work with developers on ways to reduce the length of the development process. In March 2010, the City opened the Development Services Center (DSC). The DSC is a centralized location with the capacity to meet efficiently all the development services needs for builders, developers and property owners. This centrally located function includes staff from five departments including Urban Development. Today new Accela automation and public facing access further increases efficiencies and transparency.

The Urban Development Department and NeighborWorks®Lincoln have established programs to help finance the rehabilitation of owner occupied units in the NRSA and LMI areas that help to remove or ameliorate the negative effects that some facets may have on affordable housing. Furthermore the department is working to implement a neighborhood marketing tool which will aid to remove precieved perceptions and barriers into Lincoln's neighborhhods.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

LHA's Resident Advisory Board meets annually to review LHA practices and procedures and provide input to management and LHA's Board of Commissioners. In addition several housing facilities have standing resident councils who assist management in the governance and activities of the building.

LHA also operates two homeownership programs. 1) LHA builds one house each year in partnership with Northeast High School. Students help build the house, and LHA sells or leases-to-purchase the home to a public housing resident. 2) LHA provides down-payment assistance to graduates of its Family Self Sufficiency program (Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher clients) who are ready to purchase a home.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

LHA has been designated as a "high performing" housing authority by HUD since 1992. In addition LHA has been designated as a Moving To Work (MTW) agency since 1997, one of only 39 MTW agencies in the country. MTW is a demonstration program that provides greater flexibility in the operation of Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher programs, and is considered the policy lab for testing changes to these federal housing programs. There are no troubled PHAs in Lincoln.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The City offers an impact fee exemption to low income, owner occupied home buyers. This popular and successful program has been in existence for six years. The funding source is the City's General Fund. The purpose of the incentive is to provide home buyers with additional funds for down payment or payment of fees associated with originating their mortgage.

In the Strategic Plan FY 2005 to 2009, one strategy identified to remove the barriers to affordable housing specified that the City would work with developers on ways to reduce the length of the development process. In March 2010, the City opened the Development Services Center (DSC). The DSC is a centralized location with the capacity to meet efficiently all the development services needs for builders, developers and property owners. This centrally located function includes staff from five departments including Urban Development. Today new Accela automation and public facing access further increases efficiencies and transparency.

The City of Lincoln Planning Department has proposed an update to its zoning codes called "reForm". As a result of meetings with stakeholders, reForm as proposed is no longer being pursued. Individual elements may proceed but at this time, none directly impact barriers to affordable housing.

The Urban Development Department and NeighborWorks®Lincoln have established programs to help finance the rehabilitation of owner occupied units in the NRSA and LMI areas that help to remove or ameliorate the negative effects that some public policies may have on affordable housing. Furthermore the department is working to implement a neighborhood marketing tool which will aid to remove precieved perceptions and barriers into Lincoln's neighborhods.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Most housing programs provided by the Urban Development Department are aimed at improving affordability. Existing affordable housing is located primarily in the Low- to Moderate-Income (LMI) area. The majority of Urban Development housing rehabilitation funds are allotted in the same area. Therefore, specific programs such as Deferred Loans are limited to the LMI area. Other programs offer financial incentives for owning within the LMI area. The First Home Program administered by NeighborWorks®Lincoln, offers partial forgiveness on down payment assistance if a buyer purchases a home in the LMI area, and total forgiveness on a home purchased in the NRSA.

The Home Improvement Loan Program (HILP) limits the amount of funds that can be loaned outside the LMI area. Urban Development maintains a philosophy of economic integration which does not limit low-income households to low-income neighborhoods. Accordingly other Urban Development programs are available city-wide.

Urban Development has assisted low- and moderate-income buyers in obtaining housing outside of the LMI area by partnering with Habitat for Humanity and the Lincoln Housing Authority. Large families and households with a special need benefit greatly from the custom features possible through new construction.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Urban Development's Rehabilitation Specialists are trained and certified as Risk Assessors. NeighborWorks®Lincoln also has a certified Risk Assessor for the inspection work involved in the homebuyer program. Urban Development has also brought in HUD certified instructors to train and certify local contractors.

The Lead-based Paint Hazard Reduction Program is designed to offset additional costs to the homebuyer due to the implementation of the final rule on lead-based paint issued by HUD. The homeowner receives a grant for the portion of the rehabilitation project that is lead-related, such as the additional cost of safe-work practices, hazard reduction, clean-up, clearance, and items required by the contractor to meet the new rules. The Housing Rehabilitation staff conducts a lead evaluation on every rehabilitation project and provides technical assistance to other agencies on lead issues.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Reducing the number of families living at the poverty-level can only be accomplished with a community-wide effort: HUD programs cannot do it all. In 2014, the Lincoln Community Foundation commissioned the University of Nebraska Public Policy Center to complete a significant effort called Lincoln Vital Signs. In May 2015, Lincoln Vital Signs 2015 was released, updating the 2014 report. The three findings hold from the 2014 report:

1. Lincoln does many things exceptionally well and these successes should be nurtured.
2. A growing proportion of Lincoln's population faces real need.
3. Lincoln's children fare poorly on many measures.

Following release of the report, community leaders launched Prosper Lincoln, a new initiative to obtain input and ideas about addressing the Vital Signs findings. Community input resulted in work groups for three community agenda items: Early Childhood, Employment Skills, and Innovation & Entrepreneurship. Efforts in these three areas represent significant private sector involvement in addressing poverty in Lincoln.

Indirectly, all housing programs funded and operated through Urban Development work toward reducing poverty by providing affordable housing. Work by the One Stop Employment Solutions reduces poverty by assisting people in finding jobs. Lastly, staff worked closely with Lincoln's Continuum of Care, and administered ESG funds which contributed to reducing poverty through support of Lincoln's rent and utility assistance network and homelessness prevention programs in general.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

- Urban Development continues to participate in a number of initiatives and activities to work on overcoming gaps in institutional structures and to enhance coordination. Activities this fiscal year included the following:
- Working with the Lincoln Housing Authority, in partnership with Lincoln Public Schools on affordable housing options.
- Working with the Nebraska Investment Finance Authority (NIFA), Lincoln Public Schools, and NeighborWorks®Lincoln to support Community Learning Centers.
- Working with Neighborworks®Lincoln on neighborhood issues and homeownership. A staff member regularly attends the monthly Lincoln Policy Network meetings. The Policy Network was created in FY 07 by NeighborWorks®Lincoln to address policies and issues facing older neighborhoods.

- Working with the Nebraska Department of Economic Development on creating affordable housing.
- Supporting the League of Human Dignity to assist low-income people with disabilities to remove or modify architectural barriers in their homes.
- Participating in the Problem Resolution Team which is a group of City staff, Mayor, and City Council representatives that address problem properties.
- A staff member is an active member of the Continuum of Care and the Homeless Coalition.
- Support for, and working with, Stronger Safer Neighborhoods.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Urban Development worked closely with the Lincoln Housing Authority, private sector landlords, and social service agencies in a variety of ways. Lincoln’s CoC developed a subcommittee, dubbed the Project Landlord and Consumer Engagement committee, or “PLACE,” to specifically enhance coordination between private landlords who house social service agency clients. Through PLACE, partnering landlords agreed to consider involvement in case management with social service agencies as an additional screening criteria. Landlords benefited from problem prevention through regular home visits from case management staff, neutral party mediation, and formalized partnerships with agencies who provide needed services to at-risk renters.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Urban Development staff continues to assist with the planning and participate in the Civil Rights Conference (formerly the Fair Housing Conference). Information about our programs is provided at the conference. Sessions are programmed to meet the informational needs of different segments of the housing industry. Staff continues participation on local public, private and nonprofit boards to share fair housing information and continues to work with the Lincoln Commission on Human Rights to monitor compliance with Title 11. The most recent Analysis of Impediments has been completed. Staff has started the review of the the Final Rule and assess how it may impact our fair housing efforts. We have also been reviewing information that HUD has made available concerning the Assessment Tool.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Urban Development continues an ongoing monitoring process with its housing and community development projects to ensure long-term compliance with program and consolidated planning requirements.

