



Directors Meeting Agenda

Monday, December 4th, 2023, 2:00 p.m.

555 S 10th Street Luxford Studio

- I. Approval of Directors Meeting Minutes from November 6th, 2023
- II. City Clerk Advisories
- III. Mayor's Advisories
- IV. Director's Advisories
- V. City Council: Commissions, Committees, and Event Updates
- VI. Post-Meeting Council Members Calls to City Directors
- VII. **Adjournment** – Next Directors Meeting – December 11th, 2023, 2:00 p.m.

Correspondence – Online for Review

- I. Directorial Correspondence
 - i. BP231121 – 1 AA Weekly Approvals – Shelli Reid
- II. Constituent Correspondence
 - i. the big lie advocated by Evnen and Bena – Robert Borer
 - ii. Cluster On Your Watch – James Bunch
 - iii. Kearney, NE “public servants” nervously stomp on Constitution – Robert Borer
 - iv. Van Dorn Park – Ann Finkner
 - v. Establish Local Affordable Housing Fund – Various City Citizens

The Directors Meeting Agendas and Minutes may be accessed online at:
<https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/City/City-Council/Directors-Minutes-Agendas>

Memorandum

Date: November 21, 2023
To: City Clerk
From: Clara McCully, Planning Dept.
Re: Administrative Approvals
cc: Shelli Reid, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from November 14, 2023, through November 20, 2023:

Administrative Amendment 23055 to Special Permit 19047, The 8801 Community Unit Plan, was approved by the Planning Director on November 6, 2023, to adjust the site layout of the multifamily area, generally located at Holdrege Street and Cedar Cove Road.

Administrative Amendment 23057 to Preliminary Plat 22003, East Dominion Estates, was approved by the Planning Director on November 14, 2023, to show details of the R-4 (residential district) zoning townhomes area and future commercial uses, generally located at the Northwest corner of North 112th and O Streets.

From: [Robert Borer](#)
To: the.big.lie@ne.sos.gov
Subject: the big lie advocated by Evnen and Bena
Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2023 12:04:09 PM

Members of the Elite "elected" Class—

Nine days after the November 3rd, 2020 "election," while election officials *were still* "reviewing and double checking the entire election process *prior to finalizing the result*," the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) issued the following statement:

"The November 3rd election was the most secure in American history."

You can find the statement here:

<https://www.cisa.gov/news-events/news/joint-statement-elections-infrastructure-government-coordinating-council-election>

Christopher Krebs was the Director of CISA at the time. You can listen to Mr. Krebs tout the mantra [here](#) (in 5 sec). It was *obvious* propaganda.

He was fired just a few days later.

An official DHS email dated November 18th of 2020 from Nathaniel Madden (nathaniel.madden@hq.dhs) to Emerald Robinson explains why.

Quoting from that letter:

Subject: Krebs termination

"Long story short. Krebs stepped out of his lane when he made a broad statement about the election as a whole and not just the cyber and infrastructural security of the thing. That's why he got fired. Questions of domestic fraud aren't in CISA's jurisdiction.

Following [sic] you can quote as a DHS official:

- CISA was successful in preventing election interference via a cyberattack on election infrastructure during the President election. [this remains to be proven]
- Election fraud is different than election interference and is beyond the scope of CISA authorities.
- CISA does not have firsthand information on allegations of voting fraud or investigate such claims, responsibility for which lies with State and local authorities and the Department of Justice.
- Each state is responsible for the execution of its own elections. Not CISA and not the federal government.
- Allegations of voter fraud are being adjudicated through the court system where they belong.

Nate Madden
Director of Strategic Outreach and Engagement.
DHS/OPA
Homeland Security

Robinson goes on to explain that Krebs was "not a cybersecurity expert at all — and that his hiring was mostly due to being a close personal friend to DHS head honcho

Chad Wolf..."

You can find the DHS letter and Robinson's commentary here:

| <https://www.emerald.tv/p/why-dhs-fired-cisas-chris-krebs-for>

Qualified voters have a right to "[cast secure, reliable ballots that \[are\] accurately counted](#)," and knowingly so.

Secret software vote counts unconstitutionally jeopardize that right, if not completely deny it.

What is the definition of a traitor? Is it someone who took an oath and then allowed our all-important votes to be counted in secret?

A day of reckoning is still ahead for those who have been administering fraudulent/secret elections.

Robert J. Borer

P.S. You can click the hyperlinked text above. ^ This election case moves forward. It involves the question of whether or not GA's electronic "election" system "as currently designed and implemented, suffers from major cybersecurity deficiencies that unconstitutionally burden Plaintiffs' First and Fourteenth Amendment rights and capacity to cast effective votes that are accurately counted."

