## Pat/Ron update final admin numbers

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

**Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)**

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

* The FY 23 Action Plan represents the first year of the five-year Strategic Plan. Therefore, goals and objectives should be at 20% of the Strategic Plan targets. All Strategic and Action Plan housing goals and objectives are on track except for those noted (i.e., highlighted in yellow) and in the next table below.
* This year we again addressed our homeless reporting differently. We zeroed out those related goals and chose to add an explanation of those efforts in new text boxes below. Our reporting in IDIS, SAGE, and HDX needed a level of harmony.
* Urban Development continued to administer the HUD Pandemic-related U.S. Treasury Emergency Rental Assistance 2 program with a focus on homeless prevention, assistance to Ukrainian refugees, and assistance at eviction court. A Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes Supplemental Grant was received from HUD and efforts continued to focus on approving applications and updating and completing LIRAs. A grant was received from the Nebraska Forestry Service to remove dying and dangerous Ash trees for low-moderate-income homeowners. A HOME-ARP Grant was received with construction planned in September 2024 for a Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronic Homeless project. A Youth Homelessness Demonstration Grant was received and is being implemented. Urban Development has implemented a Rental Rehabilitation Program in the South of Downtown neighborhood utilizing TIF (tax increment financing) funds.
* Two members of Urban Development are part of the climate action team and work to implement Lincoln’s Climate Action Plan. In the last year that group worked to develop resilient hubs to service vulnerable populations on extreme weather days.
* We did not meet our construction of housing goal. We expected three but achieved one.
* We did not meet our direct homeownership assistance goal. We expected 60 but achieved 28. High mortgage rates, inflation, tight investment margins often due to debt-to-income ratios, and a tight market for first time home buyers had slowed entrance into home ownership.
* We did not meet our lead-based paint mitigation goal. We expected 10 but achieved 6. This is primarily due to the support from the Lead Hazard Reduction Grant.
* We did not meet our barrier removal goal. We expect 3 but achieved 2.
* Community Crops did not meet their expected goal of supporting 700 individuals; they achieved 582. They have lost garden space to affordable housing developments.
* We have had great success with our rehabilitation program this year. We expected 80 units but have achieved 112 units.
* Our HUD reported homeless goals are described in an additional text box below the table.

**Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

*Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal** | **Category** | **Source / Amount** | **Indicator** | **Unit of Measure** | **Expected – Strategic Plan** | **Actual – Strategic Plan** | **Percent Complete** | **Expected – Program Year** | **Actual – Program Year** | **Percent Complete** |
| Acquisition | Affordable Housing | HOME: $185,637.53 | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 15 | 5 |  33.33% | 3 | 5 |  166.67% |
| Construction of Housing | Affordable Housing | HOME: $170,127.40 | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 15 | 1 |  6.67% | 3 | 1 |  33.33% |
| Direct Homeownership Assistance | Affordable Housing | HOME: $ | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 0 | 0 |   | 0 | 0 |   |
| Direct Homeownership Assistance | Affordable Housing | HOME: $759,764.36 | Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers | Households Assisted | 300 | 28 |  9.33% | 60 | 28 |  46.67% |
| General Program Administration | Administration | CDBG: $250,792.21 | Other | Other | 100 | 20 |  20.00% | 100 | 100 |  100.00% |
| Home CHDO Operating Cost | Affordable Housing | HOME: $3,910.91 | Other | Other | 100 | 20 |  20.00% | 100 | 100 |  100.00% |
| Home Program Administration | Affordable Housing | HOME: $110,982.14 | Other | Other | 100 | 20 |  20.00% | 100 | 100 |  100.00% |
| Homeless Provider Landlord Housing Rehabilitation | Affordable HousingHomeless | CDBG: $0 | Rental units rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |
| Housing Rehabilitation Administration | Affordable Housing | CDBG: $419,972.76 | Other | Other | 100 | 20 |  20.00% | 100 | 100 |  100.00% |
| Implement AI Fair Housing Actions | Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing | CDBG: $ / HOME: $ / ESG: $ | Other | Other | 40 | 0 |  0.00% | 12 | 0 |  0.00% |
| Lead Based Paint Mitigation | Affordable Housing | CDBG: $96,159.20 | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 50 | 6 |  12.00% | 10 | 6 |  60.00% |
| Livable Neighborhood Improvements | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: $243,096.22 | Other | Other | 100 | 0 |  0.00% | 20 | 20 |  100.00% |
| Non-Homeless Special Needs | Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: $40,116.82 | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 15 | 2 |  13.33% | 3 | 2 |  66.67% |
| Non-Homeless Special Needs | Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: $ | Other | Other | 5 | 0 |  0.00% | 1 | 1 |  0.00% |
| Planning | Administration | CDBG: $225,581.88 | Other | Other | 100 | 20 |  20.00% | 100 | 100 |  100.00% |
| Public Service - CBDO | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: $95,000.00 | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 467570 | 89,070 |  19.05% | 93514 | 89,070 |  95.25% |
| Public Service - CBDO | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: $40,742.00 | Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Households Assisted | 500 | 143 |  28.60% | 100 | 143 |  143.00% |
| Public Service - Community Crops | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: $38,064.30 | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 3500 | 582 |  16.63% | 700 | 582 |  83.14% |
| Public Service - RentWise | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: $23,349.99 | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 1000 | 361 |  36.10% | 200 | 361 |  180.50% |
| Reduce # becoming homelessness for the first time | Homeless | ESG: $ | Other | Other | 50 | 0 |  0.00% | 10 | 0 |  0.00% |
| Reduce the length of time persons remain homeless | Homeless | ESG: $ | Other | Other | 5 | 0 |  0.00% | 1 | 0 |  0.00% |
| Reduce the number of persons experiencing homeless | Homeless | ESG: $ | Other | Other | 100 | 0 |  0.00% | 20 | 0 |  0.00% |
| Rehabilitation | Affordable Housing | CDBG: $2,150,860.17HOME: $65,616.26 | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 400 | 112 |  28.00% | 80 | 112 |  140.00% |
| Security Deposit | Affordable HousingHomeless | HOME: $31,727.85 | Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing | Households Assisted | 225 | 50 |  22.22% | 45 | 50 |  111.11% |