General grant administration activities included preparation and management of annual operating and programmatic budgets, including allocation of personnel and overhead costs; analysis of past and current year performance and expenditures in all program areas (i.e., housing rehabilitation, homeownership, public improvements, etc.); oversight of revenues, “timeliness” of expenditures; and coordination and utilization of HUD’s IDIS system for reporting and fund drawdowns.

Program/project monitoring ensures that the City and its subrecipients (or borrowers) meet performance objectives within schedule and budget. Most importantly, it provides documentation of the City’s compliance with program (CDBG, HOME and ESG) objectives, rules, and regulations. A critical part of the City’s monitoring system is an assessment of each subrecipient or borrower to identify high risk agencies that require more extensive oversight and monitoring. Agencies identified as high risk include those who are new to the program, are experiencing turnover in key staff positions, have been plagued by past compliance or performance problems, are undertaking multiple funding activities for the first time, and/or are not submitting timely reports. These agencies are provided with regular on-site visits and desk-top reviews and at least one on-site visit per year.

A standardized procedure for review and monitoring has been established utilizing monitoring tools developed for CDBG, HOME and ESG programs. Areas addressed include; 1) Project or Program Status; 2) Program Benefits; 3) Consistency of Records and 4) Financial Accountability. Desk-top reviews involve examining progress reports, compliance reports, and financial information. On-site monitoring visits are performed to determine adequate maintenance. On-site visits also involve examining beneficiary documentation, audit reports, accounting records, invoices, payroll documentation, and timesheets.

The accountant also works with subrecipients and borrowers to ensure compliance with all Federal rules and regulations. Occupancy reports submitted for assisted housing projects are examined to determine compliance with affordability and tenant eligibility. Interviews with contractor employees are conducted and certified payrolls are examined to ensure compliance with Fair Labor Standards and Davis-Bacon wage requirements.

Urban Development staff also participates in the quarterly conference calls with the HUD field office and also attends trainings held by HUD when appropriate to keep up-to-date on program compliance and comprehensive planning requirements.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

A public hearing on the CAPER was held on November 16, 2016 and public notice was given ten days in advance. Additionally, the public was notified by a Public Notice in the Lincoln Journal Star of the 15 day comment period, November 8 - 22, 2016. A draft of the CAPER was also made available on the Urban

Development Department website throughout the public comment period. The availability of the CAPER was listed on the agenda and announced at the Mayor's Neighborhood Roundtable on November 14, 2016. The Roundtable meeting was attended by 17 people representing 12 neighborhoods. One person attended the public hearing who was interested in learning about the process, but had no comments specific to the CAPER. No comments were received during the comment period.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Our program objectives have not changed and we do not anticipate any changes as a result of our experiences.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Refer to the image titled "CR-50 AH Rental Inspection" for a break down of inspections for each unit

CR-50 AH Rental Inspection			
Housing Project	Address	Units Inspected	Inspection Result
Capital Corners	800 Darren Ave	841, 858, 870	NO ACTION
New Heights	4401 F St	101, 103, 203	NO ACTION
Paul VI Heights	1800 Montini Dr	1, 2	NO ACTION
West Garfield	1601 SW 10th St	1617, 1632, 1731, 1743	NO ACTION
Jonna Court	100 Centennial Mall S	1241, 1250	NO ACTION

CR-50 AH Rental Inspection

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Urban Development staff formed the bilingual/bicultural Minority Outreach Committee whose purpose is specific outreach to the various minority communities and cultural centers within Lincoln.

Urban Development works with a Community Based Development Organization (CBDO), NeighborWorks®Lincoln, to provide a first-time homebuyer training and counseling program. This program includes screening and selection of households assisted by Urban Development's First Home (first-time homebuyer) and other homeownership programs. NeighborWorks®Lincoln obtains at least a 15% minority participation each year from their affirmative marketing efforts.

Program brochures are in several languages and "Select a Language" software is available on the Urban Development website for over 50 languages.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

A total of \$663,027.68 HOME program income was received during the program year, of which \$186,539.52 assisted eleven home buyers for the First Home Program with down payment and rehabilitation assistance, \$110,355.94 assistance was provided to Habitat for Humanity for the Housing Development Loan Program for acquisition of four lots for construction of affordable housing to be sold to low- to moderate-income households, \$2,964.83 was provided to Lincoln Housing Authority for the Security Deposit Assistance Program providing security deposits for five homeless families and individuals, \$34,191.40 was provided to NeighborWorks Lincoln for construction costs of two housing units from the Troubled Property Program, and \$64,604.99 was allocated for administration of the HOME program.

First Home Program

White 6 - Hispanic Descent	0
Black/African American	1
Asian	4
Female Head of Households	5
Elderly Household	0
30% Median Income	1
50% Median Income	2
60% Median Income	0
80% Median Income	8

Security Deposit Assistance Program

White	6
Black	2
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	1
30% Median Income	9

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

HOME funds were identified to be primarily used to increase home ownership and create additional affordable housing. The First Home Program, administered by NeighborWorks®Lincoln, used their FY 15 allotment for financing and down payment assistance. Sixty households were able to purchase homes with the help of the First Home Program during FY 15. Habitat for Humanity purchased five lots and completed construction of four homes on lots previously acquired with HOME funds. These houses were built using donations, donated materials, and volunteer labor. Eight homes were completed through the Troubled Property Program and sold to first-time home buyers. Urban Development completed construction of two units through the Rescue Program.

Activities and strategies have made an impact on identified needs by increasing homeownership through programs such as the First Home Program; increasing affordable housing, increasing economic opportunities through partnerships; addressing fair and affordable housing through participation in the Civil Rights Conference; increasing supportive housing through the Housing Development Loan Program; coordinating improvements for the homeless and near homeless through the Homeless Management Information System; increasing affordable housing opportunities through the Antelope Valley Project; improving neighborhoods through park improvements, focus area projects, and working with our numerous non-profit partners.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps* For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	LINCOLN
Organizational DUNS Number	138733808
EIN/TIN Number	476006256
Identify the Field Office	OMAHA
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Lincoln CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	Bradd
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Schmeichel
Suffix	0
Title	Planner I

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	555 South 10th Street, suite 205
Street Address 2	0
City	Lincoln
State	NE
ZIP Code	68508-
Phone Number	402447856
Extension	0
Fax Number	402448711
Email Address	bschmeichel@lincoln.ne.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mrs
First Name	PATRICIA
Last Name	POSEY RIBEIRO
Suffix	0
Title	financial officer
Phone Number	4024417860
Extension	0
Email Address	pribeiro@lincoln.ne.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	09/01/2015
Program Year End Date	08/31/2016

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
Is subrecipient a victim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 20 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	145,993
Total Number of bed-nights provided	112,904
Capacity Utilization	77.34%

Table 21 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

See attached image, "CR-70 Q:9 - CoC Strategic Plan", and the attached document titled "NHAP Programs Annual Performance Measurements 2015"

Other requests for information can be obtained through direct requests

CR-70 Q:9 - CoC Strategic Plan

PLAN

<u>Goal Descriptions</u>		
1	Goal Name	Chronic Homeless
	Goal Description	Create new permanent housing beds for chronically homeless persons.
2	Goal Name	Permanent Housing
	Goal Description	Increase the percentage of participants remaining in CoC funded permanent housing projects for at least six months to 80% plus.
3	Goal Name	Transitional Housing
	Goal Description	Increase the percentage of the participants in CoC funded transitional housing that move into permanent housing to 70% plus.
4	Goal Name	Employment - Homeless
	Goal Description	Increase the percentage of participants in all CoC funded projects that are employed at program exit to 20% plus.
5	Goal Name	Mainstream Benefits
	Goal Description	Increase the percentage of participants in all CoC funded projects that obtain mainstream benefits at program exit to 20% plus
6	Goal Name	Homeless
	Goal Description	Decrease the number of homeless individuals and families
7	Goal Name	Increase Neighborhood Livability
	Goal Description	Complete public improvements in Antelope Valley neighborhoods, South Capitol and Clinton Impact Area that implement projects identified in Focus Area plans, "Close to Home" strategies identified in the Antelope Valley Redevelopment plan, other neighborhood plans and from Free-To-Grow. Complete park improvements in the LMI neighborhoods.
8	Goal Name	Promote Sustainable Infrastructure
	Goal Description	Create environmentally friendly neighborhoods that incorporate innovative infrastructure strategies.
9	Goal Name	Increase Food Security
	Goal Description	The lack of food and accompanying issues and hunger is a priority need in Lincoln.
10	Goal Name	Employment - Low Income
	Goal Description	Provide job training for low-income people to address the needs of unemployment and paying employment
11	Goal Name	Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units
	Goal Description	Rehabilitate owner-occupied housing units with variety of programs.
12	Goal Name	Direct Financial Assistance
	Goal Description	Train potential homeowners through Home buyer training classes and give direct financial assistance
13	Goal Name	Increase Housing Stock
	Goal Description	Add new construction homeowner units to the housing stock
14	Goal Name	Support Non-Homeless Special Needs
	Goal Description	The City of Lincoln will support low income tax credit opportunities (up to three) through in kind support. Furthermore the City of Lincoln will leverage existing 'tools' and policy support, at a variety of levels, to address this priority need.
15	Goal Name	Administration
	Goal Description	Administer the City's CDBG funds in accordance with Federal regulations through program development, fund allocation and implementation of activities (i.e. admin housing rehab programs). 100% of funds will be used on admin

