DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2004 - 11:00 A.M.
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

I. MAYOR

1. Mayor Coleen J. Seng comments on Monday, November 1, 2004 at the 11:30 a.m. Directors-City Council Meeting - (See Comments)

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Deadline Extended For Parade Judges - (See Release)

3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Separation Of Yard Waste Continues Through November - (See Release)

4. Director’s Report-October 2004 from Bonnie Coffey, Lincoln/Lancaster Women’s Commission - (See Report)

II. DIRECTORS

FINANCE

1. Material from Don Herz - RE: EMS Financial Data through Oct. 31, 2004 - (See Material)

FINANCE DEPARTMENT/CITY TREASURER

1. Material from Don Herz, Finance Director & Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer - RE: Resolution & Finance Department, Treasurer of Lincoln, Nebraska - Investments Purchased October 18 thru October 29, 2004.

HUMAN SERVICES

1. Material from Kit Boesch - RE: Low Income Bus Tickets-Pilot Project Update - (See Material)

LIBRARY

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Lincoln City Libraries Ranks 7th in National Index - (See Release)
PLANNING

1. Letter & Material from Jean Walker - RE: Miscellaneous No. 04016 (Proposed Policy on “Temporary Pump Stations and Force Mains”) (See Material)

2. Letter & Material from Jean Walker - RE: Change of Zone No. 04071 and Change of Zone No. 04072 (Proposed Text Amendment to Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code) (See Material)

3. Response E-Mail from Jean Walker to Jackson Meredith - RE: Oppose the Proposed Motorcycle Racetrack by Wilderness Park-Change of Zone No. 04068 and Special Permit No. 04057 - (See E-Mail)

4. Letter from Becky Horner to Dan Thomson, Olsson Associates - RE: Landmark Corporate Center 1st Addition Final Plat #04081 - Generally located at N. 33rd Street and Eastpark Circle - (See Letter)

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION ..... 

1. Special Permit No. 04053 (Dwelling for Domestic Employee - 1701 S. 98th Street) Resolution No. PC-00891.

2. Waiver No. 04014 (4239 Calvert Street) Resolution No. PC-00892.

III. CITY CLERK

IV. COUNCIL

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

JONATHAN COOK

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Harry Kroos, Public Works & Utilities Dept., Sidewalk Inspector - RE: Sidewalk Ramps (RFI#119-10/07/04)

2. Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director - RE: Stop Signs at 30th & Calvert (RFI#121-11/03/04)
GLENN FRIENDT


PATTE NEWMAN

1. Request to Marc Wullschleger, Urban Development; Don Herz and Steve Hubka, Finance; Allan Abbott and W. Telen, Public Works; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Marvin Krout, Planning - RE: Antelope Valley Project, Tax Increment Financing (in relationship to non-profit organizations and the current Michigan and Connecticut court cases on eminent domain and their effects on T.I.F funding here) and Consultants and Consulting fee funding. (RFI #27 - 10-01-04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM MARVIN KROUT, PLANNING DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#27-10/06/04. – 2.) SEE RESPONSE FROM JOEL PEDERSEN, CITY LAW DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#27 - 10/18/04.

TERRY WERNER

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04)

2. Request to Dana Roper, City Attorney - RE: Butchering Animals (RFI#138-11/01/04)

3. Request to Police Chief Casady - RE: “The Office Gentlemen’s Club” on Folsom Street (RFI#139-11/03/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM POLICE CHIEF CASADY RECEIVED ON RFI#139-11/03/04.

B. COUNCIL COMMENTS
V. MISCHELANGEUS

1. E-Mail from Fred Freytag, President, Witherbee Neighborhood Association - RE: In Support of the Neighborhood Design Standards - (See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail from Renee Malone, Clinton Neighborhood Board member - RE: Support of Neighborhood standards - (See E-Mail)

3. E-Mail from Bob Hampton - RE: Need sewers - (See E-Mail)

4. E-Mail from Jackson Meredith - RE: Oppose the Proposed Motorcycle Racetrack by Wilderness Park - (See E-Mail)

5. Letter from Shelley R. Sahling-Zart, Vice President& Assistant Counsel, Lincoln Electric System - RE: The 2005 LES Budget - (See Letter)

