

### I. City Council Agenda & City Clerk Advisories

i. Public comments will be held tonight, 3.27.23

#### II. Directorial Advisories

- i. BP230321 1 AA Weekly Approvals City Jennifer McDonald
- ii. BPC230322 1 PC Final Action Notice Shelli Reid

#### III. Constituent Correspondence

- i. New Mexico George Wolf
- ii. Annexation Ivan and Arlene Hevelone
- iii. Annexation Ray and Sharron Scott
- iv. Proposed Annexation City Council Meeting Gary Myers
- v. Change of Zone Support Jeff and Mary Searcy

## Memorandum

**Date:** March 21, 2023

To: City Clerk

From: Alexis Longstreet, Planning Dept.

**Re:** Administrative Approvals

cc: Shelli Reid, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from March 14, 2023, through March 20, 2023:

**Administrative Amendment 23004,** to Use Permit #9U, Edgewood Shopping Center, was approved on March 14, 2023, to revise the layout for the southwestern portion of Area E and to reduce the front setback along South 56<sup>th</sup> Street from 50' to 20' on property generally located at South 56<sup>th</sup> Street and Nebraska Parkway.

Waiver to Design Standard #23001, Sid Dillion, was approved on March 20, 2023, to adjust the Design Standards or Outdoor Lighting Standards on property generally located at North Cotner Boulevard and O Street.

# PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION NOTIFICATION

**TO**: Mayor Leirion Gaylor Baird

Lincoln City Council

FROM: Shelli Reid, Planning

**DATE**: March 22, 2023

**RE:** Notice of final action by Planning Commission: March 22, 2023

Please be advised that on March 22, 2023, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolutions:

**Resolution PC-01855,** approving SPECIAL PERMIT 23014, to allow for a CUP (Community Unit Plan) for 14 dwelling units and associated waivers, on property legally described as Lot 1, Fieldstone Center 4th Addition, located in the NE 1/4 of Section 12-10-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 3995 North 26th Street and North 26th Street and Old Dairy Road.

The Planning Commission action on these applications is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the Planning Department within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at <a href="www.lincoln.ne.gov">www.lincoln.ne.gov</a> (search for "PATS"). Click on "Planning Application Tracking Service (PATS)" at the top of the page, click "Selection Screen" under "PATS Tools" on the right side of the screen, type in the application number (i.e. SP23014) click on "Search", then "Select", and go to "Related Documents".

F:\devreview\final action notices\cc\2023\032223

 From:
 George Wolf

 To:
 Council Packet

 Subject:
 New Mexico

**Date:** Thursday, March 16, 2023 11:48:55 AM

#### Dear Council Members:

#### FYI:

https://www.lgbtqnation.com/2023/03/new-mexico-updates-anti-discrimination-law-to-include-lgbtq-protections/?
utm\_id=sidebar1&utm\_term=headline&utm\_content=usa&utm\_source
=LGBTQ+Nation+Subscribers&utm\_campaign=c009f6daba20230316\_LGBTQ\_Nation\_Daily\_Brief&utm\_medium=email&utm\_term=0\_c4eab596bd-c009f6daba-%5BLIST\_EMAIL\_ID%5D

George Wolf

 From:
 Arlene Hevelone

 To:
 Council Packet

 Subject:
 Annexation

**Date:** Friday, March 17, 2023 8:26:22 PM

#### To the City Council,

We are very concerned about the annexation taking place. We would loose our fire station at 7700 Eiger Drive. We moved out to this area to have county style living. We are concerned that realtors will purchase properties and building up to 25 homes on a 4 acre area not having country style living anymore! There will also be a big traffic problem! We have been told that we could not have burn permits anymore and also that we could not have electric fencing around our gardens. We grow a large amount of sweet corn for our family and that is the only way we can keep out the coons.

Please delay the annexation until there is a good fire protection for us with fire hydrants and water lines in place. We feel that you want to annex our area so that you can get our tax money's and we get nothing in return.

Thank you, Ivan and Arlene Hevelone 7400 Badger Drive From: Joyce Scott
To: Council Packet
Subject: Annexation

**Date:** Saturday, March 18, 2023 5:33:50 PM

We live at 7100 Revere lane. We will not be able to attend the meeting on Monday. Our issues are with water and sewer costs and the availability of a fire department in case of a fire. we dont believe this area should be annexed and at the very least annexation delayed until all concerns are addressed and solutions worked out.