From: [James Bunch](#)
To: [Event Parking](#)
Cc: [Cindi Card](#); [Council Packet](#)
Subject: Cluster On Your Watch
Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2023 6:05:25 PM

Hey Brent,

Just when you think that City of Lincoln government and government contractors (not sure which category you want fall into) have exhausted all attempts to get off of the list for Social Darwinism, you make the rocket scientist move of eliminating the plastic season ticket parking cards for 2023-24. I had a rather snarky reply to your original email (sent just days before the first men's game vs. Doane) about those of us on flip phones, but I held off to give it a try (although I knew what to expect). Besides, the card fit into my wallet so I ALWAYS had it ready to scan.

Well, we're now six games into the season and here's the standard your decision has created. Nobody can seem to scan their barcodes. I print it off at work, but my wife also has the email on her iPhone, so she hands me her phone and I slide it, with the gate quickly raising. But I'm the exception, not the rule. The attached photos at Green 2 Garage show 1) your employee valiantly attempting to get this guy's phone to work and 2) the line of cars unsafely backed up almost to Blue 3. It's only 5:40 PM. Imagine what it's like for those of us who don't get downtown early. This has happened for EVERY game, and we're not even into the Big Ten season yet.

Mazel Tov, you have really screwed the pooch.

Jim Bunch, Lincoln



OPEN

This Parking Structure
Has Been Designated
For The Following
Maximum Use Capacity
1000 Vehicles
3000 lbs Weight Limit

PRIVATE
PARKING
\$11.00
CREDIT/DEBIT
CARDS ONLY

SID DILLON
2018 Honda Accord



EVENT
PARKING
\$11.00
CREDIT DEBIT
CARDS ONLY



7YH 255

From: [Robert Borer](#)
To: free.and.independent@local.ne.gov
Subject: Kearney, NE "public servants" nervously stomp on Constitution
Date: Sunday, November 26, 2023 7:16:16 AM

Dear Friends,

The mayor and city council of Kearney Nebraska recently affirmed, via "Resolution," their disregard for the "[inherent and inalienable rights](#)" of We the People.

You can find that Resolution here: [2023-149](#) (pgs 6-8).

In betrayal of their oath, the mayor and city council do not want law-abiding residents of (and visitors to) Kearney to be able to exercise their "[inherent and inalienable](#)" right to self-defense while on "city property," should it become necessary. (Nevermind who pays for city property or the on-going maintenance thereof. Also, nevermind that citizens openly carry in our statehouse, i.e., our Capitol building.)

Need I remind anyone of the lawless riots that took place across our country in the summer of 2020, much of it on "government" property?

Who is the mayor and city council protecting?

It isn't law-abiding citizens.

So then it must be the criminally-minded, who don't care about laws, and can always find a gun if they want one. (I'm not suggesting this is necessarily their intent. But it certainly is the outcome.)

Where does this lawless Resolution leave law-abiding citizens, should they decide to obey it, and afterward find themselves met by someone on city property intent on inflicting harm?

—I'll tell you where it leaves them—a *sitting duck*, that's where. The mayor and city council want you to be a sitting duck, *or stay off city property*. Period.

How does that make you feel?

Perhaps the mayor and city council aren't concerned with the criminal element at all. After all, they usually have armed security close by. Perhaps what they're afraid of are angry law-abiding citizens, because they themselves are not law-abiding. Breaking one's oath is not exactly law-abiding. And the initial version of this Resolution did suffer a public backlash. It has since been revised, but it still retains the tyrannical substance of the first.

Frame of Government

Let's review Nebraska's *frame of government* so everyone knows without a doubt that Kearney's mayor and city council are breaking the law and betraying their oath.

[Nebraska State Constitution Preamble](#)

We, the people, grateful to Almighty God for our freedom, do ordain and establish the following declaration of rights and frame of government, as the

Constitution of the State of Nebraska.

[Nebraska State Constitution Article I-1 Statement of rights.](#)

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain inherent and inalienable rights; among these are life, liberty, the pursuit of happiness, and the right to keep and bear arms for security or defense of self, family, home, and others and such rights shall not be denied or infringed by the state or any subdivision thereof. To secure these rights, and the protection of property, governments are instituted among people, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.

I repeat: "...the right to keep and bear arms for security...shall not be denied or infringed by the state or any subdivision thereof."

What is the purpose of government, per our [Constitution](#)? "To secure these rights..." (not to take them away).

What is the purpose of government, per the [Declaration](#)?

That *to secure these rights*, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed

Clearly then, governments, in a Constitutional Republic, are instituted by We the People for the express purpose of securing our "inherent and inalienable rights."

Clearly then, in a Constitutional Republic, public servants are not the rightful sovereigns, but rather *We the People* are the rightful sovereigns. And We the People delegate limited power to a *lesser authority* that we call "government," in order that such government may keep our rights secure. If and when that government ceases to do that, if and when that government corrupts itself, We the People have the right to replace it by whatever means necessary.

