

DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING

Monday, May 7, 2018

555 S. 10TH STREET

BILL LUXFORD STUDIO

I. MINUTES

1. Approval of Directors' minutes from April 23, 2018
2. No Minutes for April 30, 2018 due to night meeting

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR'S OFFICE

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS

1. DLA - Eskridge, Gaylor Baird (04.24.18)
2. WHJPA - Eskridge (04.26.18)
3. PRT - Lamm (04.26.18)
4. ~~Telecommunications/Cable TV Advisory Board - Lamm (04.26.18)~~
5. Telecommunications/Cable TV Advisory Board special meeting - Lamm (04.30.18)
5. ILC - Christensen, Shobe, Eskridge (04.30.18)
6. MPO Officials - Christensen, Raybould (05.04.18)
7. JBC Grant Review - Lamm, Gaylor Baird (05.04.18)

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE

1. Tax Rates - Robert Van Valkenburg
2. Proposed JPA, opposition - Delbert C. Ridder
3. Proposed JPA, opposition - Rosina Paolini
4. Krueger 5 story apartment building, opposition - Connie Dys
5. Proposed JPA and placement of SRO's - Linda Bruner
6. Cement Manufacturing Plant vs. Concrete Bath Plant - Bob Caldwell
7. Proposed JPA, opposition - Stan Vala
8. Proposed JPA - Jeff Cole
9. Proposed JPA, opposition - Nancy Carr
10. Saunders Ave re-paving project - Ben and Amy Herr

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS

See invitation list.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

Angela M. Birkett

From: Robert Van Valkenburg <rjvv@inebraska.com>
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 4:47 PM
To: Robert Van Valkenburg
Subject: Tax rates
Attachments: Tax Rates 18.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

FOR THE INTEREST OF MY FRIENDS.

Van

From: Kelly S. Lundgren [<mailto:KLundgren@lancaster.ne.gov>]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 4:01 PM
To: 'rjvv@inebraska.com'
Subject: Tax rates

Please find attached the information that you had requested.

Kelly Lundgren, Records Administrator
Lancaster County Clerk
555 S. 10th Street, Room 108
Lincoln, NE 68508
Direct: 402-441-7485
Main: 402-441-7484

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

LANCASTER COUNTY

TAX RATES FOR TAXPAYERS INSIDE LINCOLN CITY LIMITS	2012/13 RATE	2016/17 RATE	2017/18 RATE	1 YR % CHANGE	5 YR % CHANGE
AGRIC. SOCIETY OF LANCASTER CO.	0.001501	0.001479	0.001409	-4.73%	-6.13%
AIRPORT AUTHORITY	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	N/A	N/A
CITY OF LINCOLN	0.315800	0.333660	0.316480	-5.15%	0.22%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 18	0.015000	0.015000	0.015000	0.00%	0.00%
LANCASTER COUNTY	0.284300	0.275300	0.266576	-3.17%	-6.23%
LANCASTER CO. AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY JPA	0.003512	0.003042	0.002789	-8.32%	-20.59%
LANCASTER CO. CORRECTIONAL JPA CITY	0.017672	0.015297	0.014069	-8.03%	-20.39%
LANCASTER CO. CORRECTIONAL JPA COUNTY	0.009940	0.008547	0.007863	-8.00%	-20.90%
LOWER PLATTE SOUTH N.R.D.	0.037750	0.033542	0.032066	-4.40%	-15.06%
PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION	0.016660	0.017000	0.017000	0.00%	2.04%
RAILROAD TRANS. SAFETY DIST.	0.010000	0.019000	0.022217	16.93%	122.17%
SCHOOL DISTRICT # 1	1.244705	1.239691	1.238934	-0.06%	-0.46%
SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE	0.062700	0.075200	0.090700	20.61%	44.66%
TOTAL	2.019540	2.036758	2.025103	-0.57%	0.28%