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

**Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**Urban Development has a variety of housing programs using CDBG funds to meet affordable housing goals. The Direct/Deferred Payment Loan Program (DPL) serving homeowners up to 80% of median income, is for more extensive housing rehabilitation and is available city-wide. The Emergency Repair Program is limited to homeowners at 50% of median income and is also available city-wide. Affordable housing is one of the highest priorities in Lincoln. As such, the majority of CDBG and HOME funds are used for housing-related programs administered by the Livable Neighborhoods Division. Improving neighborhood livability is also a high priority and some CDBG funding, administered by Livable Neighborhoods and Economic Opportunity Division staff, is used for projects in low-to-moderate income neighborhoods — such as park improvements, alley improvements, and neighborhood commercial area streetscapes.

**(Added Text) Lincoln Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Information:**

The homeless goals identified in the Strategic Plan match System Performance Goals HUD requires CoC to track on an annual basis. Since these goals are system-wide, they reflect all funding sources devoted to homeless activities, including ESG and CoC. The System Performance goals for FY 23 are listed in the table below. For additional information, please refer to the attached SAGE Reports for ESG and ESG CV, the FY23 CoC application, and the Lincoln CoC FY23 Dashboard. Homeless Goals:

(1) Reduce the number of persons becoming homeless for the 1st time: FY22=931 FY23=1003
(2) Reduce the number of individuals who return to homelessness after receiving shelter/housing: FY22=29 FY23=34
 (a) Number of persons who obtained Permanent Housing in 24 months: FY22=731 FY23=716
 (b) Number of persons who returned to homelessness within 13-24 months after obtaining PH: FY22=45 FY23=50
(3) Reduce the average number of “bed nights” persons remain homeless: FY22=82 FY23=77
Average number of days homeless before admittance to an emergency shelter or supportive housing: FY22=82 FY23=77
(4) Reduce the number of unsheltered homeless people: FY22=60 FY23=47

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

**Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **CDBG** | **HOME** |
| White | 548 | 113 |
| Black or African American | 163 | 40 |
| Asian | 81 | 3 |
| American Indian or American Native | 12 | 1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Total** | **805** | **158** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 26 | 22 |
| Not Hispanic | 779 | 136 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Describe the clients assisted (including the racial and/or ethnicity of clients assisted with ESG)** |
|  | **HESG** |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 14 |
| Asian or Asian American | 5 |
| Black, African American, or African | 39 |
| Hispanic/Latina/e/o | 0 |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 |
| White | 161 |
| Multiracial | 36 |
| Client doesn't know | 0 |
| Client prefers not to answer | 2 |
| Data not collected | 0 |
| **Total** | **257** |

**Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds**

**Narrative**

* A total of 805 individuals were assisted with CDBG. Of the total 257 or 31.9% were non-white. Regarding ethnicity, 26 or 3.2% identified themselves as Hispanic.
* Within HOME a total of 158 households were assisted. Of the total 45 or 28.4% were non-white. Regarding ethnicity, 8 or 11.2% identified themselves as Hispanic.
* A total of 257 households were assisted with ESG. Of the total 96 or 37.3% were non-white.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

**Identify the resources made available**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Source of Funds** | **Source** | **Resources Made Available** | **Amount Expended During Program Year** |
| CDBG | public - federal | 4,488,642 |  3,624,159 |
| HOME | public - federal | 4,100,592 | 1,277,153  |
| ESG | public - federal | 196,610 | 90,148  |

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

**Narrative**

N/A

**Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Target Area** | **Planned Percentage of Allocation** | **Actual Percentage of Allocation** | **Narrative Description** |
| City-wide Program Area | 20 |  39.19 |  See 2023-2027 strategic plan |
| Low- and Moderate-Income Area | 35 |  9.32 |  See 2023-2027 strategic plan |
| NRSA (2018) | 45 |  51.49 |  See 2023-2027 strategic plan |

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

**Narrative**

These areas were identified in the Five-Year 2023-2027 Consolidated Plan.

**Leveraging**

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

HOME funds are used for Habitat for Humanity to purchase buildable lots or properties in need of rehabilitation. Cash, materials, and labor for the construction of the houses are donated. The 25 percent match requirement for the HOME funds is exceeded each fiscal year. See form HUD-Form-40107-A Home Match Report in the attachments. The First Home Program uses HOME funds for down payment and “gap” financing for low/mod income buyers to purchase new and existing houses. The assistance of non-federal funds to make new construction affordable and the HOME funds to assist the buyer in the purchase makes it possible for the buyers to obtain the first mortgage from a private lender. Non-housing Community Development projects are primarily funded with CDBG.