2013-17 Consolidated Plan 95 City of Lincoln, NE

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	4,096	13,790	10,431
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	3,040	5,570	3,125
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	46,250	21,077	44,731
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	4,909	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	58,295	40,437	58,287

Table 22 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	1,300	5,200	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	1,605	11,020	1,840
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	5,450	4,703	4,303
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	1,220	1,625	226
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	9,575	22,548	6,369

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	5,452	40,431	11,804
Operations	3,039	33,580	6,699
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	8,491	74,011	18,503

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	5,250	0	13,653
Administration	8,559	0	612
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 25 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
324,590	90,170	136,996	97,424

Table 26 - Total ESG Funds Expended**11f. Match Source**

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	22,000	33,000	39,200
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	83,563	104,610	109,742
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	105,563	137,610	148,942

Table 27 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
716,705	195,733	274,606	246,366

Table 28 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

Instructions for the HOME Match Report

Applicability:

The HOME Match Report is part of the HOME APR and must be filled out by every participating jurisdiction that incurred a match liability. Match liability occurs when FY 1993 funds (or subsequent year funds) are drawn down from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. A Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) may start counting match contributions as of the beginning of Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992). A jurisdiction not required to submit this report, either because it did not incur any match or because it had a full match reduction, may submit a HOME Match Report if it wishes. The match would count as excess match that is carried over to subsequent years. The match reported on this form must have been contributed during the reporting period (between October 1 and September 30).

Timing:

This form is to be submitted as part of the HOME APR on or before December 31. The original is sent to the HUD Field Office. One copy is sent to the

Office of Affordable Housing Programs, CGHF
Room 7176, HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410.

The participating jurisdiction also keeps a copy.

Instructions for Part II:

1. **Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year:** Excess match carried over from prior Federal fiscal year.
2. **Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year:** The total amount of match contributions for all projects listed under Part III in column 9 for the Federal fiscal year.

3. **Total match available for current Federal fiscal year:** The sum of excess match carried over from the prior Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 1) and the total match contribution for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 2). This sum is the total match available for the Federal fiscal year.

4. **Match liability for current Federal fiscal year:** The amount of match liability is available from HUD and is provided periodically to PJs. The match must be provided in the current year. The amount of match that must be provided is based on the amount of HOME funds drawn from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. The amount of match required equals 25% of the amount drawn down for HOME projects during the Federal fiscal year. Excess match may be carried over and used to meet match liability for subsequent years (see Part II line 5). Funds drawn down for administrative costs, CHDO operating expenses, and CHDO capacity building do not have to be matched. Funds drawn down for CHDO seed money and/or technical assistance loans do not have to be matched if the project does not go forward. A jurisdiction is allowed to get a partial reduction (50%) of match if it meets one of two statutory distress criteria, indicating "fiscal distress," or else a full reduction (100%) of match if it meets both criteria, indicating "severe fiscal distress." The two criteria are poverty rate (must be equal to or greater than 125% of the average national family poverty rate to qualify for a reduction) and per capita income (must be less than 75% of the national average per capita income to qualify for a reduction). In addition, a jurisdiction can get a full reduction if it is declared a disaster area under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act.

5. **Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year:** The total match available for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 3) minus the match liability for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 4). Excess match may be carried over and applied to future HOME project match liability.

Instructions for Part III:

1. **Project No. or Other ID:** "Project number" is assigned by the C/MI System when the PJ makes a project setup call. These projects involve at least some Treasury funds. If the HOME project does not involve Treasury funds, it must be identified with "other ID" as follows: the fiscal year (last two digits only), followed by a number (starting from "01" for the first non-Treasury-funded project of the fiscal year), and then at least one of the following abbreviations: "SF" for project using shortfall funds, "PI" for projects using program income, and "NON" for non-HOME-assisted affordable housing. Example: 93.01.SF, 93.02.PI, 93.03.NON, etc.

Shortfall funds are non-HOME funds used to make up the difference between the participation threshold and the amount of HOME funds allocated to the PJ; the participation threshold requirement applies only in the PJ's first year of eligibility. [§92.102]

Program income (also called "repayment income") is any return on the investment of HOME funds. This income must be deposited in the jurisdiction's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. [§92.503(b)]

Non-HOME-assisted affordable housing is investment in housing not assisted by HOME funds that would qualify as "affordable housing" under the HOME Program definitions. "NON" funds must be contributed to a specific project; it is not sufficient to make a contribution to an entity engaged in developing affordable housing. [§92.219(b)]

2. **Date of Contribution:** Enter the date of contribution. Multiple entries may be made on a single line as long as the contributions were made during the current fiscal year. In such cases, if the contributions were made at different dates during the year, enter the date of the last contribution.
3. **Cash:** Cash contributions from non-Federal resources. This means the funds are contributed permanently to the HOME Program regardless of the form of investment the jurisdiction provides to a project. Therefore all repayment, interest, or other return on investment of the contribution must be deposited in the PJ's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. The PJ, non-Federal public entities (State/local governments), private entities, and individuals can make contributions. The grant equivalent of a below-market interest rate loan to the project is eligible when the loan is not repayable to the PJ's HOME account. [§92.220(a)(1)] In addition, a cash contribution can count as match if it is used for eligible costs defined under §92.206 (except administrative costs and CHDO operating expenses) or under §92.209, or for the following non-eligible costs: the value of non-Federal funds used to remove and relocate ECHO units to accommodate eligible tenants, a project reserve account for replacements, a project reserve account for unanticipated increases in operating costs, operating subsidies, or costs relating to the portion of a mixed-income or mixed-use project not related to the affordable housing units. [§92.219(c)]
4. **Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges:** Taxes, fees, and charges that are normally and customarily charged but have been waived, foregone, or deferred in a manner that achieves affordability of the HOME-assisted housing. This includes State tax credits for low-income housing development. The amount of real estate taxes may be based on the

post-improvement property value. For those taxes, fees, or charges given for future years, the value is the present discounted cash value. [§92.220(a)(2)]

5. **Appraised Land/Real Property:** The appraised value, before the HOME assistance is provided and minus any debt burden, lien, or other encumbrance, of land or other real property, not acquired with Federal resources. The appraisal must be made by an independent, certified appraiser. [§92.220(a)(3)]
6. **Required Infrastructure:** The cost of investment, not made with Federal resources, in on-site and off-site infrastructure directly required for HOME-assisted affordable housing. The infrastructure must have been completed no earlier than 12 months before HOME funds were committed. [§92.220(a)(4)]
7. **Site preparation, Construction materials, Donated labor:** The reasonable value of any site-preparation and construction materials, not acquired with Federal resources, and any donated or voluntary labor (see §92.354(b)) in connection with the site-preparation for, or construction or rehabilitation of, affordable housing. The value of site-preparation and construction materials is determined in accordance with the PJ's cost estimate procedures. The value of donated or voluntary labor is determined by a single rate ("labor rate") to be published annually in the Notice Of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the HOME Program. [§92.220(6)]
8. **Bond Financing:** Multifamily and single-family project bond financing must be validly issued by a State or local government (or an agency, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof). 50% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a multifamily affordable housing project owner can count as match. 25% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a single-family affordable housing project owner can count as match. Loans from all bond proceeds, including excess bond match from prior years, may not exceed 25% of a PJ's total annual match contribution. [§92.220(a)(5)] The amount in excess of the 25% cap for bonds may carry over, and the excess will count as part of the statutory limit of up to 25% per year. Requirements regarding

bond financing as an eligible source of match will be available upon publication of the implementing regulation early in FY 1994.