The [Declaration](#) affirms this:

"whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends (the securing of our Rights), it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness."

Our Constitution is the Supreme Law of Nebraska

There is no higher law in Nebraska than our Constitution (federal power is state-delegated power.) There is no state statute that supersedes our state Constitution. All such statutes that attempt to do so are null and void. Legislators don't always legislate with an eye to our Constitution. In fact, rarely is that the case anymore. Too many are motivated by the love of money and personal power, rather than genuine public service.

Here is the oath the mayor and city council took:

"I,, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Nebraska, against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely and without mental reservation or for purpose of evasion;

and that I will faithfully and impartially perform the duties of the office of , according to law, and to the best of my ability. ... So help me God." [Nebraska Revised Statute 11-101](#)

Notice: The oath was not to the Legislature. Or to the Executive Branch. Or to the Judicial Branch. They can all be wrong. It was to our Constitution. So then, all local laws, ordinances, resolutions, etc that the mayor and city council might construct must align with (not violate) our Constitution, or they are void.

It is clear that Kearney's top "public servants" are in violation of their oath. They have therefore ceased to be law-abiding in their capacity as "public servants." They are now public servants *in-name-only*, and they deserve the ire of Kearney residents. It doesn't matter what Lincoln and Omaha are doing. Doing something because someone else is doing it is a terrible argument.

Thomas Jefferson would call the mayor and city council tyrants and despots.

And what would Jefferson advise residents to do?

Defy such an unlawful infringement. *As a matter of duty.*

We have no obligation whatsoever to obey unconstitutional mandates/infringements of any sort. Doing so only invites more infringements and more tyranny. Obeying infringements is a slippery slope into slavery.

All citizens have the right to protect themselves from criminals and criminal activity, in the normal course of life, regardless of the location. (There are exceptions, but these exceptions don't leave them without immediate protection.)

Watchfulness

Power tends to corrupt.

For that reason, we should never *trust* "public servants."

Rather, we must diligently *watch* them.

The Salvation of the State is Watchfulness in the Citizen," not trust.

This principle is engraved in stone over the front door of our Capitol.

Watchfulness secures government of, by and for the People.

We assume the worst from "public servants," until proven otherwise, and even then, we must continue to watch them.

No honest person would mind this watchfulness.

Civility

Civility in our interaction with "public servants" is not an obligation, not when they violate their oath.

In closing...

I encourage you to listen to this Biblical admonition about how to respond to government malpractice. It's very short and enlightening.

<https://youtu.be/FzrdKYFR-1E?si=oIZYpd1rvYbqDjER>

It is the duty of both higher and lower magistrates to respond to tyranny. In the case above with the mayor and council of Kearney, the People of Kearney are the higher magistrate and the mayor and council the lower.

I also encourage visiting this page: <http://defytyrants.com>. Resistance to/defiance of tyranny and evil is a movement that is quickly growing, because People are finally getting fed up.

Have no fear in your Watchfulness. God resists the proud and gives grace to the humble. He takes the side of the oppressed, not the oppressors.

Robert J. Borer

P.S.

We are not advocating violence, unless violence is perpetrated against us. We advocate organizing with like-minded people to take a stand, to speak out, to speak the truth, and to do so loudly and firmly.

The biggest place where "public servants" are currently infringing upon and denying us our rights is at the ballot box, where votes are counted in secret.

Thomas Paine, one of our revolutionaries, wrote the following in a [Dissertation on First Principles of \[Representative\] Government](#):

Quoting:

The true and only true basis of representative government is EQUALITY of rights. ...
The right of voting for representatives is the primary right by which other rights are protected. To take away this right is to reduce a man to slavery.

He goes on to say:

"the strength and permanent security of government is in proportion to the number of people interested in supporting it. The true policy therefore is to interest the WHOLE by an EQUALITY of rights, for the danger arises from exclusions. It is possible to exclude men from the right of voting, but it is impossible to exclude them from the right of rebelling against that exclusion; and when all other rights are taken away the right of rebellion is made perfect."

As it stands right now, status quo "public servants" are facing a rebellion. More and more people every day are becoming fed up with supporting what is wrongly called "government."

Our so-called "public servants" have excluded the People of their counties from the right of voting, in as much as they have excluded them from the right of EQUALLY exercising the elective franchise.

They have allowed a very small group of People to decide that they can take our votes and count them in secret.

This is NOT an EQUALITY OF RIGHTS in the exercise of our elective franchise.

Moreover, it produces no evidence whatsoever that our representatives are actually being elected by We the People, and not SElected by those few who are counting our votes in secret.

If we are not equal when it comes to exercising our elective franchise, we are not equal anywhere.