Community CROPS did not extend their existing capacity onto new City sites. Concerning urban agriculture, sites have been identified and members of the local food plan committee are following up with appropriate efforts for their next steps. No reportable actions were completed in FY23 although staff effort was devoted to their efforts to identify and assess a variety of proposed sites. Lastly, NeighborWorks continues to assess a very limited number of vacant city properties. The City of Lincoln maintains a list of property it owns, its use, site restrictions, and interest. The City follows a local administrative regulation to sell non-needed property. Of the 886 parcels the City owns only eight are recognized as surplus property, and most of those have restrictions to who can buy them.

| **Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match** |
| --- |
| 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year | 8,714,676.24 |
| 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year | 1,110,974.06 |
| 3 .Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)  | 9,825,650.30 |
| 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year | 267,960.34 |
| 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4) | 9,557,689.96 |

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

|  **Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year** |
| --- |
| **Project No. or Other ID** | **Date of Contribution** | **Cash****(non-Federal sources)** | **Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges** | **Appraised Land/Real Property** | **Required Infrastructure** | **Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor** | **Bond Financing** | **Total Match** |
|  | 08/31/2024 | 512,052.00 |  |  |  | 598,922.06 |  | 1,110,974.06 |

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

| **Program Income** – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period |
| --- |
| **Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period****$** | **Amount received during reporting period****$** | **Total amount expended during reporting period****$** | **Amount expended for TBRA****$** | **Balance on hand at end of reporting period****$** |
| $0 | $317,174.44 | $317,174.44 | $0 | $0 |

Table 7 – Program Income

|  |
| --- |
| **Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises –** Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total** | **Minority Business Enterprises** | **White non-Hispanic** |
| **Alaskan Native or American Indian** | **Asian or Pacific Islander** | **Black non-Hispanic** | **Hispanic** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Contracts** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Dollar Amount | $393,335 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $50,631 | $342,704 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Sub-Contracts** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Dollar Amount | $3,905 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $3,905 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total** | **Women Business Enterprises** | **Male** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Contracts** |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| Dollar Amount | $393,335 | $0 | $393,335 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Sub-Contracts** |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Dollar Amount | $3,905 | $0 | $3,905 |

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

|  |
| --- |
| **Minority Owners of Rental Property** – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total** | **Minority Property Owners** | **White non-Hispanic** |
| **Alaskan Native or American Indian** | **Asian or Pacific Islander** | **Black non-Hispanic** | **Hispanic** |
| Number | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** |
| Dollar Amount | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** |

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

|  |
| --- |
| **Relocation and Real Property Acquisition –** Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Number** | **Cost** |
| Parcels Acquired | **00** | **$0** |
| Businesses Displaced | **0** | **$0** |
| Nonprofit Organizations Displaced | **0** | **$0** |
| Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced | **0** | **$0** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Households Displaced** | **Total** | **Minority Property Enterprises** | **White non-Hispanic** |
| **Alaskan Native or American Indian** | **Asian or Pacific Islander** | **Black non-Hispanic** | **Hispanic** |
| Number | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** |
| Cost | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** | **$0** |

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

**Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.**

|  | One-Year Goal | Actual |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 1,417 | 2,936 |
| Number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 4,598 | 4,944 |
| Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units | 3 | 2 |
| **Total** | **6,018** | **0** |

Table 11 – Number of Households

|  | One-Year Goal | Actual |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of households supported through Rental Assistance | 45 | 50 |
| Number of households supported through The Production of New Units | 3 | 5 |
| Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units | 80 | 118 |
| Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units | 3 | 1 |
| **Total** | **131** | **174** |

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

Concerning Table #11: Steady progress has been made in meeting the specific objectives of providing affordable housing. Our goal for ‘Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units’ was 4,598 units; however, our reporting was higher at 4,944. This data is solely LHA data, and is pulled from their annual report https://www.l-housing.com/Documents/Admin/LHAAnnualReport\_2024.pdf

Urban Development has a variety of housing programs using CDBG funds to meet our affordable housing goals. The Deferred Payment Loan Program (DPL) (serves homeowners up to 80% of medium income) is funded by CDBG and HOME and is for more extensive housing rehabilitation throughout the city. The Emergency Repair Program is limited to homeowners at 50% of median income and is also available citywide. The Barrier Removal Program is used to overcome architectural barriers and facilitate the installation of wheelchair ramps or lifts and bathroom modifications.

Concerning Table #12: Our goal for ‘Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units‘ was 3 and our reporting was 1. A tight real-estate market and low levels of suitable vacant lots has influenced our efforts. Additionally, staff at various non-profit agencies changed which may have limited their ability to identify opportunities due to onboarding.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

Our understanding and sources of data have improved. We will continue to monitor the availability of affordable housing including those served and adjust as needed.

**Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Number of Households Served** | **CDBG Actual** | **HOME Actual** |
| Extremely Low-income | 37 | 2 |
| Low-income | 23 | 7 |
| Moderate-income | 46 | 30 |
| **Total** | **106** | **39** |

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

**Narrative Information**

N/A

## CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

*Evaluate the jurisdiction’s progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:*

**Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

Homeless outreach is conducted by several agencies in Lincoln (primarily Centerpointe, Matt Talbot Kitchen & Outreach, People’s City Mission, Cedars, and Friendship Home). Outreach occurs seven days a week and is available after business hours and on an as needed basis. ESG funding continued to support a collaboration between CenterPointe and The Lincoln Police Department, the Alternative Response Program, which directs Street Outreach workers to non-criminal dispatch calls related to homelessness in the community. Additional ESG funds were used by Community Action Partnership of Lancaster and Saunders County for Rapid Rehousing. There are 10 FTE dedicated outreach staff, some of whom provide services directly aimed at supporting vets and youth. Outreach is tailored towards high density locations in the downtown area, known encampments along creeks, public parks, and wilderness areas. Outreach efforts are connected through the Coordinated Entry system, which allows case managers from multiple agencies to use the same vulnerability assessment, evaluation, and referral tools. The assessment leads to a “By Name” list that allows Lincoln’s CoC to prioritize services to Lincoln’s most vulnerable consumers in a systematic and objective way. Coordinated Entry provides case managers with a low-barrier way to work across agencies as a team. Outreach serves as the front door to Lincoln’s homeless service system. All outreach staff use Language Link to bridge language barriers.

**Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

The end goal for homeless persons in emergency shelter or transitional housing is to obtain permanent or permanent supportive housing. Many of Lincoln’s transitional housing beds are connected to mental health and substance abuse services. Consumers exiting from these programs often move to halfway houses, which aren’t considered permanent housing by HUD. Although moving from transitional housing to a halfway housing is a successful outcome, it does negatively impact measurement towards HUD objectives. During FY23, Lincoln’s CoC continued to implement goals to move homeless persons into permanent housing: an increased emphasis on employment services, collaboration with private market housing providers, and expansion of the Coordinated Entry program, which provide case managers with real-time appropriate housing options and current housing availability at intake. Agencies receiving HUD and/or HSATF funds for transitional and shelter services and operations include Community Action, Cedars, Centerpointe, Fresh Start, Friendship Home, and Matt Talbot Kitchen & Outreach.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

System wide Continuum of Care (CoC) performance data indicates the number of persons who became homeless for the first time in Lincoln increased compared to the previous year (752 first time homeless in FY21, 931 in FY22, and 1,003 FY23). Urban Development subgrants NHAP funds for rapid housing activities at Matt Talbot Kitchen and Outreach, and Family Service, and administers homeless funding sources for relevant programming at Community Action Program, Fresh Start, Cedars, Friendship Home, and UNL’s Center for Children, Family, and the Law. These services include case management, financial assistance, and rental assistance, with the goal of stabilizing financial situations so that individuals and families were able to maintain self-sufficient housing. HMIS tracks system performance data, and risk factors for those who become homeless, to further strengthen prevention efforts.

The City of Lincoln distributes Homeless Diversion and Prevention programming designed to screen and target those at immediate risk of homelessness, and to provide them with specific one-time assistance to keep them housed, via the Lincoln Prevention Assistance Common Fund (LPAC), which is primarily funded with Federal Treasury ERA 2 dollars.

The average Length of Time homeless for those in emergency shelter, supportive housing, and transitional housing decreased by seven (-7) days (FY22=82, FY23=77).

Regarding discharge from publicly funded institutions of care, Lincoln continued to evaluate policies to help low-income individuals and families to avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income families who are being discharged from the publicly funded institutions and systems of care, such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, youth facilities, and criminal justice programs. Lincoln’s CoC actively pursues coordination with community providers and works towards integrating individuals served with mainstream resources and services. Many agencies have case managers who connect with justice involved individuals in the process of re-entry to begin applications and establish supports in the community to help reduce recidivism. In addition, Lincoln will continue to support the CoC’s efforts to provide permanent supportive housing options for those individuals at highest risk of becoming homeless through the utilization of the coordinated entry process.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

Lincoln’s CoC requires that agencies receiving HUD or state of Nebraska HSATF funds use the Housing First model, participate in coordinated entry, and use rapid re-housing programs, with the goal of attaining functional zero for all homeless individuals and families. Work continues on full implementation of coordinated entry for rapid re-housing units, which will allow increased optimization of ESG and HSATF funded programs. Lincoln currently uses a common assessment tool to identify the most vulnerable and chronically homeless individuals, youth, and families. Higher scores correspond to greater need, and prioritization on the housing list for either permanent supportive housing, or rapid rehousing if less support is needed. The coordinated entry system incorporated transition aged youth as a specialized population which received priority for transitional housing or rapid re-housing programs. Regarding homelessness for Veterans and their families, Lincoln’s CoC was officially acknowledged to have Ended Veterans Homelessness by the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness.

## CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

 **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

The Lincoln Housing Authority (LHA) has historically owned and operated 320 units of housing developed under the federal Public Housing program administered by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Two hundred of these units are single-family houses or duplexes, scattered on single sites at various locations throughout the City of Lincoln. The remaining 120 units were in Mahoney Manor, an eight-story high-rise apartment building for seniors.

Mahoney Manor utilizes Project-Based Vouchers through HUD’s Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program. Under this program LHA entered a long-term contract to provide project-based vouchers to current and future residents of the property. The project-based vouchers are administered by LHA and funded by HUD under a Voucher Annual Contributions Contract, RAD Use Agreement and the Moving to Work (MTW) Agreement. The stable voucher funding will ensure that Mahoney Manor remains high quality affordable rental property for the foreseeable future.

For the remaining 200 scattered site Public Housing units, LHA will continue to receive federal funds from HUD to operate, maintain, and make capital improvements to the above properties. HUD funds these activities through the provision of operating subsidies and capital funding (known as the Capital Fund). Congress and HUD have underfunded operating funds and capital funds for many years, threatening the viability of Public Housing across the country. Despite this shortfall LHA has maintained their Public Housing units in good condition and has been designated a “high performing” housing authority by HUD since 1992. However, the many years of underfunding Public Housing by the federal government is putting stress on LHA’s ability to maintain its units at the "high performer" level and threatens the long-term viability of the remaining public housing units. LHA will continue to address the needs of Public Housing as best it can while exploring more stable and reliable funding options.

LHA is working on an application for disposition of scattered site units under HUD’s Section 18 disposition process. Lincoln Housing Authority plans to convert assistance of all its 200 remaining public housing units (AMP 2, and AMP 3) to Section 8 Vouchers through Section 18 disposition. Like the RAD conversion of Mahoney Manor, most of the vouchers would be project-based and will be administered by LHA and funded by HUD under a Voucher Annual Contributions Contract (ACC) and the Moving to Work Agreement. LHA’s goal is to stabilize the funding to ensure this remains high quality affordable rental property for the foreseeable future. Due to the good condition of LHA’s units, LHA does not plan any substantial rehabilitation of the units and does not intend to seek additional outside financing.

**Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

LHA’s Resident Advisory Board meets annually to review LHA practices and procedures and provide input to management and LHA’s Board of Commissioners regarding LHA’s MTW Annual Plan. In addition, several housing facilities have standing resident councils who assist management in the governance and activities of the building. LHA also operates two homeownership programs. 1) LHA builds one house each year in partnership with Lincoln Northeast High School. Students help build the house, and LHA sells or leases-to-purchase the home to a current resident within existing programs. 2) LHA provides down-payment assistance to graduates of its Family Self Sufficiency program (Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher clients) who are ready to purchase a home.

**Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

LHA has been designated as a "high performing" housing authority by HUD since 1992. In addition, LHA has been designated as a Moving to Work (MTW) agency since 1997, one of a select few agencies in the country. MTW is a demonstration program that provides greater flexibility in the operation of Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher programs and is considered the policy lab for testing changes to these federal housing programs. There are no troubled PHAs in Lincoln.

## CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

* The City offers an impact fee exemption to low income, owner-occupied home buyers. The funding source is the City's General Fund.
* The City removed minimum parking requirements for most of our commercial districts. Almost all of these districts allow residential uses.
* The City allowed for first-floor residential to be built in O-2, B-1, B-3. Previously the only commercial first-floor uses were allowed.
* The City allowed for more than two single-family dwellings on a single parcel in several districts. Previously only two single family dwellings were allowed on a parcel. This is useful for potential Cottage/Tiny Home developments that keep all the homes on the same parcel.

**Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

* Most housing programs provided by the Urban Development Department are aimed at improving affordability. Existing affordable housing is located primarily in the Low- to Moderate-Income (LMI) area. The First Home Program administered by NeighborWorks®Lincoln, offers 50% forgiveness on assistance if a buyer purchases a home in the NRSA or LMI areas and 0% forgiveness on a home purchased outside of these areas. The emergency repair program is available city-wide and assists homeowners at 50% or less of area median income.
* Urban Development has assisted low-income buyers in obtaining housing outside of the LMI area by partnering with Habitat for Humanity and Lincoln Housing Authority.
* Lack of funding is a principal barrier to meeting underserved needs, particularly for affordable housing. Two local, additional financing sources have been established by the City of Lincoln to augment federal funds. Turnback Tax was allowed by State Legislation, from sales tax generated near Pinnacle Bank arena, to be used for low-income housing projects. Up to 10 percent of Lincoln's turnback taxes are earmarked for this purpose. These funds give priority to assisting with Low Income Housing Tax Credit Projects and if none are underway, then in qualified census tracts for affordable housing.
* The City also implemented an administration fee for Tax Increment Financing (TIF) projects. Fees more than $200,000 in the City’s two-year budget cycle are earmarked for affordable housing.
* The Lincoln City Council appropriated $710,000 in General Funds per year for two years for affordable housing.
* The City’s TIF policy was amended to require a percentage of affordable housing units when a project includes housing and allows for an “in-lieu of” payment.
* Strategies in the South of Downtown Redevelopment and Strategic Plan address obstacles to meet underserved needs in ten priority areas.
* Urban Development has identified public and private vacant lots and created a vacant lot web application for all interested parties to locate and explore development possibilities. It can be found at <https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/8151e7af735f431e8130a8b8d7e002b4>

**Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**Urban Development’s Rehabilitation Specialists are trained and certified as Risk Assessors. NeighborWorks®Lincoln also has a certified Risk Assessor for the inspection work involved in the homebuyer program.

* The Lead-based Paint Grant Program is designed to offset additional costs to the homeowner due to the implementation of the final rule on lead-based paint issued by HUD. The homeowner receives a grant for the portion of the rehabilitation project that is lead-related, such as the additional cost of safe-work practices, hazard reduction, clean-up, clearance, and items required by the contractor to meet the rules. The Housing Rehabilitation staff conducts a lead evaluation on every rehabilitation project and provides technical assistance to other agencies on lead issues.
* The City was also successful in pursuing a Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes Grant and was awarded $3.4 million for a 42-month period in January 2021. A six-month extension was approved for the original grant in FY23. Period of performance ends on Jan 2nd, 2025.
* 85 units were completed in FY23
* A new grant application was submitted in FY23. It’s a forty-eight-month grant for $4 million in lead hazard control grant, and an additional $400,000 in healthy homes. A Healthy Homes Production Grant application was also submitted in FY23 for $1 million in federal funding.

**Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

* Reducing the number of families living at the poverty-level can only be accomplished with a communitywide effort: HUD programs cannot do it all.
* The Lincoln Community Foundation commissioned the University of Nebraska Public Policy Center to complete a significant effort called Lincoln Vital Signs. Their latest update on race equity trends started in FY23. The final report can be found at <https://www.lincolnvitalsigns.org/>
* Prosper Lincoln, grew from this work and continues its work on five focus areas - all intended to comprehensively address poverty: early childhood, innovative workforce, affordable housing, strong neighborhoods, and civic investments.
* Other city-wide efforts include work done by the American Job Center, operated by Equus Workforce Solutions that reduce poverty by assisting people in finding jobs. Community Action Partnerships of Lancaster and Saunders County works county-wide with anti-poverty programs.
* Indirectly, all housing programs funded and operated by Urban Development work toward reducing poverty by providing affordable housing. Lastly, staff worked closely with Lincoln’s Continuum of Care and administered ESG funds which contributed to reducing poverty through support of Lincoln’s rent and utility assistance network and homelessness prevention programs in general.
* We implemented a section 3 program and created referral pathways for both section 3 business concerns and workers through the American Job Center. The ultimate goal of section 3 is that, to the greatest extent feasible, the investment of federal funding in local housing and community development projects will also result in economic opportunities for area residents and businesses. This may be in the form of employment, job training, or contracting opportunities. More information on section 3 can be found at <https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/City/Departments/Urban-Development/Section-3>

**Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Urban Development continues to participate in several initiatives and activities to work on overcoming gaps in institutional structures and to enhance coordination. Activities this fiscal year included the following.