9. **Total Match:** Total of items 3 through 8. This is the total match contribution for each project identified in item 1.

Ineligible forms of match include:

1. Contributions made with or derived from Federal resources e.g. CDBG funds [§92.220(b)(1)]
2. Interest rate subsidy attributable to the Federal tax-exemption on financing or the value attributable to Federal tax credits [§92.220(b)(2)]
3. Contributions from builders, contractors or investors, including owner equity, involved with HOME-assisted projects. [§92.220(b)(3)]
4. Sweat equity [§92.220(b)(4)]
5. Contributions from applicants/recipients of HOME assistance [§92.220(b)(5)]
6. Fees/charges that are associated with the HOME Program only, rather than normally and customarily charged on all transactions or projects [§92.220(a)(2)]
7. Administrative costs

PR 26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report 2015

		Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE: 11-07-16
		U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME: 10:39
		Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE: 1
		PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report	
		Program Year 2015	
		LINCOLN, NE	
PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES			
01	UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR		1,682,489.50
02	ENTITLEMENT GRANT		1,607,817.00
03	SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL		0.00
04	SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS		0.00
05	CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME		708,136.09
05a	CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)		0.00
06	FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT		0.00
06a	FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT		0.00
07	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE		0.00
08	TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)		3,998,442.59
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES			
09	DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		2,224,127.20
10	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT		0.00
11	AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)		2,224,127.20
12	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		419,496.60
13	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS		0.00
14	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES		0.00
15	TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)		2,643,623.80
16	UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)		1,354,818.79
PART III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD			
17	EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS		621,649.68
18	EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING		37,051.20
19	DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES		1,565,426.32
20	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT		0.00
21	TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)		2,224,127.20
22	PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)		100.00%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS			
23	PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: 2013 PY: 2014 PY: 2015	
24	CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION		6,666,075.99
25	CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS		6,592,367.45
26	PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)		98.89%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS			
27	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES		199,290.99
28	PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR		54,494.10
29	PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR		29,405.60
30	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS		0.00
31	TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)		224,379.49
32	ENTITLEMENT GRANT		1,607,817.00
33	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME		557,484.60
34	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP		0.00
35	TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)		2,165,301.60
36	PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)		10.36%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP			
37	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		419,496.60
38	PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR		0.00
39	PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR		0.00
40	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS		0.00
41	TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 + LINE 40)		419,496.60
42	ENTITLEMENT GRANT		1,607,817.00
43	CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME		708,136.09
44	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP		0.00
45	TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)		2,315,953.09
46	PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)		18.11%



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2015
 LINCOLN, NE

DATE: 11-07-16
 TIME: 10:39
 PAGE: 2

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Target Area Type	Drawn Amount
2015	15	2518	5881991	Direct/Deferred Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$80,655.50
2015	15	2518	5897413	Direct/Deferred Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$62,032.70
2015	15	2518	5924370	Direct/Deferred Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$58,007.56
2015	15	2518	5956903	Direct/Deferred Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$85,168.17
2015	15	2518	5958426	Direct/Deferred Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$39,112.42
2015	16	2520	5881991	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$52,492.52
2015	16	2520	5882042	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$26,910.11
2015	16	2520	5897413	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$38,181.06
2015	16	2520	5924370	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$46,340.95
2015	16	2520	5956903	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$28,492.94
2015	16	2520	5958426	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$11,506.50
2015	17	2516	5882042	Home Improvement Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$1,734.49
2015	17	2516	5956903	Home Improvement Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$3,760.10
2015	17	2516	5958426	Home Improvement Loan Program - NRSA (SF)	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$3,819.16
					14A	Matrix Code		\$538,214.18
2015	15	2570	5956903	Direct or Deferred Loan Program - NRSA MF (2015)	14B	LMH	Strategy area	\$12,623.00
2015	16	2569	5956903	Emergency Repair Loan Program - NRSA (Multi Family)	14B	LMH	Strategy area	\$24,428.20
					14B	Matrix Code		\$37,051.20
2015	22	2477	5882042	Lead-based Paint Grants - NRSA (SF)	14I	LMH	Strategy area	\$22,268.00
2015	22	2477	5897413	Lead-based Paint Grants - NRSA (SF)	14I	LMH	Strategy area	\$7,376.00
2015	22	2477	5924370	Lead-based Paint Grants - NRSA (SF)	14I	LMH	Strategy area	\$100.00
2015	22	2477	5956903	Lead-based Paint Grants - NRSA (SF)	14I	LMH	Strategy area	\$26,993.00
2015	22	2477	5958426	Lead-based Paint Grants - NRSA (SF)	14I	LMH	Strategy area	\$26,698.50
					14I	Matrix Code		\$83,435.50
Total								\$658,700.88

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Report returned no data.

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	9	2409	5882042	Community Gardens and Orchards	03	LMC	\$372.21
2015	9	2511	5897413	Community Gardens and Orchards	03	LMC	\$131.19
2015	9	2511	5924370	Community Gardens and Orchards	03	LMC	\$6.42
2015	9	2511	5956903	Community Gardens and Orchards	03	LMC	\$1,679.36
2015	9	2511	5958426	Community Gardens and Orchards	03	LMC	\$3,396.13
					03	Matrix Code	\$5,585.31
2012	11	2227	5956903	LMI Park Improvements - Idylwild Park	03F	LMA	\$1,222.06
2015	8	2554	5956903	LMI Park Improvements - Lakeview Park	03F	LMA	\$18,424.84
2015	8	2554	5958426	LMI Park Improvements - Lakeview Park	03F	LMA	\$46,539.69
					03F	Matrix Code	\$66,186.59
2011	1	2116	5882042	11th Street Streetscape	03L	LMA	\$23,292.54
2011	1	2116	5897413	11th Street Streetscape	03L	LMA	\$21,927.69
2011	1	2116	5924370	11th Street Streetscape	03L	LMA	\$1,296.52
					03L	Matrix Code	\$46,516.75
2014	7	2403	5882042	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$3,178.14
2014	19	2407	5882042	Public Service - HMI S	05	LMC	\$13,604.42
2014	22	2401	5882042	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$836.22
2015	7	2504	5924370	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$93,363.64
2015	7	2504	5958426	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$1,636.36