6. E-Mail from Deborah Myers - RE: The new smoking ban - (See E-Mail)

7. E-Mail from Ben McElroy - RE: The new smoking ban going into effect - (See E-Mail)

8. Letter from Tammy Ready - RE: Wondering what would it take to pave SW 17th Street? - (See Letter)

9. E-Mail from Monte Pierce - RE: The new smoking ban - (See E-Mail)

10. Letter from Jim Fram, CCE, CED President, Lincoln Chamber of Commerce to Marvin Krout, Planning Director - RE: Change of Zone #04069 - (See Letter)

11. E-Mail from Brice Sullivan - RE: The new smoking ban - (See E-Mail)

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Tomorrow Nebraska voters will decide if gambling will be authorized in the state. Two weeks ago I asked Council members to study and read as much as you could about the gambling questions on the ballot. Last week, to provide further information, I asked city staff to provide Council members a briefing about some of the issues communities may be faced with if the gambling ballot measures pass.

If all or some combination of the ballot initiative questions on gambling pass it will be in the best interest of the City for the Council to immediately begin reviewing the implications, options and decisions the community will face. If any of them pass, the question facing the City is what is best for the community at that point and what affect decisions of other communities might have on Lincoln.

The City Attorney, the Finance Director and I have had a number of discussions about this issue. The City Attorney and others have indicated that the initiative petitions may be self-enacting if they pass. This might require us to act sooner than later. Once we know the outcome of the vote on Tuesday, I want to alert the Council that if some or all of the gambling measures pass, I may be requesting the Council to schedule a special meeting to begin examining the local questions and decisions resulting from the statewide vote. If all the gambling provisions fail, as some polls suggest, none of this discussion will be needed, but if any of them pass, we need to be ready to begin this local discussion.

If the gambling measures passes, some of the items I foresee needing discussion include:

- Initiative 420 allows 4,200 electronic gambling machines to be divided among communities and counties. What should Lincoln consider doing with its allocation?

- Under Initiative 420, the racetrack at the State Fair would be allocated a portion of 700 electronic gambling machines. What impact would a State Fair Board decision to pursue gambling have on Lincoln? The State Fair and racetrack sit on state property. Does Lincoln have any voice in the State Fair racetrack decision?

What impact do decisions by other communities have on Lincoln’s options.

- Are there actions the City should consider until the Nebraska Gaming Commission is operating.

- If gambling is considered in Lincoln how would the spacing requirements in the initiatives affect the city and would local zoning issues need to be examined?

- Next month we will begin discussing the City’s budget forecast. Are there impacts on the city budget if gambling is authorized in Lincoln or in a community near Lincoln? Is there a cost benefit or just additional taxpayer cost if gambling were authorized locally? Could gambling add tax revenue to the City budget or simply generate more costs through additional public safety and enforcement.

- How would a local gambling operation affect Keno operations and revenues to the City?

Will the Native American Tribes actions on gambling have any impact on Lincoln?

I am greatly concerned about the affects of expanded gambling. This vote could move a divisive issue from the state level to the local level. Therefore, like good scouts, if the gambling provisions are approved we must be prepared to start dealing with the consequences.
DEADLINE EXTENDED FOR PARADE JUDGES

The deadline for children to apply to be judges for the 20th celebration of the Star City Holiday Parade December 4 has been extended to November 13. Children ages 8 through 14 are encouraged to apply. The theme for this year’s parade is “Our Lasting Treasure.” It is presented by First National Bank and produced by Updowntowners, Inc., the Downtown Lincoln Association and the City of Lincoln.

To apply, children are asked to write a short essay (three to four sentences) telling about their lasting treasures. They should send the essay along with their names, ages (as of December 1, 2004), addresses and phone numbers to Parade Headquarters, 1200 “N” Street, Suite 101, Lincoln, NE 68508.

The judges will have special seating at the parade and will be assisted by an adult mentor. Parents will be seated in a reserved area nearby.

For more information on the judging, contact Deb Johnson at 434-6902. More information on the parade is available on its Web site: www.starcityholidayfestival.org.

Media note: This year’s parade logo is available by calling Rick Koepping, Citizen Information Center, 441-7317.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 3, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Gene Hanlon, Recycling Coordinator, 441-7043
Steve Hatten, Lincoln Solid Waste Association, 475-8360

SEPARATION OF YARD WASTE CONTINUES THROUGH NOVEMBER

The City Recycling Office reminds Lincoln and Lancaster County residents that State law requires that grass and leaves be separated from household trash from April 1 through November 30 of each year. During this time, grass and leaves are diverted to the yard waste composting facility.

