



Directors Meeting In Lieu

Monday, November 13th, 2023

- I. The following content is in lieu of the Directors Meeting.
- II. Next Directors Meeting – December 4th, 2023, 2:00 p.m.

Correspondence – Online for Review

- I. Directors Correspondence
- II. Constituent Correspondence
 - i. Public Records Request – Robert J. Borer
 - ii. thoughts to head into the week with – Robert Borer
 - iii. Hawley Corners Community Unit Plan – Expansion Permit – Ted Kessler
 - iv. Flood Mitigation Master Plan – Scott Sandquist
 - v. A quick commentary regarding the future of Woods Park – Darla Reinwald
 - vi. LTU – James Bunch

The Directors Meeting Agendas and Minutes may be accessed online at:
<https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/City/City-Council/Directors-Minutes-Agendas>

From: [Robert Borer](#)
To: [Byelick, Colleen](#); [Todd Wiltgen](#)
Subject: Public Records Request
Date: Sunday, November 5, 2023 4:01:13 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

Robert J. Borer
6541 S 59th Pl
Lincoln, NE 68516
(402) 570-2549

November 5th, 2023

Colleen Byelick
c/o Robert Evnen
Nebraska Secretary of State Office
1445 K Street, Suite 2300
Lincoln, NE 68509
(402) 471-2554

Dear Colleen,

Since Bena insists on controlling and micromanaging our county elections, and Wiltgen is still a newbie trying to find his way around, I am hereby requesting of the SoS office, a digital copy of the following, pursuant to Nebraska Public Records Law § 84-712 et seq.:

—any document that reconciles the number of ballots counted by the machine with the number of ballots counted during the mandatory manual audit, for the 2020 General Election, for the two Lancaster County precincts below:

In **Precinct 1 A**, the hand-count report says 313 ballots were counted, but the machine-count report by precinct found [here](#), says **645** ballots were cast in **Precinct 1 A**.

That's 332 precinct ballots unaccounted for in the "audit."

Mandatory Manual Audit - 2020 General

County: Lancaster
 Precinct: 1A

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2020

SECRETARY OF STATE

Official results from the November 3, 2020 General Election as shown in the official abstract of the County.

Number of Ballots Counted 313

Race / Candidate Vote Total

Federal

For United States President & Vice President

Donald J. Trump and Michael R. Pence	163
Joseph R. Biden and Kamala D. Harris	135
Jo Jorgensen and Jeremy Cohen	9

State

Initiative Measure 428

Yes	223
No	45

Results from the hand count audit of the 2020 General Election conducted on the 4th day of December, 2020

Number of Ballots Counted 313

Race / Candidate Vote Total

Federal

For United States President & Vice President

Donald J. Trump and Michael R. Pence	163
Joseph R. Biden and Kamala D. Harris	135
Jo Jorgensen and Jeremy Cohen	9

State

Initiative Measure 428

Yes	223
No	45

For **Precinct 9 F-10**, the hand-count report says 322 ballots were counted, but the machine-count report by precinct found [here](#), says **606** ballots were cast in **Precinct 9 F-10**.

That's 284 precinct ballots unaccounted for in the "audit."

Mandatory Manual Audit - 2020 General

County: Lancaster
 Precinct: 9 F-10

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2020

SECRETARY OF STATE

Official results from the November 3, 2020 General Election as shown in the official abstract of the County.

Number of Ballots Counted 322

Race / Candidate Vote Total

Federal

For United States President & Vice President

Donald J. Trump and Michael R. Pence	165
Joseph R. Biden and Kamala D. Harris	139
Jo Jorgensen and Jeremy Cohen	11

State

Initiative Measure 428

Yes	227
No	50

Results from the hand count audit of the 2020 General Election conducted on the 4th day of December, 2020

Number of Ballots Counted 322

Race / Candidate Vote Total

Federal

For United States President & Vice President

Donald J. Trump and Michael R. Pence	165
Joseph R. Biden and Kamala D. Harris	139
Jo Jorgensen and Jeremy Cohen	11

State

Initiative Measure 428

Yes	227
No	50

I look forward to your response.

With all *due* respect,

Robert J. Borer

From: [Robert Borer](#)
To: [Mayor](#); [Commish](#); [Council Packet](#); [Terry T. Wagner](#); [Bena, Wayne](#); [Todd Wiltgen](#); jim.pillen@nebraska.gov; NEDDJ@nebraska.gov; ago.info.help@nebraska.gov
Subject: thoughts to head into the week with
Date: Monday, November 6, 2023 8:53:08 AM

Friends,

The article linked below is an outstanding read for anyone interested in knowing what has really been going on. It brings to light the mass formation psychosis that all of the above addressees have been supporting, promoting and engaging in over the last 3+ years, especially "Mayor" Bairdon (LGB), who is nothing more than a tool for the globalists trying to destroy our country.

<https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/i-would-like-to-take-this-day-to-b8c>

My favorite quote from the article is this:

"Instilling fear in the public is absolutely counter to what a leader in public health should do. To me, it is frankly immoral..."