* Working with the Lincoln Housing Authority, the City’s Commission on Human Rights, and other City Departments to implement and monitor strategies identified in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing.
* Working with affordable housing stakeholders, major employers, the American Job Center, the Lincoln Chamber of Commerce, neighborhood representatives, City Departments and others in the implementation of Lincoln’s Affordable Housing Coordinated Action Plan.
* Working with Community Development Resources (CDR) to provide financing for affordable housing. This is a primary strategy in the Affordable Housing Coordinated Action Plan.
* Working with the Nebraska Investment Finance Authority (NIFA), Lincoln Public Schools and NeighborWorks®Lincoln to support Community Learning Centers.
* A staff person represents the City on the Community Learning Center non-profit board.
* Working with Neighborworks®Lincoln on neighborhood issues, homeownership, and affordable rental housing.
* Supporting the League of Human Dignity to assist low-income people with disabilities to remove or modify architectural barriers in their homes.
* Working with Building & Safety to provide fair housing insight in the review and adoption of future building codes.
* Participating in the Problem Resolution Team which is a group of City staff, Mayor, and City Council representatives that address problem properties.
* A staff member is an active member of the Continuum of Care Executive committee and the Homeless Coalition.
* Staff members serve on the Mayor's Resilient Lincoln Roundtable and staffs the Mayor’s Neighborhood Roundtable.
* U.S. Treasury Funding is provided for the Tenant Assistance Program that provides legal services pro bono at eviction court for tenants facing eviction.
* Participation in the Lincoln Prevention Assistance Community Fund (LPAC) with community partners and other City Departments to prevent homelessness and provide rent and utility assistance.
* A section 3 program was developed to support economic opportunities for area residents and businesses.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

* Urban Development worked closely with the Lincoln Housing Authority, private sector landlords, and social service agencies in a variety of ways. Lincoln’s CoC administers the Project Landlord and Consumer Engagement committee, or “PLACE,” to specifically enhance coordination between private landlords who house social service agency clients. Through PLACE, partnering landlords agreed to consider involvement in case management with social service agencies as an additional screening criterion. Landlords benefited from problem prevention through regular home visits from case management staff, neutral party mediation, and formalized partnerships with agencies who provide needed services to at-risk renters.
* Ongoing outreach continues to strengthen relationships with housing providers. One-on-one meetings continue to reaffirm the City’s commitment to working with providers of affordable housing. Involvement in preparation of the Affordable Housing Coordinated Action Plan also increased coordination with public and private housing and social service agencies. Involvement with Prosper Lincoln, particularly in affordable housing issues, also enhances coordination.
* Lastly, Urban Development allocated CDBG funding to the Nebraska Housing Developers Association to further refine, market and administer a tenant and housing provider education tool. This tool broadens housing education to the rental market and has been proven to increase housing stability.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

* The Analysis of Impediments was adopted when our consolidated plan was approved. The Plan went before the Lincoln City Council on June 26, 2023, for public hearing and approval on July 10, 2023.
* Urban Development staff worked with several different City departments and the Lincoln Housing Authority, as described in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing to address our impediments to fair housing. This coalition established a working group to directly work on the primary actions listed in the Analysis of Impediments.
* Select actions identified under each of the four impediments were addressed. That list is below.
	+ The City continued its South of Downtown rental rehabilitation program. It is on track with the action to rehabilitate 500 residential rental housing units with affordability ranging from 5 to 20 years across the planning period.
	+ We partnered with Building and Safety to participate in (a) their review and adoption of minimum maintenance codes, and (b) their review and adoption of apartment licensing program changes. No concerns were raised from them this year.
	+ Urban Development staff began working with the Community Health Endowment Place Matters 6.0. We also partner with the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department and other community partners, embarks on a data journey to create place-based maps. We meet, plan, discuss, research, and create. Time and time again, these maps lead to “aha!” moments of discovery about how to make Lincoln even better.
	+ Urban development staff co-led a New Americans Task Force and identified a funding mechanism to conduct fair housing testing. This proposal is being considered for implementation.
	+ The City supported both tenant and housing provider education through Nebraska RentWise. 361 renters and 23 housing providers completed their educational program. Housing providers added approximately 300 units into the RentWise system. Providers can share if they accept housing vouchers, if their unit is lead safe, is ADA accessible, and if they offer incentives for RentWise graduates.
	+ Completed early was the addition of a credit improvement module in RentWise. The Nebraska Bankers Association was consulted to develop this effort.
* Lack of Knowledge Concerning Fair Housing is being accounted for through the Commission on Human Rights Outreach efforts. In addition, fair housing information was placed into MyLNK which every LPS student has on their provided school laptop’s homepage.
* The current implementation schedule can be found at https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/urban-development/docresources/hud/ai-update-final-021023-a.pdf

## CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

Urban Development continues an ongoing monitoring process with its housing and community development projects to ensure long-term compliance with program and consolidates planning requirements.

General grant administration activities included preparation and management of annual operating and programmatic budgets, including allocation of personnel and overhead costs; analysis of past and current year performance and expenditures in all program areas (i.e., housing rehabilitation, homeownership, public improvements, etc.); oversight of revenues, “timeliness” of expenditures; and coordination and utilization of HUD’s IDIS system for reporting and fund drawdowns.