City residents have four options for handling grass and leaves:

- Waste haulers provide a separate collection for a fee. Leaves must be in approved containers. This includes paper lawn bags available at area retailers, 32-gallon waste containers with tight fitting lids or 90-gallon containers provided by the hauler. No plastic bags are accepted because they don’t decompose. Steve Hatten, President of the Lincoln Solid Waste Management Association, said some haulers may leave plastic bags at the curb or have an extra handling charge to remove the plastic bags. Residents can contact their waste haulers to determine their policies on plastic bags.

- Leaves can be taken to the transfer station at 5101 North 48th Street from 6:45 a.m. to 3 p.m. Monday through Saturday and until noon on Sunday. The fee is $10 per pick-up truck load and $2.50 per car load.

- Residents can compost their leaves in their backyards. Information on composting is available from the City Recycling Office at 441-8215 or the Cooperative Extension Office at 441-7180.

- Residents can hire a lawn service

City Recycling Coordinator Gene Hanlon said that the City conducts inspections of loads coming to the Bluff Road Landfill and composting site. If household trash is found mixed with grass and leaves, the City can assess the haulers a $50 clean-up fee. Hatten said that this cost may be passed on to the property that mixed grass and leaves with the household trash.

Hanlon said yard waste includes material such as crab grass and pine needles. If someone subscribes to the special yard waste collection provided by haulers, they can place any organic material such as weeds, garden waste and brush with their grass and leaves. Brush however, should be no larger than one inch in diameter. Individuals who do not subscribe to the special yard waste collection can place weeds, garden waste and brush with their household trash.

For more information, contact the City of Lincoln Recycling Office at 441-8215
TO:   LLWC Commissioners  
     Mayor Coleen J.Seng  
     Lincoln City Council Members  
     Lancaster County Board of Commissioners  
     Lancaster County Towns/Villages/Boards  
FROM:  Bonnie Coffey/Lincoln-Lancaster Women's Commission  
DATE:   10/29/04  
SUBJECT:  Director's Report – October 2004

Community Learning Center (CLC) Initiative
Background: The City of Lincoln was one of eight cities nationwide to receive a thirty-month technical assistance grant to engage the city in CLCs; I served as point person for that grant, and continue to serve on the management team for the CLC initiative in Lincoln. Community Learning Centers are partnerships that provide opportunities and support services that lead to improved student learning, stronger families and stronger communities. There are currently 15 CLCs in Lincoln funded by a blended stream of 21st Century, PEN, City of Lincoln and grant monies.

- In preparation for the annual “Lights On Afterschool!” celebration, I attended several meetings with Lea Ann Johnson on local plans; I was the emcee for this event. This year’s event was held on 10/14 at Haymarket Park, complete with hot dogs, potato chips and drinks. It was a chilly, rainy evening, but the event set a worlds’ record for the number of students K-5 who ran the bases. The event ended with fireworks, and we think we’d like to return next year! The Sowers were an enormous help, as were lots and lots of CLC supporters. Mayor Seng gets a special recognition for showing up in the rain to present a proclamation that was hand delivered by two soldiers arriving on a Black Hawk helicopter.

- The CLC Sustainability Task Force met on 10/6, seeking serious consideration on the continuation of the CLCs in Lincoln. While great support was expressed, no one had specific ideas on how to raise the funding necessary to continue the programs.

- As a member of the Statewide CLC Network, I participated in a conference call for the PR Committee. We are working on a logo for the group, as well as providing components for a proposed website and building a “toolkit” for prospective CLC developers.

- The CLC Management Team met on 10/25 to discuss the planned SNAC Summit on 11/13. Concern was expressed that there was not enough time to get details completed and a concern that Site Supervisors were close to burnout with several other projects. Lea Ann Johnson will confer with Site Supervisors on the proposal that the SNAC Summit be delayed until January 2005.

Community Services Initiative (CSI)
Background: CSI is the implementation of plans developed through a community-wide survey of gaps in human services needs; seven coalitions address needs, including Housing, Transportation, Early Childhood & Youth Development, Violence, Behavioral
Health, Basic & Emergency Needs, and Medical Health Care. Along with Julie Cervantes-Salomon of Heartland Big Brother Big Sisters, I serve as a co-chair for the Early Childhood & Youth Development Coalition. The Early Childhood and Youth Development Coalition have two subgroups, one for Early Childhood and one for Youth Development; I focus on the Early Childhood subgroup. Additionally, I serve on the CSI Human Services Planning Cabinet. Recently, the Early Care & Youth Development Coalition has been designated “Success by 6®.”