TAX RATES COMMON TO ALL TAXPAYER OUTSIDE THE LINCOLN CITY LIMITS

AGRIC. SOCIETY OF LANCASTER CO.	0.001501	0.001479	0.001409	-4.73%	-6.13%
LANCASTER COUNTY	0.284300	0.275300	0.266576	-3.17%	-6.23%
LANCASTER CO. AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY JPA	0.003512	0.003042	0.002789	-8.32%	-20.59%
LANCASTER CO. REGIONAL LIBRARY	0.020199	0.017200	0.015688	-8.79%	-22.33%
LANCASTER CO. CORRECTIONAL JPA COUNTY	0.009940	0.008547	0.007863	-8.00%	-20.90%
PUBLIC BUILDING COMMISSION	0.016660	0.017000	0.017000	0.00%	2.04%
RAILROAD TRANS. SAFETY DIST.	0.010000	0.019000	0.022217	16.93%	122.17%
SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE	0.062700	0.075200	0.090700	20.61%	44.66%
TOTAL	0.408812	0.416768	0.424242	1.79%	3.77%

	2012/13 RATE	2016/17 RATE	2017/18 RATE	1 YR % CHANGE	5 YR % CHANGE
--	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------

IN ADDITION TAXPAYERS SHOULD ADD ANY OF THE FOLLOWING WHICH APPLY.

A. CITY, TOWN OR VILLAGE TAX RATES

BENNET VILLAGE	0.533632	0.533633	0.533634	0.00%	0.00%
DAVEY VILLAGE	0.245339	0.305879	0.294352	-3.77%	19.98%
DENTON VILLAGE	0.239170	0.227597	0.227595	0.00%	-4.84%
FIRTH VILLAGE	0.280005	0.249348	0.227437	-8.79%	-18.77%
HALLAM VILLAGE	0.662834	0.618000	0.602420	-2.52%	-9.11%
HICKMAN VILLAGE	0.696454	0.645324	0.645323	0.00%	-7.34%
MALCOLM VILLAGE	0.317906	0.375349	0.321839	-14.26%	1.24%
PANAMA VILLAGE	0.444060	0.443347	0.410712	-7.36%	-7.51%
RAYMOND VILLAGE	0.024632	0.022983	0.020170	-12.24%	-18.11%
ROCA VILLAGE	0.449513	0.419163	0.419163	0.00%	-6.75%
SPRAGUE VILLAGE	0.087440	0.095408	0.095408	0.00%	9.11%
WAVERLY	0.447146	0.395160	0.368338	-6.79%	-17.62%

B. EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT TAX RATES

EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 2	0.014992	0.015790	0.015760	-0.19%	5.12%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 4	0.026348	0.015000	0.015000	0.00%	-43.07%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 5	0.026161	0.015788	0.015816	0.18%	-39.54%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 6	0.015000	0.015689	0.015870	1.15%	5.80%
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT # 18	0.015000	0.015000	0.015000	0.00%	0.00%

	2012/13 RATE	2016/17 RATE	2017/18 RATE	1 YR % CHANGE	5 YR % CHANGE
--	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------

C. NATURAL RESOURCES DISTRICT TAX RATES

LOWER PLATTE SO. N.R.D.	0.037750	0.033542	0.032066	-4.40%	-15.06%
NEMAHA N.R.D.	0.044979	0.030631	0.029432	-3.91%	-34.57%

D. RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAX RATES

ASHLAND FIRE DISTRICT # 1	0.040000	0.040000	0.040000	0.00%	0.00%
BENNET FIRE DISTRICT	0.029138	0.036420	0.034280	-5.88%	17.65%
CERESCO FIRE DISTRICT # 5	0.051560	0.040000	0.040000	0.00%	-22.42%
CORTLAND FIRE DISTRICT	0.030000	0.030000	0.030000	0.00%	0.00%
EAGLE-ALVO FIRE DISTRICT	0.021463	0.017209	0.017494	1.66%	-18.49%
FIRTH FIRE DISTRICT	0.078520	0.061150	0.062852	2.78%	-19.95%
GREENWOOD FIRE DISTRICT	0.018740	0.012790	0.013325	4.18%	-28.90%
HALLAM FIRE DISTRICT	0.103743	0.070859	0.063743	-10.04%	-38.56%
HICKMAN FIRE DISTRICT	0.032928	0.035324	0.033133	-6.20%	0.62%
HIGHLAND FIRE DISTRICT	0.033492	0.021197	0.018316	-13.59%	-45.31%
MALCOLM FIRE DISTRICT	0.097985	0.083637	0.079597	-4.83%	-18.77%
RAYMOND FIRE DISTRICT	0.145492	0.139126	0.137135	-1.43%	-5.74%
SEWARD RURAL FIRE DISTRICT	0.032796	0.021831	0.025653	17.51%	-21.78%
SOUTHEAST RURAL FIRE DISTRICT	0.053170	0.034014	0.031677	-6.87%	-40.42%
SOUTHWEST RURAL FIRE DISTRICT	0.086129	0.062039	0.057761	-6.90%	-32.94%
VALPARAISO FIRE DISTRICT # 2	0.040000	0.129097	0.157154	21.73%	292.89%
WAVERLY RURAL FIRE DISTRICT	0.018208	0.013510	0.012722	-5.83%	-30.13%