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2015
 LINCOLN, NE

DATE: 11-07-16
 TIME: 10:39
 PAGE: 3

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	9	2512	5897413	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$5,154.00
2015	9	2512	5924370	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$2,329.40
2015	9	2512	5956903	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$2,902.53
2015	9	2512	5958426	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$5,366.59
2015	19	2508	5924370	Public Service - Continuum of Care	05	LMC	\$7,800.00
2015	19	2508	5958426	Public Service - Continuum of Care	05	LMC	\$7,864.68
2015	21	2502	5924370	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$17,230.90
2015	21	2502	5956903	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$1,636.36
2015	21	2502	5958426	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$10,906.68
					05	Matrix Code	\$173,809.92
2015	10	2513	5882042	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$3,917.82
2015	10	2513	5897413	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$4,487.18
2015	10	2513	5924370	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$6,677.15
2015	10	2513	5956903	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$7,564.05
2015	10	2513	5958426	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$2,834.87
					05H	Matrix Code	\$25,481.07
2015	11	2521	5956903	First Time Homebuyer Program	13	LMH	\$199,296.00
2015	11	2521	5958426	First Time Homebuyer Program	13	LMH	\$110,438.00
					13	Matrix Code	\$309,734.00
2014	18	2406	5882042	Barrier Removal - Single Family Units	14A	LMH	\$5,832.67
2015	15	2517	5882042	Direct/Deferred Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$49,624.87
2015	15	2517	5897413	Direct/Deferred Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$31,452.34
2015	15	2517	5924370	Direct/Deferred Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$31,716.79
2015	15	2517	5956903	Direct/Deferred Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$40,524.36
2015	15	2517	5958426	Direct/Deferred Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$18,833.05
2015	16	2519	5882042	Emergency Repair Loan Program - Single Family	14A	LMH	\$35,859.76
2015	16	2519	5897413	Emergency Repair Loan Program - Single Family	14A	LMH	\$105,397.84
2015	16	2519	5924370	Emergency Repair Loan Program - Single Family	14A	LMH	\$63,161.31
2015	16	2519	5956903	Emergency Repair Loan Program - Single Family	14A	LMH	\$41,407.03
2015	16	2519	5958426	Emergency Repair Loan Program - Single Family	14A	LMH	\$39,477.56
2015	17	2515	5882042	Home Improvement Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$3,765.45
2015	17	2515	5897413	Home Improvement Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$2,143.22
2015	17	2515	5924370	Home Improvement Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$2,968.82
2015	17	2515	5956903	Home Improvement Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$10,857.44
2015	17	2515	5958426	Home Improvement Loan Program (SF)	14A	LMH	\$4,023.35
2015	18	2507	5897413	Barrier Removal - Single Family Units	14A	LMH	\$2,000.00
2015	18	2507	5956903	Barrier Removal - Single Family Units	14A	LMH	\$7,666.67
2015	18	2507	5958426	Barrier Removal - Single Family Units	14A	LMH	\$2,900.00
					14A	Matrix Code	\$499,612.53
2014	25	2402	5882042	Housing Rehab Admin - NeighborWorks Lincoln	14H	LMH	\$1,597.32
2015	25	2503	5924370	Housing Rehab Admin - NeighborWorks Lincoln	14H	LMH	\$17,156.82
2015	25	2503	5958426	Housing Rehab Admin - NeighborWorks Lincoln	14H	LMH	\$12,102.17
2015	25	2514	5882042	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$88,932.50
2015	25	2514	5897413	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$70,063.79
2015	25	2514	5924370	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$106,248.12
2015	25	2514	5956903	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$98,755.18
2015	25	2514	5958426	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$33,637.13
2015	25	2514	5975581	Housing Rehabilitation Administration	14H	LMH	\$639.12
					14H	Matrix Code	\$429,132.15
2014	23	2476	5882042	Lead-based Paint Grants (SF)	14I	LMH	\$9,068.00
2015	22	2571	5956903	Lead-based Paint Grants (SF)	14I	LMH	\$300.00
					14I	Matrix Code	\$9,368.00
Total							\$1,565,426.32

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2015
 LINCOLN, NE

DATE: 11-07-16
 TIME: 10:39
 PAGE: 4

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	7	2403	5882042	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$3,178.14
2014	19	2407	5882042	Public Service - HIMS	05	LMC	\$13,604.42
2014	22	2401	5882042	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$836.22
2015	7	2504	5924370	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$93,363.64
2015	7	2504	5958426	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Neighborhood Revit	05	LMA	\$1,636.36
2015	9	2512	5897413	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$5,154.00
2015	9	2512	5924370	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$2,329.40
2015	9	2512	5956903	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$2,902.53
2015	9	2512	5958426	Community CROPS Operations	05	LMC	\$5,366.59
2015	19	2508	5924370	Public Service - Continuum of Care	05	LMC	\$7,800.00
2015	19	2508	5958426	Public Service - Continuum of Care	05	LMC	\$7,864.68
2015	21	2502	5924370	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$17,230.90
2015	21	2502	5956903	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$1,636.36
2015	21	2502	5958426	NeighborWorks Lincoln-Homebuyer Training	05	LMC	\$10,906.68
					05	Matrix Code	\$173,809.92
2015	10	2513	5882042	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$3,917.82
2015	10	2513	5897413	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$4,487.18
2015	10	2513	5924370	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$6,677.15
2015	10	2513	5956903	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$7,564.05
2015	10	2513	5958426	American Job Center	05H	LMC	\$2,834.87
					05H	Matrix Code	\$25,481.07
Total							\$199,290.99

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	24	2522	5882042	Community Development Staffing	20		\$65,510.50
2015	24	2522	5897413	Community Development Staffing	20		\$37,517.79
2015	24	2522	5924370	Community Development Staffing	20		\$62,841.16
2015	24	2522	5956903	Community Development Staffing	20		\$31,224.00
2015	24	2522	5958426	Community Development Staffing	20		\$18,694.30
					20	Matrix Code	\$215,787.75
2015	24	2501	5882042	General Program Administration	21A		\$53,027.16
2015	24	2501	5897413	General Program Administration	21A		\$32,128.84
2015	24	2501	5924370	General Program Administration	21A		\$53,863.48
2015	24	2501	5956903	General Program Administration	21A		\$47,818.24
2015	24	2501	5958426	General Program Administration	21A		\$16,669.89
2015	24	2501	5975581	General Program Administration	21A		\$201.24
					21A	Matrix Code	\$203,708.85
Total							\$419,496.60

PR 26 - Line 17 ÷ Line 18

Line 17 - Expended For Low/Mod Housing In Special Areas	
1	If you have more than one CDFI or NRSA, separate the activities on the list (generated as part of the Financial Summary) by CDFI or Strategy Area. Then, follow step 2 through step 9 for each area, as described below, totaling the amounts for all areas under step 10. If you have only one CDFI or NRSA, complete steps 2 through 9 for the area and enter the amount of CDBG funds expended for these housing activities for this reporting period on Line 17.
	For each CDFI Area or NRSA, enter amount of CDBG funds expended in CDFI/NRSA \$ 621,649.68
2	For each CDFI Area or NRSA, calculate the total number of housing units assisted during the reporting period for all activities on the list within that area. 54
3	Identify the total number of those housing units occupied by low/mod households as of the end of the reporting period. 52
4	Divide the amount from step 3 by the amount from step 2 = percent occupied by low/mod households 95.30%
5	Identify the total cost for all of the housing units reported under step 2, including private, other public and CDBG funds \$ 661,860.92
6	Identify the total CDBG funds to be used for all of the housing units assisted. \$ 621,649.68
7	Divide the amount from step 6 by the amount from step 5 = percent of total amount paid with CDBG. 93.92%
8	If the percent from step 7 (percent paid with CDBG) is less than the percent from step 4 (percent of units occupied by low/mod households), no further calculation is needed for this CDFI or NRSA. However, if you have more than one CDFI or NRSA, make note of the amount of CDBG funds expended for these activities for use in step 10.
9	If the percent paid with CDBG (step 7) is greater than the percent of units occupied by low/mods (step 4), complete the following steps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> multiply the percent from step 4 (percent occupied by low/mod households) times the amount from step 5 (total project cost). Divide the product from a) by the amount of CDBG funds to be used for these activities (from step 6). Multiply the quotient from b) by the CDBG funds expended (cash disbursed and any accrued expenditures) for these activities during the reporting period. The product is the amount counted for this area for the low/mod benefit calculation. If you have more than one CDFI or NRSA, make note of the product obtained in c) and repeat steps 2 - 9 for each remaining area. If you have only one CDFI or NRSA, enter the product obtained from c) on line 17.
10	If you have more than one CDFI or NRSA, total the amounts obtained from completing steps 8 and/or 9 d) for each area and enter the sum on Line 17. \$ 621,649.68

Line 18 - Expended For Low/Mod Multi-Unit Housing

- 1 Review the activities on the list for this line and determine if all are, in fact, multi-unit activities. The amount expended for any activity(ies) determined to not be multi-unit housing should be excluded from Line 18 and included as part of the amount entered on Line 20.
- 2 Also, review the list of activities for Line 19. If any activity on the Line 19 list is actually a multi-unit housing activity, it should be included in the calculation at step 3, below, and a negative adjustment made (using a minus sign) on Line 20 to compensate for removing it from Line 19.
- 3 For each activity that is a multi-unit housing activity, complete the following steps:

For each multi-unit housing activity, enter amount of CDBG funds expended on the activity.	\$	37,051.20
a) Identify the total number of units in the activity.		6
b) Identify the total number of units that are to be occupied by low/mod households.		6
c) Divide b) by a) = percent occupied by low/mod households.		100.00%
d) Identify the total cost of the activity, including private, other public and CDBG funds.	\$	37,051.20
e) Identify the total CDBG funds to be used for the activity.	\$	37,051.20
f) Divide e) by d) = percent of total paid with CDBG.		100.00%
g) If the percent paid with CDBG f) is less than the percent of units occupied by low/mod households c), no further calculation is needed for this activity. Make note of the amount of CDBG funds expended for this activity during the reporting period; it will be used in step i) below.		Go to step i)
h) If the percent paid with CDBG f) is greater than the percent of units occupied by low/mod households c), complete the following steps:		
(i) multiply the percent occupied by low/mod households c) by the total project cost d).		
(ii) divide the product from i) above, by the amount of CDBG funds to be used for this activity e).		
(iii) multiply the quotient from (ii) by the CDBG funds expended for this activity during the reporting period. The product is the amount credited for this activity for the low/mod benefit calculation.		
(iv) Make note of the product obtained from (iii) for use in step i) below.		
i) After completing the above steps, as appropriate, for each multi-unit housing activity, total the amounts from g) and h) (iv), and enter the sum on Line 18.		
	\$	37,051.20

eCart Submission to HUD 2015



SUBMIT TO
HUD__ESG-CAPER-R

NHAP Programs Annual Performance Measurements 2015

Lincoln Nebraska CoC 2016 Overall Results and Outcomes														
Evaluation Criteria	2016 Benchmark / Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	
Performance			ALL				PSH			RRH			TH	
Efficient Use of Resources														
1	Occupancy ¹	90%	99%	10	8	108%	4	0	98%	1	0	91%	5	0
2	Spending on last year's HUD grant ¹	100%	89%	10	3	96%	4	1	90%	1	0	83%	5	2
Eligibility														
3	At least one Adult Participant per household with previous residence that indicates qualified literal homelessness	90% PSH 70% RRH 60% TH	73%	11	7	100%	5	5	79%	1	1	46%	5	1
Participant Income/Resources														
4	Percentage of all adult participants who gained or increased EARNED INCOME from entry to exit/follow-up (leavers and stayers)	10% PSH 10% RRH 40% TH	19%	11	0	9%	5	0	9%	1	0	32%	5	0
5	Percentage of all adult participants who gained or increased OTHER INCOME (NON-EARNED) from entry to exit/follow-up (leavers and stayers)	25%	23%	11	5	31%	5	4	21%	1	0	15%	5	1
6	All adult participants with NON-CASH benefits (health insurance, food stamps, etc)	75%	85%	11	9	90%	5	5	77%	1	1	82%	5	3

Lincoln Nebraska CoC 2016 Overall Results and Outcomes														
Evaluation Criteria	2016 Benchmark / Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	
Length of Stay														
7	TH Only: Length of Stay for all participants is 1 year or less	75%	73%	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	73%	5	3
8	RRH Only: Length of Stay for all participants is 6 months or less	85%	38%	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	38%	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Performance			ALL				PSH			RRH			TH	
Housing Stability														
9	PSH Only: Percentage of all participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing ²	90%	87%	5	0	87%	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	TH & RRH: Percentage of all leavers who exited to Permanent Housing ²	85% RRH 90% TH	61%	6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	63%	1	0	60%	5	1
11	Percentage of all leavers who exited to shelter, streets or unknown ²	Less than or equal to 10%	22%	11	6	32%	5	3	13%	1	0	15%	5	3
CONSUMER SURVEYS			ALL				PSH			RRH			TH	
12	Consumer Surveys - Response Rate	35%	133%	2	2	#DIV/0!	0	0	N/A	0	0	133%	2	2
13	Consumer Surveys - Results	30 Points	44.4	2	N/A	PENDING	0	N/A	PENDING	0	N/A	44.4	2	N/A

Lincoln Nebraska CoC 2016 Overall Results and Outcomes														
Evaluation Criteria	2016 Benchmark / Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	
COMPLIANCE			ALL				PSH			RRH			TH	
15	Match equals or exceeds statutory requirement	25% excluding leasing	28%	11	11	25%	5	5	25%	1	1	31%	5	5
16	Monitoring - HUD Findings	No findings or all issues / findings resolved	100%	1	1	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	100%	1	1
17	HUD Drawdown within 90 days	Less than or equal to 90 days	100%	9	9	100%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%	3	3
HMIS			ALL				PSH			RRH			TH	
18	HMIS Universal Data Elements null or unknown	Less than or equal to 5%	0%	11	11	0%	5	5	0%	1	1	0%	5	5

Lincoln Nebraska CoC														
2016 Overall Results and Outcomes														
Evaluation Criteria	2016 Benchmark / Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	Actual Performance	Number of Programs Scored	Number of Programs that Met the Standard	
ALL				PSH				RRH				TH		
CRITERIA NOT SCORED IN 2016														
Efficient Use of Resources														
Participant Income/Resources														
21	Percentage of adult leavers who gained or increased EARNED INCOME from entry to exit	10% PSH 10% RRH 40% TH	25%	11	4	16%	5	2	17%	1	1	36%	5	1
22	Percentage of all adult leavers who gained or increased OTHER (NON-EARNED) INCOME from entry to exit	25%	32%	11	7	41%	5	4	38%	1	1	21%	5	2
23	Percentage of all adult leavers with EARNED INCOME	N/A	28%	11		18%	5		17%	1		41%	5	
24	Percentage of all adult leavers with OTHER INCOME (NON-EARNED)	N/A	44%	11		58%	5		54%	1		27%	5	
25	Percentage of all adult participants with EARNED INCOME	N/A	26%	11		13%	5		11%	1		42%	5	
26	Percentage of all adult participants with OTHER INCOME (NON-EARNED)	N/A	43%	11		58%	5		43%	1		29%	5	
27	Percentage of all adult participants with Food Stamps	N/A	79%	11		84%	5		72%	1		74%	5	
28	Percentage of all adult participants with Health Insurance	N/A	48%	11		56%	5		26%	1		45%	5	
29	Number of APR charts with errors	0	3.1	11	0	2.4	5	0	1.0	1	0	4.2	5	0

Lincoln Nebraska							
2016 Provider Scores							
	Recipient	Program Name	Grant Number	ARD of APR reviewed	Component	Zero Exits?	Provider Score
1	CenterPointe	Permanent Housing Project	NE0017L7D021306	\$ 214,590.00	PSH	NO	101.9
2	CenterPointe	Veterans Permanent Housing Project	NE0045L7D021302	\$ 53,210.00	PSH	NO	98.4
3	CenterPointe	Transitions Two	NE0057L7D021302	\$ 96,678.00	PSH	NO	94.9
4	City of Lincoln	S+C for Chronically Homeless	NE0059L7D021302	\$ 33,057.00	PSH	NO	78.7
5	CEDARS Youth Services	New Futures Supportive Housing Program	NE0016L7D021306	\$ 130,609.00	TH	NO	73.3
6	City of Lincoln	Outreach Housing Project	NE0073L7D021300	\$ 71,071.00	PSH	NO	63.1
7	CenterPointe	Glide PATH	NE0015L7D021306	\$ 225,183.00	RRH	NO	62.5
8	Catholic Social Services	Transitions Project-Lincoln CSS	NE0019L7D021306	\$ 95,588.00	TH	NO	61.1
9	Community Action Partnership of Lancaster and Saunders Counties	Supportive Housing Program	NE0018L7D021306	\$ 460,526.00	TH	NO	60.0
10	CenterPointe	Adult and Youth Residential	NE0014L7D021306	\$ 454,754.00	TH	NO	38.2
11	St. Monica's	Women in Transition	NE0020L7D021306	\$ 89,607.00	TH	NO	30.6
				\$ 174,988.45		Average	69.3
						Median	63.1
				\$ 1,924,873.00		High	101.9
						Low	30.6