CSI Project Director Robyn Henderson and I met several times during the month to talk about the future of the Early Care & Education group (now known as “Success by 6®”), brainstorming ways to seek funding for the group.

The Youth Development Coalition met on 10/7, with preliminary work on reducing the number of benchmarks the coalition is tracking and discussion of a community meeting on youth development needs.

At the CSI Cabinet meeting on 10/22, we learned that as of January 1, 2005, the Public Policy Center will no longer be administering the CSI project. An RFP will be out on November 1; for now, Coalitions will continue their activities as planned.

The newly named “Success by 6” group met on 10/28. One of the major topics of discussion was the “Mayor’s Summit on Early Care & Education and Youth Development” scheduled for June 17, 2005. The vision is to focus on early care and education in the morning, with a nationally-recognized speaker for a luncheon, then focus on youth development in the afternoon. Since we have received no information on the ELOA grant, we are assuming that Lincoln did not receive the grant, so additional funding sources will need to be identified and pursued.

On 10/29, along with Robyn Henderson, Project Manager for the CSI Project, I attended a meeting at Lincoln Action Program to help plan a legislative breakfast to provide current information on the issue of early care and education to Lincoln area state senators and their staffs. Similar breakfasts are being planned in other areas of Nebraska; the state group is developing an informational packet so that information provided will be consistent.

Women’s Leadership

Background: During LLWC’s strategic planning following the “Women’s Voices” project that surveyed women in Lincoln and Lancaster County, focus is being placed on two areas, “Women’s Leadership & Public Policy” and “Women’s Health.”

I had lunch in Omaha with two women servings as directors on the Women’s Fund of Greater Omaha to share information on LLWC’s “A Place at the Table” (APATT) report. They are interested in doing a similar study and wanted to see how we had gone about our
LLWC’s “Council of Women Leaders” (COWL) networking event was held on 10/5 at the Ortner Center on the Union College Campus. Over 70 women were in attendance, with enthusiastic support for continuation of the event. The next one is scheduled for April 19, 2005. This event is held twice a year, and has enjoyed enormous success.

At the invitation of City Council Representative Jon Camp, on 10/27, I attended the Governor’s proclamation signing ceremony declaring 10/24 as United Nations Day; the focus this year is “Women’s Rights and Empowerment.” I had also been asked to provide background information for Mr. Camp’s comments.

Women’s Health

Background: During LLWC’s strategic planning following the “Women’s Voices” project that surveyed women in Lincoln and Lancaster County, focus is being placed on two areas, “Women’s Leadership & Public Policy” and “Women’s Health.” LLWC Director Bonnie Coffey serves as a liaison to the Family Violence Council and Co-Chair of the Changing Attitudes Committee (a subcommittee formed to implement part of the FVC strategic plan to address community attitudes concerning violence).

The Healthy Kids 2010: Breastfeeding Task Force met on 10/12, with the focus on future plans to again present the “Return to Work” programs. These offer women in the community information on how they can return to work and continue to breastfeed, and include luncheon, informative workshops and a fashion show. LLWC supports this effort by staffing the Media Subcommittee, assisting with PR. Through our efforts, Lamar Outdoor Advertising has agreed to post National Ad Campaign billboards stating, “Children Were Meant to Be Breastfed.” They appear in not only Lincoln, but across Nebraska.

On 10/14, I attended BryanLGH Medical Center’s annual luncheon to celebrate survivors of breast cancer. Kay Kiechel-White had asked me for some suggestions on speakers, and I recommended my friend, Mary Kay White and her good friend Nancy Theron (better known as “Thelma and Louise”). They provided a great program on the importance of asking for help and how to give help. They gave their team a name, “Journey’s Angels,” named after my granddaughter, Journey, who died March 17 at the age of 13 months. It was such an honor to be there to remember her.

Linda Shafer and I met during the month to work on a presentation for BryanLGH Medical Center, “Help! Save Me! I’m a Working Parent!” The presentation was given on 10/21.

Annually, LLWC cosponsors the Candlelight Vigil with the Rape/Spouse Abuse Crisis Center (RSACC); that event was held on 10/20, with LLWC providing support with logo development, designing and printing of programs, arrangement for a representative from
the city (this year, City Council Representative Annette McRoy presented the proclamation on behalf of Mayor Seng) and help to set up (thanks to LLWC Commissioners Nancy Intermill, Beth Rodacker and LLWC President Kathie Uhrmacher, who provided comments).