From: [Ann Finkner](#)
To: [Council Packet](#)
Subject: Van Dorn Park
Date: Sunday, November 26, 2023 9:26:10 PM

Thank you for the recent improvements to Van Dorn Park. The enhancements have resulted in more activity and usage of the grand old park. Mr. William Van Dorn, who donated land for the park in the early 1900's, would be pleased with how the park is now being used.

With the improvements and increased usage, the City's material storage area on the south and west areas of the park is a concern. Children visiting the park wonder to the storage area, full use of the park is not maximized, and the storage area is very unattractive for a park that is in the process of being revitalized. As consideration is also given to connecting the Boosalis and Bison trails, moving the storage of the City's materials to another location may provide an option for the trails project.

The draft Lincoln Parks & Recreation 10-Year Facilities Plan indicates relocation of the material storage yard to another location is a priority "C". The Indian Village Neighborhood Associations and other concerned citizens have asked for several years to address the materials being stored at Van Dorn Park. The low priority within the Facilities Plan is disappointing. The storage of such materials on private property or in another prominent City park would not be tolerated. Please consider making relocation of the material storage yard a higher priority in the Facilities Plan.

Thank you for listening and your consideration. Also, thank you for the work underway to enhance Rudge and Irvingdale Parks, which are bringing new vitality to the parks.

Ann Finkner
ann.finkner@outlook.com
402.658.6009

Establish a Local Affordable Housing Fund

To City Council,

Lincoln is currently facing an urgent housing crisis. Rent has increased by 35% in the last decade, yet wages in the city have only risen by 13%. With the cost of housing quickly outpacing our income, 46% of Lincoln's renting population has become cost-burdened by costs directly related to housing. That means that nearly half of Lincoln's renters are a single emergency away from homelessness. A point-in-time count earlier this year revealed that we currently have 438 homeless people in Lincoln, with a total of 1338 people experiencing homelessness throughout a single year. 20 citizens die each year because of these conditions. As the winter season begins, we need to act now to put an end to this preventable suffering before it claims the lives of even more Lincoln residents.

A local affordable housing fund would directly accomplish this goal. While we have a state and a federal fund to accomplish this goal, countless citizens are overlooked or denied aid by these programs, with the federal Housing Choice Voucher Program only aiding 20-25% of the households that qualify for its financial assistance. A local fund would ensure that Lincoln's residents are not overlooked in the same way. Establishing such a fund wouldn't require increased taxation nor redirected spending—we've already received millions of dollars dedicated to rental aid from the Emergency Rental Assistance Program. With the livelihoods of so many citizens on the line, we need to use these funds as soon as possible to prevent further tragedy.

We, the undersigned, urge you to establish a local affordable housing voucher program to relieve the housing insecurity felt by countless Lincoln renters and to ease the barriers to housing experienced by Lincoln's homeless population.

Full Name	Signature
Grant Michael Weis	Grant Weis
Noah Dane Janke	Noah Janke
Faith Peterson	Faith Peterson
Adelle Norris	Adelle Norris
Nick White	Nick White
Alex Rice	Alex Rice
Fabrina Heckens	Fabrina Heckens
Jada Logans	Jada Logans
Gavin Steinfeldt	Gavin Steinfeldt
Hannah Schalen	Hannah Schalen
Harrison Yost	Harrison Yost

Full Name	Signature
Cecilia Smith	Cecilia
Jonathan M. Bithos	Jonathan Bithos
Girish Ban	G. BAN.
Auggie Iudd	Auggie Iudd
Enijah Teri	Enijah Teri
Julia Baron	Julia Baron
Sophia Kolb	Sophia Kolb
Sam Freese	Sam Freese
Laurel Wagner	Laurel Wagner
Samara Luther	Samara Luther
Ellie Solig	Ellie Solig
Brian French	Brian French
Dale Hess	Dale Hess
Trista Ybarra	Trista Ybarra
Kevin Lloyd Jace Kent	Jace Kent
Anandha R Nair	Anandha R Nair
Chloe Ong	Chloe Ong
Rose Wright	Rose Wright
Greg Gabel	Greg Gabel
Ethan Underwood	Ethan Underwood
Gianna Manhart	Gianna Manhart
Alan Meyer	Alan Meyer
Angelo Leone	Angelo Leone
Vanessa Eno	Nemesse Eno

Kevin Lloyd →

Full Name	Signature
William Hurst	William Hurst
Nadja Logans	Nadja Logans
Mostafa Al-Bayati	
Venepla, Arnel	
Alex Kleissner	Alex Kleissner
Timothy	Timothy
Olivia Harley	Olivia Harley
Patrick Jones	Patrick Jones
Vladislav Prakashuk	Vladislav Prakashuk
Matthew Schlegelmilch	Matthew Schlegelmilch
Isaiah Nunnally	Isaiah Nunnally
Patrick Brite	Patrick Brite
Ethan Howard	Ethan Howard
Hannah Pross	Hannah Pross
Aaron Feeks Mease	Aaron Feeks Mease