	2012/13 RATE	2016/17 RATE	2017/18 RATE	1 YR % CHANGE	5 YR % CHANGE
--	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------

E. SCHOOL DISTRICT TAX RATES

DISTRICT # 1 (LINCOLN PUBLIC)	1.244705	1.239691	1.238934	-0.06%	-0.46%
DISTRICT # 145 (WAVERLY)	1.216729	1.210300	1.202213	-0.67%	-1.19%
DISTRICT # 148 (MALCOLM)	1.175644	1.129277	0.925704	-18.03%	-21.26%
DISTRICT # 160 (NORRIS)	1.186650	1.152665	1.159438	0.59%	-2.29%
DISTRICT # 161 (RAYMOND CENTRAL)	1.313979	1.150936	1.135041	-1.38%	-13.62%
DISTRICT # OR-1 (PALMYRA)	1.130968	1.101380	0.924242	-16.08%	-18.28%
SALINE DIST. # 2 (CRETE)	1.153076	1.269369	1.249041	-1.60%	8.32%
SALINE DIST. # 82 (WILBER/CLAT.)	1.126417	0.973363	1.020155	4.81%	-9.43%
SEWARD DIST. # 5 (MILFORD)	1.049510	0.985578	0.894562	-9.23%	-14.76%
GAGE DIST. # 30 (FREEMAN)	1.210656	0.922234	0.904199	-1.96%	-25.31%

F. SANITARY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT TAX RATES

S.I.D. # 5 (CHENEY)	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	N/A	N/A
S.I.D. # 6 (WEST EMERALD)	0.448395	0.426366	0.428953	0.61%	-4.34%
S.I.D. # 8 (WALTON)	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	N/A	N/A

(LEVIES17\TXRATE18)

Angela M. Birkett

From: Delbert Ridder <d-ridder@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 2:39 PM
To: Council Packet
Cc: kathy.danek@lp.org; annie.mumgard@lps.org; don.mayhew@lps.org
Subject: JPA isn't the way

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

A new taxing authority is not an acceptable way to fund additional resource officers for schools, mental health counselors and so forth. The LPS has ample financial sources to fund what they need. We don't need a new taxing authority with obviously no direct responsibility to Lincoln's citizens.

Delbert C. Ridder, 5814 Enterprise Drive 68521

Angela M. Birkett

From: Rosina Paolini <rapaolini@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 5:46 AM
To: Leirion Gaylor Baird; christensen@lincoln.ne.gov; Carl B. Eskridge; Jon Camp; Cyndi Lamm; Jane Raybould; Bennie R. Shobe
Subject: JPA Public Hearings
Attachments: schooljpa2018.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

I am sure you all had a long and busy night last night.
Many thanks for your commitment to our community.
Attached are some thoughts. While I understand the need to make Lincoln feel safe, is police presence in middle schools the answer? Seems more systemic to me.

My thoughts with you-
*rosina

Police presence in schools can provide positive interaction and association with students. The interaction needs to happen in pre-school and grade school. By the time the student is in middle school, the student's relationship with law enforcement is already established.

In the same way that lighting does not decrease crime, police presence in middle schools does not decrease illegal behavior.

**For is it not true that human progress is but a mighty growing pattern woven together by the tenuous single threads united in a common effort?
-Soong Mei-ling (Madame Chiang Kai-shek)**

In today's culture, the ideal is to not have struggle, to have our wants met versus our needs met. That distinction has blurred. It seems to mean stuff rather than time.

The culture of what is acceptable behavior and appropriate expression of frustration and anger is the root cause. When people are in conflict, hurt, frustrated or burdened with mental illness without support, people react rather than act. Navigating the reality of life starts with family support and discipline before children ever get to school. By middle school, young people have established their values.