**Lincoln-Lancaster Teenage Pregnancy Prevention Coalition (TPPC).**

*Background: For several years, LLWC has been an active member of TPPC. LLWC’s director serves as the president for 2003/04.*

- The monthly meeting on 10/12 provided an open discussion on the future and direction of TPPC. The group will continue to work towards the fiscal impact study (being done by a grad student and moving very slowly!) and to focus its efforts in encouraging parents to be the primary sex educators for their children. I chair the PR/Media Committee, and am working on getting pro bono services to create a tagline and/or presence for the coalition.

**Media/PR/Speaking**

- In collaboration with the Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, we have developed a presentation on racial and sexual harassment for teens that we are presenting to Lincoln high schools. During October, we presented to Career Classes at Lincoln Northeast (10/4), Lincoln Southwest (10/8),

- Every other Wednesday, I provide information on LLWC and/or events focusing on women on My 106.3 FM with Austin and Kaci. The chat on 10/13 focused on the Candlelight Vigil and the Week Without Violence, and the one on 10/27 talked about the importance of women voting.

- On 10/28, I gave an interview with Channel 10/11 and Risa Avila on a story she is working on for a November airing on the challenges faced by stay-at-home moms who find themselves abandoned or divorced.

**Meetings/Public Contacts**

- LLWC’s monthly meeting was held on 10/13.

- The Hispanic Heritage Luncheon was held on 10/15, and I was pleased to attend to represent LLWC and to honor the daughter of a previous commissioner (Maria Medrano-Nehls) who was recently killed in Iraq.

- I attended the League of Women Voters “Lunch & Learn” on 10/22, listening to Duane Obermeier, president of the Nebraska State Educational Association (NSEA) and their plan to approach the Unicameral for substantial funding for education in Nebraska.
● Friends of LLWC met on 10/25; I attended that meeting.

● I attended the YWCA Tribute to Women luncheon on 10/29.

**WomenTalk**

*Background: This unique television series addressing the issues that impact women was inaugurated in January 2000, and is the only one of its kind in the city/county. Thanks to the volunteer efforts of friends and LLWC commissioners pulling camera duty, and the underwriting of the Lincoln Journal Star, these programs are produced at no cost to LLWC, the City or the County.*

November 2004 – “Perspectives: Native American Women”
   Comoderator: Renee Geller, former LLWC commissioner
   Guests: Misty Thomas and Nettie Sykita (tapes 11/18)

● FY 2004/05 programs are:
   January 2005 – “Leading the Way - Women in Leadership”
   March 2005 – Perspectives: Muslim Women”
   May 2005 – “Overexposed: Dangers of Tanning”
   July 2005 – “Invited In: Internet Predators”
   September 2005 – “How to Get Ahead Without Going to the Golf Course”
   November 2005 – “Crystal Catastrophe: Women and Meth”

We are appreciative of the underwriting of the Lincoln Journal Star for this year’s series of WomenTalk programs.

**Regularly Attended Meetings**

● LLWC Staff Meetings are held each Monday at 9 a.m. to review the week, touch base and coordinate schedules and activities.

● Each Monday at 10:45 a.m., I attend the Directors Meeting held with City Council.

● Each Wednesday from 9-11 a.m., I attend the Mayor’s Cabinet meeting. Weekly reports are e-mailed to the Mayor each Monday morning.