Program/project monitoring ensures that the City and its subrecipients (or borrowers) meet performance objectives within schedule and budget. Most importantly, it provides documentation of the City’s compliance with program (CDBG, HOME and ESG) objectives, rules, and regulations. A critical part of the City’s monitoring system is an assessment of each subrecipient or borrower to identify high risk agencies that require more extensive oversight and monitoring. Agencies identified as high risk include those who are new to the program, are experiencing turnover in key staff positions, have been plagued by past compliance or performance problems, are undertaking multiple funding activities for the first time, and/or are not submitting timely reports.

A standardized procedure for review and monitoring has been established utilizing monitoring tools developed for CDBG, HOME and ESG programs. Areas addressed include 1) Project or Program Status; 2) Program Benefits; 3) Consistency of Records and 4) Financial Accountability. Desk-top reviews involve examining progress reports, compliance reports, and financial information. On-site monitoring visits are performed to determine adequate maintenance. On-site visits also involve examining beneficiary documentation, audit reports, accounting records, invoices, payroll documentation, and timesheets.

The accountant also works with subrecipients and borrowers to ensure compliance with all Federal rules and regulations. Occupancy reports submitted for assisted housing projects are examined to determine compliance with affordability and tenant eligibility. Interviews with contractor employees are conducted and certified payrolls are examined to ensure compliance with Fair Labor Standards and Davis-Bacon wage requirements.

Urban Development staff also participates in the quarterly conference calls with the HUD field office and attends trainings held by HUD when appropriate to keep up to date on program compliance and comprehensive planning requirements.

**Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports**.

A public hearing on the CAPER was held on November 20th, 2024, and public notice was given ten days in advance. Additionally, the public was notified by a Public Notice in the Lincoln Journal Star of the 30-day comment period, October 27th – November 25, 2024. A draft of the CAPER was also made available on the Urban Development Department website throughout the public comment period. Additionally, this notice was shared with Cause Collective Lincoln. This group is a hub for minority, non-English speaking populations, disabled advocates among other social support systems within our community for dissemination. No one attended the public hearing. No comments were received during the comment period. No comments were received during the public hearing.

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

* In general, program objectives have not changed. Both quantitative and qualitative analysis completed in preparation of the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing contributed by identifying gaps in affordable housing and the degree of housing cost burden faced by low-income renters in particular. The quality of existing naturally occurring affordable housing has received more attention as a result of public engagement efforts. A second round of grants are underway in South of Downtown to receive TIF funded grants for rental rehabilitation projects.
* The City of Lincoln received a 2nd Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes Supplemental Grant and applied for a Healthy Homes Production Grant. Funding notice is expected during this comment period. Prior efforts have informed our program objectives in term of cost per unit and contractor capacity. Above all, program objectives have intensified as our internal capacity has grown.
* In FY23 HUD published departmental policy for addressing radon in the environmental review process. Following their guidance, we acquired approximately 22,000 radon tests results in Lancaster County from 2018 to 2024 and found the median value of the 10 nearest tests for every parcel in the county. We use this effort to guide our radon testing procedure.
* In FY23 we implemented a section 3 program and created referral pathways for both section 3 business concerns an workers through the American Job Center. The ultimate goal of section 3 is that, to the greatest extent feasible, the investment of federal funding in local housing and community development projects will also result in economic opportunities for area residents and businesses. This may be in the form of employment, job training, or contracting opportunities. More information on section 3 can be found at <https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/City/Departments/Urban-Development/Section-3>

**Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?**

No

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

## CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

**Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

*Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.*

**Kurt/Ron/LOREN**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Housing Project** | **Address** | **Units Inspected** | **Inspection Action** |
| Jonna Court | 1240 Jonna Court | 1242, 1252 | NO ACTION |

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)**

* Staff shall not discriminate against any individual or family because of race, color, national origin, religion, gender, disability, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression. Reasonable accommodation will be offered to all disabled persons who request accommodations due to disability.
* NeighborWorks Lincoln distributes and reviews the Fair Housing / Equal Opportunity brochure from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development each month in for the Homebuyer Education classes. Clients are provided with examples of housing discrimination practices for both homebuyers and renters. Clients are also educated on how to file a claim with HUD’s Kansas City Regional Office or through the Lincoln Commission of Human Rights if they feel they have been victims of housing discrimination.
* All marketing brochures shall display the Fair Housing | Equal Housing Opportunity logo.

**Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

A total of $317,174.44 HOME program income was drawn during the program year to assist fifteen home buyers for the First Home Program with down payment and rehabilitation assistance.

First Home Program
 White............................................................. 13
 Hispanic Descent............................................. 1
 Black/African American................................... 2
 Female Head of Households...........................11

 60% Median Income....................................... 1
 80% Median Income......................................14

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)**

HOME funds were identified to be primarily used to increase homeownership and create additional affordable housing. The First Home Program, administered by NeighborWorks®Lincoln, used their FY 2023 allotment for down payment and rehabilitation assistance. Twenty-eight households were able to purchase and/or finish rehabilitating homes purchased with HOME funds in FY 23. The Housing Development Loan Program provided funding to Habitat for Humanity. Habitat for Humanity completed rehabilitation of one existing home and construction of four homes on lots previously acquired with HOME funds. These houses were built using donations, donated materials, and volunteer labor. One home was completed through the Troubled Property Program and sold to a first-time home buyer.

Urban Development has also implemented a Rental Rehabilitation Program in the South of Downtown neighborhood utilizing TIF (tax increment financing) funds.