Lincoln Nebraska
HUD Participant Demographics and Outcomes

	ALL			PSH			RRH			TH		
	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%
HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPANTS												
Households	272	91	N/A	101	7	N/A	47	0	N/A	124	84	N/A
Adults - Seniors (62+)	8	0	1.4%	7	0	5.8%	1	0	2.1%	0	0	0.0%
Adults (25-61)	212	71	49.9%	83	7	75.0%	43	0	91.5%	86	64	37.5%
Adults - Youth (18-24)	54	33	15.3%	11	3	11.7%	3	0	6.4%	40	30	17.5%
Refused / Missing Information	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Subtotal Adults	274	104	66.7%	101	10	92.5%	47	0	100.0%	126	94	55.0%
Children (0-17)	0	189	33.3%	0	9	7.5%	0	0	0.0%	0	180	45.0%
Total	274	293		101	19		47	0		126	274	
	ALL			PSH			RRH			TH		
VETERANS	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%
Veterans	20	1	5.6%	15	0	13.5%	1	0	2.1%	4	1	2.3%
Non Veterans	254	103	94.4%	86	10	86.5%	46	0	97.9%	122	93	97.7%
Refused / Missing Information	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Total	274	104		101	10		47	0		126	94	
	ALL			PSH			RRH			TH		
DV EXPERIENCE?	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%
Yes	93	65	41.8%	22	6	25.2%	16	0	34.0%	55	59	51.8%
No	181	30	55.8%	79	4	74.8%	31	0	66.0%	71	26	44.1%
Refused / Missing Information	0	9	2.4%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	9	4.1%
Total	274	104		101	10		47	0		126	94	
	ALL			PSH			RRH			TH		
PRIOR RESIDENCE	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%
Literally Homeless	173	84	68.0%	101	10	100.0%	37	0	78.7%	35	74	49.5%
Institutional Settings less than 90 days	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Homeless by old HUD homeless regulations (2007 or prior)	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Institutional Settings	43	8	13.5%	0	0	0.0%	3	0	6.4%	40	8	21.8%
Other Locations	58	12	18.5%	0	0	0.0%	7	0	14.9%	51	12	28.6%
Refused / Missing Information	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Total	274	104		101	10		47	0		126	94	
	ALL			PSH			RRH			TH		
KNOWN CONDITIONS - PHYSICAL OR MENTAL	Singles	Families (incl. Children)	%	Singles	Families (incl. Children)	%	Singles	Families (incl. Children)	%	Singles	Families (incl. Children)	%
No Known Conditions	6	252	45.5%	0	11	9.2%	0	0	0.0%	6	241	61.8%
1 Known Condition	35	35	12.3%	28	4	26.7%	3	0	6.4%	4	31	8.8%
2 Known Conditions	78	3	14.3%	30	2	26.7%	11	0	23.4%	37	1	9.5%
3+ Known Conditions	155	3	27.9%	43	2	37.5%	33	0	70.2%	79	1	20.0%
Refused / Missing Information	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Total	274	293		101	19		47	0		126	274	
	ALL		PSH		RRH		TH					
EARNED INCOME	All Adults	%	All Adults	%	All Adults	%	All Adults	%				
Earned Income Increased at Exit	38	10.1%	6	5.4%	4	8.5%	28	12.7%				
Earned Income Increased at Follow-up	21	5.6%	6	5.4%	0	0.0%	15	6.8%				
Earned Income Remained the Same at Exit	5	1.3%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	4	1.8%				
Earned Income Remained the Same at Follow-up	16	4.2%	3	2.7%	1	2.1%	12	5.5%				
Subtotal Earned Income Maintained or Increased	80	21.2%	16	14.4%	5	10.6%	59	26.8%				
Earned Income Decreased at Exit	3	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.4%				
Earned Income Decreased at Follow-up	4	1.1%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	3	1.4%				
No Earned Income at Exit	143	37.8%	23	20.7%	20	42.6%	100	45.5%				
No Earned Income at Follow-up	141	37.3%	68	61.3%	22	46.8%	51	23.2%				
Refused / Missing Information	7	1.9%	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	4	1.8%				
Total	378		111		47		220					

**Lincoln Nebraska
HUD Participant Demographics and Outcomes**

	ALL		PSH		RRH		TH		
	All Adults	%							
OTHER INCOME									
Other Income Increased at Exit	52	13.8%	15	13.5%	9	19.1%	28	12.7%	
Other Income Increased at Follow-up	33	8.7%	24	21.6%	1	2.1%	8	3.6%	
Other Income Remained the Same at Exit	27	7.1%	2	1.8%	1	2.1%	24	10.9%	
Other Income Remained the Same at Follow-up	34	9.0%	11	9.9%	6	12.8%	17	7.7%	
Subtotal Other Income Maintained or Increased	146	38.6%	52	46.8%	17	36.2%	77	35.0%	
Other Income Decreased at Exit	8	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	7	3.2%	
Other Income Decreased at Follow-up	10	2.6%	5	4.5%	0	0.0%	5	2.3%	
No Other Income at Exit	102	27.0%	13	11.7%	13	27.7%	76	34.5%	
No Other Income at Follow-up	105	27.8%	38	34.2%	16	34.0%	51	23.2%	
Refused / Missing Information	7	1.9%	3	2.7%	0	0.0%	4	1.8%	
Total	378		111		47		220		
NON-CASH BENEFITS									
Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program at Exit	137	36.2%	24	21.6%	19	40.4%	94	42.7%	
Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program at Follow-up	142	37.6%	69	62.2%	15	31.9%	58	26.4%	
Total with SNAP	279	73.8%	93	83.8%	34	72.3%	152	69.1%	
Health Insurance at Exit	94	24.9%	20	18.0%	9	19.1%	65	29.5%	
Health Insurance at Follow-up	89	23.5%	45	40.5%	3	6.4%	41	18.6%	
Total with Health Insurance	183	48.4%	65	58.6%	12	25.5%	106	48.2%	
Any Non-Cash Benefits at Exit	156	41.3%	26	23.4%	20	42.6%	110	50.0%	
Any Non-Cash Benefits at Follow-up	161	42.6%	74	66.7%	16	34.0%	71	32.3%	
Total with Any Non-Cash Benefits	317	83.9%	100	90.1%	36	76.6%	181	82.3%	
No Non-Cash Benefits	58	15.3%	11	9.9%	11	23.4%	36	16.4%	
Refused / Missing Information	3	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.4%	
Total	378		111		47		220		
LENGTH OF STAY									
	Leavers	Stayers	%	Leavers	Stayers	%	Leavers	Stayers	%
0 to 6 Months	99	108	36.5%	5	25	25.0%	6	12	38.3%
7 to 12 Months	52	56	19.0%	8	16	20.0%	3	6	19.1%
13 to 24 Months	62	83	25.6%	8	24	26.7%	13	5	38.3%
25+ Months	63	44	18.9%	13	21	28.3%	2	0	4.3%
Refused / Missing Information	-1	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Total	275	292		34	86		24	23	217
DESTINATIONS									
	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%	Singles	Families	%
Permanent Housing	59	101	58.2%	14	5	55.9%	15	0	62.5%
Temporary Housing	27	21	17.5%	2	2	11.8%	4	0	16.7%
Institutional Settings	17	1	6.5%	2	1	8.8%	2	0	8.3%
Other Locations	2	2	1.5%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
Deceased	3	0	1.1%	3	0	8.8%	0	0	0.0%
Streets or Shelters	24	0	8.7%	4	0	11.8%	1	0	4.2%
Refused / Missing Information	13	5	6.5%	1	0	2.9%	2	0	8.3%
Total	145	130		26	8		24	0	95

**Lincoln Nebraska
HUD Participant Demographics and Outcomes**

	ALL		PSH		RRH		TH	
	\$ Amount	%	\$ Amount	%	\$ Amount	%	\$ Amount	%
SPENDING								
Grant Award	\$ 1,924,873.00	N/A	\$ 468,606.00	N/A	\$ 225,183.00	N/A	\$ 1,231,084.00	N/A
Overstatement in Financials	\$ -	N/A	\$ -	N/A	\$ -	N/A	\$ -	N/A
Leasing	\$ 490,152.41	25.5%	\$ 63,984.00	13.7%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 426,168.41	34.6%
Rental Assistance	\$ 418,805.69	21.8%	\$ 297,784.15	63.5%	\$ 121,021.54	53.7%	\$ -	0.0%
Supportive Services	\$ 610,993.95	31.7%	\$ 46,513.30	9.9%	\$ 69,691.14	30.9%	\$ 494,789.51	40.2%
Operating	\$ 156,353.94	8.1%	\$ 15,883.81	3.4%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 140,470.13	11.4%
HMIS	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	0.0%
Administration	\$ 108,473.02	5.6%	\$ 22,687.02	4.8%	\$ 12,587.00	5.6%	\$ 73,199.00	5.9%
Unspent Funds	\$ 140,093.99	7.3%	\$ 21,753.72	4.6%	\$ 21,883.32	9.7%	\$ 96,456.95	7.8%
Total	\$ 1,924,873.00		\$ 468,606.00		\$ 225,183.00		\$ 1,231,084.00	