**Coming Up**

► LLWC Monthly Meeting – Wednesday, November 10, 5:45 pm @ LLWC Conference Room, 440 S. 8th Street
► Election Day – Tuesday, November 2
► November 3-6 – I will be attending the fall board meeting for National Association of Commissions for Women (NACW) in Miami
► Veterans Day – Thursday, November 11 – LLWC Offices closed
► Wednesday, November 24 – I’m on vacation
► Thursday, November 25 and Friday, November 26 – Thanksgiving Holidays – LLWC Offices closed
► There is no LLWC Advisory Board meeting in December.
► I will be attending a conference on CLCs in Scottsdale, AZ December 1-3.
► December 15-20 – I’m on vacation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Bills</th>
<th>Amount Billed</th>
<th>Contractual Reductions</th>
<th>Collectable Amount</th>
<th>Amount Collected</th>
<th>Collection % of Gross</th>
<th>Collection % of Net</th>
<th>Write-offs</th>
<th>Remaining Accounts Rec</th>
<th>Percent Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2000-01</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>618,566</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>530,113</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2001-02</td>
<td>10,743</td>
<td>5,281,991</td>
<td>1,084,365</td>
<td>4,197,626</td>
<td>2,791,625</td>
<td>52.85%</td>
<td>66.50%</td>
<td>28,870</td>
<td>1,377,131</td>
<td>26.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2002-03</td>
<td>9,858</td>
<td>5,176,834</td>
<td>97,560</td>
<td>4,212,274</td>
<td>3,410,835</td>
<td>85.80%</td>
<td>80.87%</td>
<td>901,439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2003-04</td>
<td>10,161</td>
<td>5,176,834</td>
<td>97,560</td>
<td>4,212,274</td>
<td>3,410,835</td>
<td>85.80%</td>
<td>80.87%</td>
<td>901,439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2004-05</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>618,566</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>530,113</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Emergency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Bills</th>
<th>Amount Billed</th>
<th>Contractual Reductions</th>
<th>Collectable Amount</th>
<th>Amount Collected</th>
<th>Collection % of Gross</th>
<th>Collection % of Net</th>
<th>Write-offs</th>
<th>Remaining Accounts Rec</th>
<th>Percent Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2000-01</td>
<td>1,633</td>
<td>750,531</td>
<td>279,174</td>
<td>471,357</td>
<td>383,802</td>
<td>23.14%</td>
<td>65.67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2001-02</td>
<td>2,189</td>
<td>1,065,522</td>
<td>462,525</td>
<td>662,997</td>
<td>565,995</td>
<td>53.12%</td>
<td>80.97%</td>
<td>32,959</td>
<td>1,404,859</td>
<td>25.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2002-03</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>818,566</td>
<td>246,161</td>
<td>569,385</td>
<td>488,522</td>
<td>60.02%</td>
<td>85.97%</td>
<td>4,297</td>
<td>1,377,131</td>
<td>26.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Bills</th>
<th>Amount Billed</th>
<th>Contractual Reductions</th>
<th>Collectable Amount</th>
<th>Amount Collected</th>
<th>Collection % of Gross</th>
<th>Collection % of Net</th>
<th>Write-offs</th>
<th>Remaining Accounts Rec</th>
<th>Percent Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2000-01</td>
<td>8,203</td>
<td>4,225,761</td>
<td>869,287</td>
<td>3,356,474</td>
<td>3,356,474</td>
<td>83.68%</td>
<td>81.57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2001-02</td>
<td>12,047</td>
<td>6,245,356</td>
<td>1,370,085</td>
<td>4,875,271</td>
<td>3,976,830</td>
<td>83.68%</td>
<td>81.57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of Lincoln Note: Activity is through October 31, 2004

EMSS Call Volume Data FY 2000-05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Bills</th>
<th>Amount Billed</th>
<th>Contractual Reductions</th>
<th>Collectable Amount</th>
<th>Amount Collected</th>
<th>Collection % of Gross</th>
<th>Collection % of Net</th>
<th>Write-offs</th>
<th>Remaining Accounts Rec</th>
<th>Percent Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2000-01</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>3,475,230</td>
<td>590,113</td>
<td>2,885,117</td>
<td>2,377,351</td>
<td>87.27%</td>
<td>81.03%</td>
<td>547,388</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2001-02</td>
<td>9,858</td>
<td>5,176,834</td>
<td>97,560</td>
<td>4,212,274</td>
<td>3,410,835</td>
<td>85.80%</td>
<td>80.87%</td>
<td>901,439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2002-03</td>
<td>10,016</td>
<td>5,176,834</td>
<td>97,560</td>
<td>4,212,274</td>
<td>3,410,835</td>
<td>85.80%</td>
<td>80.87%</td>
<td>901,439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2003-04</td>
<td>10,161</td>
<td>5,176,834</td>
<td>97,560</td>
<td>4,212,274</td>
<td>3,410,835</td>
<td>85.80%</td>
<td>80.87%</td>
<td>901,439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2004-05</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>618,566</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>530,113</td>
<td>86,482</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The amount collected for the first twenty months (1-1-2001 to 8-31-2002) does not reflect a reduction of the $100,000 refunded to Medicare as result of the compliance audit. If that amount were included, the net collections will approximate 93.5% for the first twenty months.
# City of Lincoln