## CR-58 – Section 3

**Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided**

~~No section 3 projects were completed this year~~

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **~~Total Labor Hours~~** | **~~CDBG~~** | **~~HOME~~** | **~~ESG~~** | **~~HOPWA~~** | **~~HTF~~** |
| ~~Total Number of Activities~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ | ~~0~~ |
| ~~Total Labor Hours~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Total Section 3 Worker Hours~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours~~ |  |  |  |  |  |

~~Table 14 – Total Labor Hours~~

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **~~Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program~~** | **~~CDBG~~** | **~~HOME~~** | **~~ESG~~** | **~~HOPWA~~** | **~~HTF~~** |
| ~~Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes,preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Held one or more job fairs.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Assisted residents with finding child care.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Other.~~ |  |  |  |  |  |

~~Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program~~

**~~Narrative~~**

## CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

**ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps***

**For Paperwork Reduction Act**

**1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete**

**Basic Grant Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recipient Name** | LINCOLN |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Organizational DUNS Number** | 138733808 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **UEI** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **EIN/TIN Number** | 476006256 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Indentify the Field Office** | OMAHA |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance** | Lincoln CoC |

**ESG Contact Name**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Prefix** | Mr |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **First Name** | Bradd |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Middle Name** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Last Name** | Schmeichel |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Suffix** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Planner I |

**ESG Contact Address**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Street Address 1** | 555 South 10th Street, suite 205 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Street Address 2** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **City** | Lincoln |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **State** | NE |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ZIP Code** | 68508- |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Phone Number** | 402447856  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Extension** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Fax Number** | 402448711  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Email Address** | bschmeichel@lincoln.ne.gov |

**ESG Secondary Contact**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Prefix** | Mrs |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **First Name** | PATRICIA |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Last Name** | POSEY RIBEIRO |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Suffix** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Urban Development Manager |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Phone Number** | 4024417860 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Extension** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Email Address** | pribeiro@lincoln.ne.gov |

**2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program Year Start Date** | 09/01/2023 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program Year End Date** | 08/31/2024 |

**3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient**

|  |
| --- |
| Community Action Partnership of Lancaster & Saunders CountiesLincolnNebraska68508XZKETGP48B35Victim services provider? NoOther non-profit organization$100,000CenterPointeLincolnNebraska68503DXE5DDQ2LE17Victim services provider? NoOther non-profit organization$61,304 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

CR-65 Is not filled out by the grantee. These facets are reported via SAGE

**~~4. Persons Served~~**

**~~4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **~~Number of Persons in Households~~** | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Adults~~ |  |
| ~~Children~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

~~Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities~~

**~~4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **~~Number of Persons in Households~~** | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Adults~~ |  |
| ~~Children~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

~~Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities~~

**~~4c. Complete for Shelter~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **~~Number of Persons in Households~~** | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Adults~~ |  |
| ~~Children~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

~~Table 18 – Shelter Information~~

**~~4d. Street Outreach~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **~~Number of Persons in Households~~** | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Adults~~ |  |
| ~~Children~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

 ~~Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach~~

**~~4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **~~Number of Persons in Households~~** | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Adults~~ |  |
| ~~Children~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

~~Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG~~

**~~5. Gender—Complete for All Activities~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Male~~ |  |
| ~~Female~~ |  |
| ~~Transgender~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

~~Table 21 – Gender Information~~

**~~6. Age—Complete for All Activities~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **~~Total~~** |
| ~~Under 18~~ |  |
| ~~18-24~~ |  |
| ~~25 and over~~ |  |
| ~~Don’t Know/Refused/Other~~ |  |
| ~~Missing Information~~ |  |
| **~~Total~~** |  |

 ~~Table 22 – Age Information~~

**~~7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities~~**

**~~Number of Persons in Households~~**

| **~~Subpopulation~~** | **~~Total~~** | **~~Total Persons Served – Prevention~~** | **~~Total Persons Served – RRH~~** | **~~Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters~~** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Veterans~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Victims of Domestic Violence~~  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Elderly~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~HIV/AIDS~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Chronically Homeless~~ |  |  |  |  |

| **~~Persons with Disabilities:~~** |
| --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Severely Mentally Ill~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Chronic Substance Abuse~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Other Disability~~ |  |  |  |  |
| ~~Total (unduplicated if possible)~~ |  |  |  |  |

~~Table 23 – Special Population Served~~

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

**8. Shelter Utilization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of New Units – Rehabbed  | NA |
| Number of New Units – Conversion  | NA |
| Total Number of bed - nights available | 7,397 |
| Total Number of bed - nights provided | 7,542 |
| Capacity Utilization | 98.08% |

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

**9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)**

Shelter not supported with FY23 ESG funds.
 See the attached document titled 'APX - LNK NE-502 System Performance Measures Tables' which details the area's effort.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

**11. Expenditures**

**11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year** |
|  | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 23,549 | 85,480 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 77,820 | 8,206 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 73,860 | 61,710 | 4 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 7,106 | 22,100 | 0 |
| **Subtotal Homelessness Prevention** | **182,335** | **177,496** | **4** |

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

**11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year** |
|  | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 376,692 | 351,112 | 4,538 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 148,613 | 146,460 | 373 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 473,274 | 285,300 | 58,966 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 54,971 | 38,375 | 9,560 |
| **Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing** | **1,053,550** | **821,247** | **73,437** |

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

**11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year** |
|  | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| Essential Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renovation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Major Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conversion | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | **0** | **0** | **0** |

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year** |
|  | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| Street Outreach | 35,004 | 71,211 | 16,707 |
| HMIS | 13,974 | 1,750 | 0 |
| Administration | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total ESG Funds Expended** | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| 3,117,113 | 1,284,863 | 1,071,704 | 90,148 |

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

**11f. Match Source**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| Other Non-ESG HUD Funds | 195,896 | 344,739 | 158,125 |
| Other Federal Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State Government | 389,247 | 480,732 | 486,894 |
| Local Government | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Income | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total Match Amount** | **585,143** | **825,471** | **645,019** |

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

**11g. Total**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities** | **2021** | **2022** | **2023** |
| 5,099,763 | 1,870,006 | 1,897,175 | 735,167 |

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Performance Measures Tables' which details the area's effort.