The \$2 million JPA proposal to create a JPA is a start to restoring our social services and programs that have been so severely cut over the years. JPA's are not meant to be a long - term solution and that is exactly what is needed to improve services over time.

Most importantly raising "successful kids" is about how we guide them to embrace and learn from struggle, the work and tenacity it takes to be who you want to be. Spending quality time with our youth rather than providing them with distractions.

More police presence in schools makes people feel better, removing guns from the community is the long term solution.

Angela M. Birkett

From: Connie Action Electric <actionelectric@neb.rr.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 8:26 AM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: Krueger 5 story apartment building

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

As a homeowner in the Porter Ridge Townhome Assoc. the construction of a 5 story apartment building will be directly behind my townhome. When my husband and I purchased this townhome we were told that there would be buildings similar to the office/medical buildings that were on 29th street area. We were fine with that because there were height restrictions we were told. Now Krueger wants to build mixed use commercial and 5 story apartment building and also to the west a 4 story mixed use building. I believe that this is not in keeping with the aesthetics of the area, and image a 5 story building (50' and 61') in your backyard. I see no reasonable explanation for a building of this size to be built in this small area!! Krueger is building apartments in an area of 7501 South 15th those apartments are not even 5 stories and there is not a residential area around that backs up to them. Why would he not have constructed a 5 story building in that area????

I would encourage you to check out the property where this is to be built and consider if you would want this in your backyard!

This will also affect the value of our property!!!!

I STRONGLY PROTEST THE BUILDING OF THESE TWO APARTMENT BUILDINGS!!!!

Connie Dys
2826 Porter Ridge RD
Lincoln, Ne 68516

Angela M. Birkett

From: Linda Bruner <lbruner@neb.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 6:35 PM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: JJPA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

can you tell me how LPS will decide which schools will be assigned SRO officers. I have grandchildren that attend a school where CLC is not an option. Are decisions being made according to location and Title 1 funded, or will they be available to all schools ? I know a shooter can do damage at a school that is not Title 1 as well as schools of lower income families. I would like to see my grandchildren as safe as everyone else or have counseling available to them for the same price as everyone else.

Linda Bruner

jpA

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

<https://www.avast.com/antivirus>

Angela M. Birkett

From: Carl B. Eskridge
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 10:16 AM
To: Bob Caldwell; joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Cc: Leirion Gaylor Baird; Angela M. Birkett
Subject: RE: Concerned Citizen

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Bob,
Thank you for providing this information.
Carl

Carl B. Eskridge

Lincoln City Council
District 4 (Central and NW Lincoln)

From: Bob Caldwell [bobc@nebcoinc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 10:09 AM
To: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Cc: Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird
Subject: Concerned Citizen

Councilman Camp,

Thank you for sharing the email from the concerned citizen. The study referred to by the citizen related to a "Cement manufacturing plant". **Lincoln, Nebraska has NO such plants.** Please see the explanation below that differentiates "Cement Manufacturing" from "Concrete Batch Plant".

Cement Manufacturing (None in Lincoln) definition below from the EPA website

Portland cement remains the industry's primary product and a key component in the making of concrete.

Portland cement is the generic term for a fine gray powder that binds sand and gravel into concrete. It is produced by combining exact proportions of limestone, clay, and sand, grinding them together, and then heating the mixture in a kiln to form an intermediate product called "clinker." After cooling, clinker is ground with a small amount of gypsum into the Portland cement product.

Particulate matter (PM and PM-10), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide (CO₂) are the primary emissions from the manufacture of Portland cement.

The Cement Manufacturing sector is concentrated among a relatively small number of companies. Many U.S. cement plants are owned by or are subsidiaries of foreign companies. Together, 10 companies accounted for about 80 percent of total U.S. cement production in 2005. California, Texas, Pennsylvania, Florida, and Alabama are the five leading cement-producing states and accounted for about 48 percent of recent U.S. production.

Concrete Batch Plant (Several in Lincoln)

A **concrete plant**, also known as a **batch plant** or **batching plant** or a **concrete batching plant**, is equipment that combines various ingredients to form [concrete](#). Some of these inputs include water, air, admixtures, sand, aggregate, rocks, gravel, and **cement**. A batch plant is very common and provides the concrete to pave our roads, build houses, commercial buildings, and drive our economy.