## EMS Cash Receipts/Expenditure Data 10/31/04

**FY 2004-05**

### Emergency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Month Receipts</th>
<th>Total Month Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Receipts - Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,333,698</td>
<td>11,862,645</td>
<td>(528,947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>257,880</td>
<td>334,429</td>
<td>(76,549)</td>
<td>11,591,578</td>
<td>12,197,074</td>
<td>(605,496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>340,384</td>
<td>227,802</td>
<td>112,582</td>
<td>11,931,962</td>
<td>12,424,876</td>
<td>(492,914)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Emergency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Month Receipts</th>
<th>Total Month Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Receipts - Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,490,522</td>
<td>1,991,639</td>
<td>(501,117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2,366</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>1,492,888</td>
<td>1,992,047</td>
<td>(499,159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1,493,947</td>
<td>1,992,231</td>
<td>(498,284)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Month Receipts</th>
<th>Total Month Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Receipts - Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,824,220</td>
<td>13,854,284</td>
<td>(1,030,064)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>260,246</td>
<td>334,837</td>
<td>(74,591)</td>
<td>13,084,466</td>
<td>14,189,121</td>
<td>(1,104,655)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>341,443</td>
<td>227,986</td>
<td>113,457</td>
<td>13,425,909</td>
<td>14,417,107</td>
<td>(991,198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Finance Department General Ledger

**NOTE:** Amount Pending in JDE: $0

**NOTE:** Amount Received in Lock Box not posted: $0
RESOLUTION NO. A-_______

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the attached list of investments be confirmed and approved, and the City Treasurer is hereby directed to hold said investments until maturity unless otherwise directed by the City Council.

INTRODUCED BY:

__________________________________________

Approved:

[Signature]

Don Herz, Finance Director

Approved this ___ day of ____________, 2004

__________________________________________

Mayor
We cashed a $733,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank out of the Short Term Pool on October 18, 2004. We then invested $240,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$200,000</th>
<th>Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

October 19, 2004, we used new funds to invest $1,202,000 as follows in the Short Term Pool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$902,000</th>
<th>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We cashed a $640,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank out of the Short Term Pool on October 20, 2004. We then invested $260,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$250,000</th>
<th>Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A $3,000,000 CD matured October 21, 2004 and we immediately cashed along with a $2,900,000 Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank out of the Short Term Pool. We then reinvested $3,361,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$3,000,000</th>
<th>FNMA, discounted 99.8679%, costing $2,996,037.75, yielding 1.792718%, maturing November 17, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$361,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

October 22, 2004 we used new funds to invest $8,191,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$2,450,000</th>
<th>Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$741,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>FHLB, discounted 99.916%, costing $2,497,900, yielding 1.709436%, maturing November 9, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>Fannie Mae, discounted 99.9102778%, costing $2,497,756.94, yielding 1.729885%, maturing November 10, 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

October 25, 2004, we cashed a $2,956,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank out of the Short Term Pool. We then reinvested $255,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

October 26, 2004, we used new funds to invest in a $1,000,000 Fannie Mae, discounted 99.75%, costing $997,500,000, yielding 5.042832%, maturing October 26, 2011, for the Police and Fire Pension Fund.

We cashed a $347,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank in the Short Term Pool October 27, 2004. We then invested in a $200,000 Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank.

October 28, 2004, we cashed a $300,000 Repurchase Agreement at Wells Fargo Bank out of the Short Term Pool. We then invested in a $92,600 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank.

A $2,000,000 investment was called in the Medium Term Pool October 29, 2004. We then reinvested in a $2,000,000 Freddie Mac, discounted 99.87777778%, costing $1,997,555.56, yielding 1.791523%, maturing November 23, 2004.

We respectfully request approval of our actions.

Don Herz, Finance Director

Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
TO: Mayor Coleen Seng
Lincoln City Council
Human Services Federation
Site Supervisors:
  Lincoln Action Program
  People’s City Mission
  Good Neighbor Center

FROM: Kit Boesch, Human Services Administrator

DATE: November 2, 2004

RE: Low Income Bus Tickets – Pilot Project Update

Please find enclosed the results of the first month of a six month pilot project. At $5/person this project served 659 individuals and brought in $3,295 in October. I would make several observations:

1. A key issue is whether these are new bus riders or if these are regular riders now getting a more reduced fare. To answer these questions we are taking an informal survey of those purchasing tickets at each site for the month of November. We are asking, “Before this program were you a regular bus rider?”

2. This office has contracted Volunteer Partners, Inc., to work closely with the partner agency sites regarding technology (entering names into the computer database), education of the program, and any problems the site may encounter. This has worked well to bridge communication.

3. Some sites sell more than others. Please know this is not a contest. Sites were selected to cover a very wide geographic area in Lincoln, and we anticipated a broad range in ticket sales because of this.