Allow me to reiterate: *Instilling fear in the public is absolutely counter to what a leader in public health should do.*

If we survey the political, "elected-class" landscape of Lancaster County, what do we find? Do we find any leaders? I mean, any *real* leaders??

How long has Christa Yoakum been a Commissioner/"leader"? I don't know exactly, but it's certainly been long enough for her to figure out what her job is.

Yet she had to ask Wayne Bena and Todd Wiltgen the other day what her job was: "The question that I have is what is the county commission's role in elections?" (See this timestamp: https://youtu.be/47hJlJf8_1Y?t=2751)

First of all, Bena and Wiltgen are the last ones to be asked that question. Both are appointed hirelings with conflicts of interest. Neither was "elected," and neither can be expected to be loyal to the Constitution or the rights of We the People. Both have an ultra-antagonistic disposition toward the people they are supposed to be serving.

The answer to Ms. Yoakum's question is very, very simple. The primary role of our county commissioners, *and of all the above addressees*, is to *stand by their oath and defend our Constitution*, which says that the "[rights \[of the people\] shall not be denied or infringed by the state or any subdivision thereof.](#)"

It's as simple as that.

The right to self-government through the FULL exercise of the FULL [elective franchise](#) is an obvious *fundamental right*—not established by our Constitution, but rather only *confirmed by it*, because it's been established by a long history of common sense and common law.

Secret vote-counts are criminal. *Seriously criminal*. Anyone with half a brain can figure that out with two minutes of thought.

Everyone who continues supporting this charade that we call "elections" will

eventually have to answer for betraying their oath. This charade is doing great harm to our state and country. The majority of the People of our country are now awake. We once slept. But we are now awake. And the power ultimately resides with Us, not with some hijacked "government," appointed by some blackhat behind the curtain of our secret, fake Wizard of Oz elections. "Police power is one of the powers which has been reserved by the people of the state..." [per our Constitution](#) (and again, per common sense and common law).

Real, red-blooded, blue-collar, Liberty-loving Americans are fed up, and fighting back.

Our inheritance is a government *OF, BY* and *FOR* the PEOPLE. And we will not stop until that is restored.

The spirit of 1776 is very alive and well.

It's not too late to repent...yet. Humble yourselves, before it is too late.

Robert J. Borer

From: [Ted Kessler](#)
To: [Council Packet](#)
Cc: [Daniel Kessler](#); [Erin Kessler](#)
Subject: Hawley Corners Community Unit Plan - Expansion Permit
Date: Monday, November 6, 2023 9:49:57 AM

Dear City-councilperson:

In regard to today's (November 6, 2023) agenda item 5.b. (23R-53), I ask that you concur with the Planning Commission's denial, and reject this appeal.

This is a special permit that would allow the expansion of the Hawley Corners Community Unit Plan (permit #22045). Developer Aaron Burd has requested an expansion to include a recently purchased lot that he plans to build 8 apartment units on. The Planning Commission voted 7 – 2 against the permit.

Neighboring residents, including my son, Dan Kessler, who resides at 644 N. 26th, received scant notification of the initial request, and are overwhelmingly against it. Tim Rinne has spent years developing the area with extra green and community garden space, and the current special permit allows some zoning exemptions to enhance this. Aaron Burd is attempting to piggyback on the work Tim has done, without providing the amenities that Tim has in the existing CUP area.

While increasing community density is a laudable goal, the configuration of the neighborhood with only one access point to the lot on a dead-end street would severely increase street congestion and further reduce street parking which is already in limited supply. The developer is planning on 8 four bedroom apartments with only 16 parking spaces, leaving up to 16 additional cars that would need street parking. Based on the developer's history (see Facebook post below), I suspect these apartments will be marketed to students, and with four bedrooms meaning up to four students, it seems that this could be setting up a violation of the city ordinance that only allows up to 3 unrelated persons in a single apartment.

This is only a partial summary of issues surrounding this special permit. I respectfully ask that you reject it today.

Thanks for your consideration.

Ted Kessler



L.A. Properties

August 6, 2020 · 🌐



We have multiple BRAND NEW duplexes and apartments available for the 2021 - 2022 school year!!!

PM for details.



L.A. Properties

Apartment & Condo Building

Send message



L.A. Properties

November 23, 2019 · 🌐

Top 5 Reasons #UNL #students love our #affordable #offcampushousing

1. Proximity to Campus: All of our duplexes and townhomes are less than 6 minutes from UNL!
2. Affordability: Rent is \$500 or LESS per person!
3. Modern Design: All of our units have large bedrooms with walk-in closets, brand new appliances, granite countertops, and high-end fixtures.
4. Cleanliness: Our duplexes are in pristine condition. We build high-quality homes and take pride in our work.
5. Amenities: Full-sized washer and dryers are in every unit! We also offer free off-street parking or garages.

Dan Kessler and 4 others

1 comment 1 share

From: [Planning](#)
To: [JaMel E. Ways](#); [Soulinnee Phan](#)
Subject: FW: Flood Mitigation Master Plan
Date: Monday, November 6, 2023 1:24:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
Importance: High

Hello. This email just came in to the planning.