Ray and Sharron Scott 7100 Revere Lane Lincoln ne. 68516 Sent from my iPhone From: Gary Myers
To: Council Packet

Subject: Proposed Annexation - City Council Meeting
Date: Tuesday, March 21, 2023 9:28:59 AM

#### Honorable Council Member,

Thank you again for providing me the opportunity to testify before your council. I understand that much was said at the council meeting yesterday and testimony can run together. Therefore, I have embedded my testimony here for your reference.

I thank you for your careful consideration of this proposal and ask that you consider not just the impact to the city, but the impact to the residents of the annexation area. Most of the impact to the residents can be mitigated through wisely crafted ordinances and services being enhanced or put in place prior to annexation. Since there is no emergency, there is no reason to not get this right, up front, and thereby avoiding having to fix it after the fact. In the case of a serious fire, it may not be possible to fix it after the fact.

#### Good afternoon Lincoln City Council members.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you. I would also like to thank the council members who have taken the time to come speak with us.

I live on Boone Trail in the proposed annexation area. My property is near 78<sup>th</sup> Street and the Amber Hills subdivision, within the city limits. The gentlemen from the planning department, who presented the annexation proposal, have stated that we, the residents of the proposed annexation area, have been taking advantage of the city for decades. I find that statement offensive, as we did not move or encroach on the city but the city moved into us. We did not ask for or need the development. In fact, there have been direct and indirect cost to us resulting from the city's development. Allow me to cite two examples. I live on a street, not paid for or maintained by the city, that has a total of four houses. One would think that a street with four houses would have limited traffic. However, due to the subdivision across from my house, my street has traffic of 50 to 100 vehicles per hour during the day. Frequently, when trying to pull out from my driveway, I have to wait for traffic to clear before proceeding. Secondly, much of the storm water runoff in the subdivision is collected in storm drains and routed to a collection basin directly across from my property. From the basin, the consolidated water is released into a culvert which opens onto my property where it flows unmitigated. Understand this is not just the runoff of an adjoining property, but the runoff of a subdivision and

is enough water that you can see the flow through my yard. Both of these examples are the result of city development taking advantage of us and our area, not us taking advantage of the city. It has been suggested that we should compensate the city for its development, meanwhile the city does not compensate us for the costs of said development. But then I ask, is either taking advantage or is that simply what good neighbors do for each other? There are many issues identified here today, in previous meetings, as well as by the planning commissioners and planning department that have not yet been resolved. We respectfully request that annexation be at least delayed until ordinances have been put in place to address the issues related to acreage property within the city limits and concerns such as fire protection have been resolved. It seems only proper to have the structure in place, for known issues, prior to annexation, rather than after the fact. As we have lived peacefully, as neighbors with the city, for decades, there is no emergency need or urgency to annex our area now. There will be no harm caused to the city, or the residents thereof, by waiting until issues have not just been heard or identified but addressed in an annexation proposal or by ordinances adopted and services, such as fire protection that is years out to equip the need for acreage properties, have actually been put in place. Our area is not the only area with acreage properties that the city will be seeking to annex. Resolution of the issues relating to acreage properties prior to annexation will not just make the annexation of our area smoother, but future annexations as well. Thank you for your time.

Gary Myers 7541 Boone Trail Gary@BTCSac.Com 916-761-3238





Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Commission Lincoln City Council 555 South 10th Street Lincoln, NE 68508

March 20, 2023

Re: change of zone 1620 S. 84th

Commissioners and Councilpersons,

As immediate neighbors of our friends at 1620 So. 84th, we wish to express our support for the proposed change of zoning currently under consideration. As long-time Realtors in the Lincoln area, we watched with interest as the subject property went on the market for sale and remained available for a lengthy time period until recently purchased by the applicant. Of the many uses that could be envisioned for the area, we are very appreciative of the proposed property enhancements.

We support the change of zone for 1620 S. 84th Street to R-1 PUD for "Hoppe's Echo Addition" and we support allowing "up to 8,200 square feet of office use and a hotel with up to 5 rooms" and the associated waivers. We are pleased with the additional screening the applicant is intending to plant in landscaping improvements, along with a commitment to maintain the current trees and shrubs.

We are confident that the proponent of the development being a neighbor, will tastefully and appropriately design the additional office building to unobtrusively fit into the neighborhood. Such a small office building with limited use will not negatively impact adjacent homes or current traffic flow.

We believe the considered improvement will be a positive enhancement to the overall area and urge approval of said zoning change request. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff and Mary Searcy 8450 Norval Road Lincoln NE 68520

402.430.7978