Please call me if you have questions.

Thank you,

Bob

Bob Caldwell
NEBCO, Inc
402-441-4169
www.nebcoinc.com

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

Angela M. Birkett

From: Stan Vala <svala@neb.rr.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 7:30 AM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: Against new JPA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Mr.Camp,

I wish to voice my preference against adding an additional tax authority with the proposed JPA for Lincoln Public schools. I think the New agency is added bureaucracy we don't need and **without** voter control of the members.

I would appreciate your support against this proposal. Perhaps the one by Coucilwoman Lamm would be better?

Thank you

Stan Vala

2824 O Hanlon Drive

Lincoln NE

Sent from my iPad

Angela M. Birkett

From: Jeff Cole <jcole@nebraskachildren.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 8:51 AM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: Support the JPA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Councilman Camp:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify Monday night in support of the Protective / Preventative / Proactive JPA. It was interesting for me to be in front of City Council again after all these years. I noted that you were the only member that has remained in office since I left city employment. Thank you for your service – and patience. I was a little amazed at the speaker that came in to talk, but it seemed like she was a regular and you were familiar with her speaking style. Again, thank you for your patience.

Given the time limitations on our testimony, I am following up with a couple of more points I wanted to make on why I feel this innovative JPA is so worthy of city council support.

First of all, let me reintroduce myself. My name is Jeff Cole and I am the Network Lead for Beyond School Bells, Nebraska's statewide expanded learning network, a public-private partnership housed at the Nebraska Children and Families Foundation. Beyond School Bells works to develop partnerships that lead to actions at the state and local level resulting in more high quality, sustainable afterschool and summer programs.

I am also a 20-year Lincoln resident and a father of two daughters who went through / about to graduate from the LPS system. I am very grateful to all Lincoln's elected officials who, over many decades have developed the policy environment that has led to Lincoln being one of the best places in America to raise a family. I feel that the opportunity to create a JPA gives you the opportunity to contribute to this long-term effort captured by the engraving on the south entrance to our Capitol - ***political society exists for the sake of noble living.***

So again, I applaud you for considering the establishment of this forward looking JPA, which would help place Lincoln at the forefront of communities seeking innovative solutions to address the challenges facing youth today through funding a combination of protective, preventative and proactive services.

JPAs were established by the Nebraska Legislature to provide you with tools for just this type of policy - partnerships that fall between the lines of existing government authority, like supporting a sophisticated 21st Century network of much needed services that can protect and benefit all Lincoln youth, regardless of their family situation, socio-economic standing or ethnicity.

As several speakers noted, the stability of funding implied in a JPA is a key aspect of this partnership. It will provide an innovative, stable base of public support on which other sources of funding can be integrated. Based in part on conversations with national and state level advocates for afterschool and summer programs outside of Lincoln, it is my firm belief that the establishment of this JPA would establish Lincoln as a leader among innovative, pro-active communities working to address complex problems in new and creative ways.

As such it will not only expand and stabilize the funding base needed for this multi-faceted array of services in Lincoln but will simultaneously strengthen our competitive position among other municipalities. With this base of public support secured, program advocates for all three of the programming strands - SROs, Mental Health professionals and

CLCs - will be in a much stronger position to apply for and secure additional private and philanthropic sector funding to expand and enhance these services as needed.

In short, the establishment of this JPA will, in my mind, enhance Lincoln's competitive position in an increasingly competitive environment for private funding at the local, regional, national and federal levels.

One additional point - during last night's testimony I observed that the discussion around the appropriateness of including SROs in the mix of services has emerged as the one of the most contentious issues in the JPA. I found it interesting that this conversation was juxta-positioned with the conversation about affordable housing in the redevelopment agreement with Speedway Motors and Nelnet for the Telegraph District. After hearing concerns about the availability of low income housing, the Council unanimously agreed the existing agreement but noted that these concerns over the availability of low income housing could be factored into future TIF agreements. The situation with SROs reflects a similar learning opportunity. The opposition to the SROs brought to surface concerns about the efficacy of having traditional law enforcement in school buildings, especially as it relates to targeting minority youth for unnecessary referrals and arrests. Flowing from this testimony, it appears that we should act on these concerns and provide both law enforcement and school administrators with the training they will need to end this all too frequent bias and misuse of SROs while at the same time enhancing security for all students.