Lincoln Nebraska
2016 Mainstream Benefits - Leavers Summary Report

Cash Income Sources	Earned Income	Unemployment Insurance	SSI	SSD	Veteran's Disability	Private Disability Insurance	Worker's Compensation	TANF or Equivalent	General Assistance	Retirement (Social Security)	Veteran's Pension	Pension from Former Job	Child Support	Alimony (Spousal Support)	Other Source	No Sources	1 + Sources	Don't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Cash Income Sources - Leavers Total
PSH Leavers	7	0	10	6	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	7	23	0	0	30
Percentage	23%	0%	33%	20%	3%	0%	0%	3%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3%	0%	0%	23%	77%	0%	0%	24
RRH Leavers	4	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	10	14	0	0	24
Percentage	17%	0%	17%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	42%	58%	0%	0%	137
TH Leavers	38	0	20	14	1	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	10	0	51	82	1	3	137	
Percentage	28%	0%	15%	10%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	37%	60%	1%	2%	191	
All Leavers	49	0	34	25	2	0	0	7	12	0	3	0	11	0	68	119	1	3	191	
Percentage	26%	0%	18%	13%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	2%	0%	6%	0%	36%	62%	1%	2%		

Non-Cash Benefits	Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	MEDICAID Health Insurance	MEDICARE Health Insurance	State Children Health Insurance	WIC	VA Medical Services	TANF Child Care Services	TANF Transportation Services	Other TANF Funded Services	Temporary Rental Assistance	Section 8, Public Housing, Rental Assistance	Other Source	No Sources	1 + Sources	Don't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Non-Cash Benefits - Leavers Total
PSH Leavers	24	14	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	26	0	0	30
Percentage	80%	47%	10%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	13%	87%	0%	0%	
RRH Leavers	19	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20	0	0	24
Percentage	79%	29%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%	
TH Leavers	94	52	10	2	10	1	8	1	0	2	18	9	24	110	1	2	137
Percentage	69%	38%	7%	1%	7%	1%	6%	1%	0%	1%	13%	7%	18%	80%	1%	1%	
All Leavers	137	73	14	2	10	5	8	1	0	2	23	9	32	156	1	2	191
Percentage	72%	38%	7%	1%	5%	3%	4%	1%	0%	1%	12%	5%	17%	82%	1%	1%	

Lincoln Nebraska
2016 Mainstream Benefits - Stayers Summary Report

Cash Income Sources	Earned Income	Unemployment Insurance	SSI	SSD	Veteran's Disability	Private Disability Insurance	Worker's Compensation	TANF or Equivalent	General Assistance	Retirement (Social Security)	Veteran's Pension	Pension from Former Job	Child Support	Alimony (Spousal Support)	Other Source	No Sources	1 + Sources	Don't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Cash Income Sources - Leavers Total
PSH Stayers	10	0	26	11	2	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	50	0	0	81
Percentage	12%	0%	32%	14%	2%	0%	0%	4%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	38%	62%	0%	0%	23
RRH Stayers	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	15	8	0	0	83
Percentage	4%	4%	13%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	65%	35%	0%	0%	187
TH Stayers	31	0	4	5	0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	8	0	1	34	49	0	0	187
Percentage	37%	0%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	1%	41%	59%	0%	0%	187
All Stayers	42	1	33	17	2	0	0	14	9	0	0	0	9	0	2	80	107	0	0	187
Percentage	22%	1%	18%	9%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	1%	43%	57%	0%	0%	

Non-Cash Benefits	Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	MEDICAID Health Insurance	MEDICARE Health Insurance	State Children Health Insurance	WIC	VA Medical Services	TANF Child Care Services	TANF Transportation Services	Other TANF Funded Services	Temporary Rental Assistance	Section 8, Public Housing, Rental Assistance	Other Source	No Sources	1 + Sources	Don't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Non-Cash Benefits - Leavers Total
PSH Stayers	69	33	8	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	74	0	0	81
Percentage	85%	41%	10%	0%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	9%	91%	0%	0%	
RRH Stayers	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	16	0	0	23
Percentage	65%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	70%	0%	0%	
TH Stayers	58	38	3	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	36	3	12	71	0	0	83
Percentage	70%	46%	4%	0%	6%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	43%	4%	14%	86%	0%	0%	
All Stayers	142	74	11	0	7	4	10	0	0	0	36	4	26	161	0	0	187
Percentage	76%	40%	6%	0%	4%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	19%	2%	14%	86%	0%	0%	

**Lincoln Nebraska
2016 Length of Stay Summary Report**

Length of Stay: LEAVERS	Length of Participation - 30 days or less	Length of Participation - 31 to 60 days	Length of Participation - 61 to 180 days	Length of Participation - 181 to 365 days (Standard)	Length of Participation - 366 to 730 days	Length of Participation - 731 to 1,095 days	Length of Participation - 1,096 to 1,460 days	Length of Participation - 1,461 to 1,825 days	Length of Participation - More than 1,825 days	Length of Participation - Information Missing	Length of Participation - Total
PSH Leavers	0	1	4	8	8	9	3	0	1	0	34
Percentage	0%	3%	12%	24%	24%	26%	9%	0%	3%	0%	
RRH Leavers	0	1	5	3	13	2	0	0	0	0	24
Percentage	0%	4%	21%	13%	54%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
TH Leavers	27	16	45	41	41	48	0	0	0	-1	217
Percentage	12%	7%	21%	19%	19%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
All Leavers	27	18	54	52	62	59	3	0	1	-1	275
Percentage	10%	7%	20%	19%	23%	21%	1%	0%	0%	0%	

Length of Stay: STAYERS	Length of Participation - 30 days or less	Length of Participation - 31 to 60 days	Length of Participation - 61 to 180 days	Length of Participation - 181 to 365 days (Standard)	Length of Participation - 366 to 730 days	Length of Participation - 731 to 1,095 days	Length of Participation - 1,096 to 1,460 days	Length of Participation - 1,461 to 1,825 days	Length of Participation - More than 1,825 days	Length of Participation - Information Missing	Length of Participation - Total
PSH Stayers	4	8	13	16	24	12	3	1	5	0	86
Percentage	5%	9%	15%	19%	28%	14%	3%	1%	6%	0%	
RRH Stayers	4	5	3	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	23
Percentage	17%	22%	13%	26%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
TH Stayers	6	18	47	34	54	23	0	0	0	1	183
Percentage	3%	10%	26%	19%	30%	13%	0%	0%	0%	1%	
All Stayers	14	31	63	56	83	35	3	1	5	1	292
Percentage	5%	11%	22%	19%	28%	12%	1%	0%	2%	0%	

**Lincoln Nebraska
2016 Length of Stay Summary Report**

Length of Stay: ALL	Length of Participation - 30 days or less	Length of Participation - 31 to 60 days	Length of Participation - 61 to 180 days	Length of Participation - 181 to 365 days (Standard)	Length of Participation - 366 to 730 days	Length of Participation - 731 to 1,095 days	Length of Participation - 1,096 to 1,460 days	Length of Participation - 1,461 to 1,825 days	Length of Participation - More than 1,825 days	Length of Participation - Information Missing	Length of Participation - Total
PSH	4	9	17	24	32	21	6	1	6	0	120
Percentage	3%	8%	14%	20%	27%	18%	5%	1%	5%	0%	
RRH	4	6	8	9	18	2	0	0	0	0	47
Percentage	9%	13%	17%	19%	38%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
TH	33	34	92	75	95	71	0	0	0	0	400
Percentage	8%	9%	23%	19%	24%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
ALL	41	49	117	108	145	94	6	1	6	0	567
Percentage	7%	9%	21%	19%	26%	17%	1%	0%	1%	0%	