Thank you,

Jennifer McDonald
Administrative Aide II
Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Department
555 S 10th St, Ste 213
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-6365



From: Scott Sandquist <Scott@sandquistcgi.com>
Sent: Monday, November 6, 2023 1:13 PM
To: James M. Bowers <JBowers@lincoln.ne.gov>; Brodey B. Weber <BWeber@lincoln.ne.gov>; Tom Duden <TDuden@lincoln.ne.gov>; Tom J. Beckius <TBeckius@lincoln.ne.gov>; Sandra J. Washington <SWashington@lincoln.ne.gov>; Bennie R. Shobe <BShobe@lincoln.ne.gov>; Justin P. Carlson <JPCarlson@lincoln.ne.gov>
Cc: Peter Hind (p_hind@hotmail.com) <p_hind@hotmail.com>; Planning <Plan@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Flood Mitigation Master Plan
Importance: High

Council Members,

Sorry about a short notice, but as the City's Flood Mitigation Master Plan is on today's agenda for approval, I am identifying a key issue that with some irony can be described as contrary to a successful Flood Mitigation Master Plan: the recent 2-foot freeboard requirement for building lots (not for building footprint areas). WHY?

Despite any possible grandfathering, assume that any new buildings in the floodplain are built at the 2 feet freeboard requirement. Also requiring any remaining buildable lot area that is outside of the building footprint to also be raised up to that same 2 feet freeboard as the building's ground floor elevation causes two problems:

1. Adding additional fill to remaining buildable lot areas that are outside of the building footprint

technically reduces the floodplain's floodwater storage capacity by that capacity – seemingly in direct contrast to the basic goals of the Flood Mitigation Master Plan.

2. Drainage away from the buildings becomes slower and more difficult, also perhaps at least somewhat contrary to the basic goals of the Flood Mitigation Master Plan.

It is especially the first item above reducing floodplain floodwater storage capacity than needs to be questioned and successfully answered.

Thanks for listening,
Scott Sandquist

From: [Darla Reinwald](#)
To: [Council Packet](#)
Subject: A quick commentary regarding the future of Woods Park
Date: Monday, November 6, 2023 5:23:09 PM

Many people of New York City would likely think twice about living there if not for their Central Park/green space. We have that in Woods Park...centrally located and, therefore, easily accessible to neighborhoods that need what it provides: meandering trails that pass through native plants and sculptures, old-timey "ground picnics," kite flying, ball kicking, volleyball/badminton nets, and exposure to other interesting things folks may know nothing about (i.e. medieval sports). Welcoming to all people groups, so unlike so many places in the world; a stroll through will find so many different ethnic groups enjoying what she offers. I'm not saying that she can't absorb more trees or small amenities; but what's added should be accessible for all. For example, the fitness kiosk provided by AARP (lucky us) benefits all who choose to take advantage-- for no cost, and it's a space-saver!

Nature deprivation is a real deal. The US National Library of Medicine encourages local governments to be the driving force of this: "Policies that allow for the continuation and even increases in local nature contact should be part of public health strategies..."* It's so encouraging to see today's young families recognizing the need for outdoor movement and nature appreciation more and more. You'll find many of these families at Woods Park.

Our Woods Park neighborhood group has recently been told by Woods Tennis that the proposed plan & images that we've seen and thought were presented to the city do not actually exist. Please choose to not move forward in any way (mediation, surveys) until WPTA submits a real plan. Thank you for truly considering the voices of those that, though they bring in no revenue, are choosing to take advantage of this wonderful provision of our city in pursuit of being physically- and mentally-healthy citizens.

Darla Reinwald

*Tomasso, Linda Powers et al. "The Relationship between Nature Deprivation and Individual Wellbeing across Urban Gradients under COVID-19." *International journal of environmental research and public health* vol. 18,4 1511. 5 Feb. 2021, doi:10.3390/ijerph18041511

From: [James Bunch](#)
To: [Council Packet](#)
Subject: LTU
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:44:09 AM

Dear Council Members,

Something needs to be done to avoid sitting at two consecutive red lights 200 yards apart. My recent UPLNK concern:

"There HAS to be a way to coordinate the two signal cycles on Hwy. 6 at the Havelock/Superior and the Hwy. 77 North intersections so that people do not have to sit at TWO red lights. You recently used movement of Westbound traffic as your rationale for changing the protected left turn arrow onto N. 48th St. Westbound Hwy. 6 from N. 48th Street to I-80 is my M-F morning commute. I sit at the red light at Havelock/Superior, watching the Hwy. 77 green in the distance, only to hit it red 30 seconds later. It's either 'moving Westbound traffic,' or it's not. What is SO difficult about coordinating two signals 200 yards apart? It's not rocket science, but you obviously struggle with getting it right. Instead of sitting and looking at camera feeds, perhaps you should be out driving high-volume traffic areas to experience the pain of Lincoln drivers."

Sincerely, Jim Bunch

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)