In closing, I encourage you to vote for the JPA as an innovation in government that will better position Lincoln to continue to be able to provide future generations of Lincoln youth with all the supports they need to be safe, healthy and productive members of our community. It is your opportunity to make a long term contribution to opportunities for noble living for future generations.

Sincerely



Jeff Cole | VP, Beyond School Bells
Nebraska Children and Families Foundation

p: (402) 477-6422 | m: (402) 802-6333 | f: (402) 476-9486
215 Centennial Mall South, Suite 200 | Lincoln, NE 68508
jcole@nebraskachildren.org

Cultivating the good life for *all* of our children.
www.NebraskaChildren.org
www.beyondschoolbells.org
Follow us on: [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [YouTube](#)

Angela M. Birkett

From: Nancy Carr <nancyfcarr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 12:27 PM
To: Jon Camp; Jon Camp; Roy A. Christensen; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Cyndi Lamm; Jane Raybould; Bennie R. Shobe; Barbara Baier; Lanny Boswell; Kathy Danek; Connie Duncan; Don Mayhew; Annie Mumgaard; Matt Schulte
Cc: Mayor; Steve Joel Supt of Schools
Subject: Let's focus on school safety

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

The concern of citizens, parents and students at the first town hall meeting was that the schools be made more secure in the aftermath of one more "school shooting." The suggestion was to add school resource officers for all the middle schools. Suddenly, the JPA was proposed by Mayor Beutler and Dr. Joel-- ready-made for the occasion-- to add social workers and more funding for Community Learning Centers and additional mental health services, as well as the school resource officers.

Since then, it has come to our attention that the JPA proposal is not custom-made for today's concerns, but was proposed years ago as a way of providing "stable funding" (taxes on the People never fail) for the Community Learning Centers as federal grants were expiring. It didn't fly then, but they hoped with all the aroused concern over school safety it might be a good time to try again, so they just threw some school resource officers into the old plan, but the main emphasis is still on funding the Community Learning Centers and additional mental health services. In fact, in the JPA proposal, half of the first year's revenue would go directly to the CLCs. In public testimony, Patrick Conway of the parent group requesting more school resource officers, noted that there is a cap on funding for school resource officers in the JPA proposal, but a required minimum and no cap on funding for CLCs. Mr. Conway correctly identified the JPA as a "bait and switch," taking advantage of current levels of concern for school safety to push the previous plan for CLC funding.

Councilwoman Cyndi Lamm is proposing an interlocal agreement that will address all the relevant issues without creating an additional bureaucracy or an additional tax. Councilwoman Gaylor-Baird would like to "replicate the JPA" through interlocal agreements, but the vast majority of public comment rejected the JPA altogether, so why would she be seeking to replicate it? We don't want it.

And somehow, in all the hoopla over the JPA, the original concern over school safety and school resource officers is getting lost. We need to focus on the school safety issues that are a concern to all of us. A simple solution is to make provision for the school resource officers, and a threat assessment officer, if need be, and deal with that one issue in a concrete manner through the interlocal agreements that are already in place and utilizing funds that are already in the hands of the city and LPS. This is what the People requested at the town hall meeting. Funding for CLCs and mental health services need to be addressed as separate topics at some other time.

Thank you for your time and attention,
Nancy Carr

Cell: 402-304-2769
6900 Ash Hollow Lane
Lincoln, NE 68516

Angela M. Birkett

From: Ben Herr <bcherr@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2018 6:53 PM
To: Cyndi Lamm; Jon Camp; Jane Raybould; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Bennie R. Shobe
Cc: edgrubbs@aol.com
Subject: Saunders Re-Paving

Dear Council Members,

On the agenda for the May 7th City Council meeting will be an item to approve funding for the short fall of bidding for the Saunders re-paving district. At this time we would like to ask you to consider voting to approve the funds.

The street is now a rock/gravel road and presents a driving hazard for drivers that have some experience on gravel roads and is a danger to those drivers that do not anticipate the transition in road surface.

In addition, the drainage ditches have been filled in and culverts under the driveways are blocked.

The repaving is very badly need at this point.

Thank you for your consideration and for your vote in favor,

Ben and Amy Herr
1231 & 1303 Saunders

Ed Grubbs
1234 Saunders

Richard Wiltshire
1358 Saunders

Scott Madison
1220 Saunders