4. All nine sites have been great to work with. They are providing staff time and space to pilot this project and are truly committed to making this a positive experience.

We will send you the results at the end of each month for your view. Thank you.

KB/vdg

cc: Volunteer Partners, Inc.
Total Tickets Sold = 659

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Number of Tickets Sold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lincoln Action Program</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>People’s City Mission</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good Neighbor Center</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community Mental Health Center</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carol Yoakum Family Resource Center</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Southeast Community College</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Downtown Senior Center</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>StarTran</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Northeast YMCA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Men 304
Women 355

Youth 152
Adults 463
Seniors 44

(284 tickets sold for November as of November 1, 2004)
Lincoln City Libraries Ranks 7th in National Index

In the recently released Hennen’s American Public Library Ratings, Lincoln City Libraries received a score of 749. Lincoln City Libraries’ composite score ranked it 7th among the 94 ranked libraries in its population category nationally.

Hennen's American Public Library Ratings (HAPLR) attempts to identify the public libraries in America with the highest input and output measures. Thomas J. Hennen states statistics alone cannot define library excellence, but the ratings numbers are important. According to Hennen his ranking fills a need for library and information service accountability in much the same way that listings of top-500 corporations do in business.

Hennen’s index is based on the 2003 data from the Federal-State Cooperative System (FSCS). The HAPLR scores are based on six input and nine output measures including data such as cost per circulation, visits per capita and funding per capita. Only libraries serving comparably sized populations are compared with one another.

###

Note: Further information on the HAPLR rankings is available at www.haplr-index.com
October 29, 2004

RE: Miscellaneous No. 04016
(Proposed Policy on "Temporary Pump Stations and Force Mains")

Please be advised that the Director of Planning has proposed Miscellaneous No. 04016, a proposed policy on "Temporary Pump Stations and Force Mains", to address circumstances in which the City may allow sanitary sewage to be handled on a temporary basis using private pump stations and force mains. A copy of the proposed policy is attached hereto.

The public hearing on this application will be held before the Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Commission on Wednesday, November 10, 2004. The public hearing is your opportunity to appear and speak upon the merits of this application. The Planning Commission meeting commences at 1:00 p.m. in the City Council Hearing Room on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

If you would like additional information, you are encouraged to contact Stephen Henrichsen in the Planning Department at 402-441-6374. You may also wish to appear at the public hearing or submit your comments prior to the public hearing in writing to the Planning Commission at the Planning Department, by e-mail to plan@lincoln.ne.gov, or by fax to 402-441-6377. The Planning Department staff report and recommendation will be available in the Planning Department office on Thursday, November 4, 2004, after 3:00 p.m. The "Planning Commission Agenda", including the staff report on this application, will also be available on Internet at that time, (http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm).

This notice is being provided as a courtesy to the Planning Department neighborhood and homeowner association contact list and development community contact list.

Sincerely,

Jean Walker
Administrative Officer

cc: Carol Brown, Chair, Mayor's Neighborhood Roundtable
    Neighborhood and Homeowner Association Contact Mailing List
    Development Community Mailing List
    Mayor Coleen Seng
    City Council
    Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities
    Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities
    Nicole Fleck-Tooze, Public Works & Utilities
Proposed Policy on
Temporary Pump Stations & Force Mains

DRAFT October 1, 2004

For decades the community has been well served by its policy to use a gravity based sanitary sewer system. This policy has led to more efficient and cost effective utility service for the citizens and rate payers. Alternatives, such as relying on pump stations, are more expensive to maintain and operate in the long run.

More importantly, the gravity system is a fundamental tool of the City’s infrastructure and community planning that has allowed Lincoln to grow in a more contiguous and predictable manner in order to meet the community’s goals.

The 2025 Lincoln/ Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan states:

“The City’s collection system, in general, will continue to be a gravity fed system that is designed to accommodate urbanization of drainage basins and sub-basins. This system encourages orderly growth within the natural drainage basin boundaries. This policy encourages urban growth from the lower portion of the drainage basin and prohibits pumping of wastewater across basin boundaries. Explore alternative methods, such as lift stations, where practical.”

The adopted City of Lincoln sanitary sewer design standards state:

“The various elements of the sanitary sewer system in the City of Lincoln are designed to handle only that wastewater contribution which originates within the natural surface watershed where in the sanitary sewer system is located. The transfer of wastewater from one watershed to another by any means, such as lift station or construction of a sanitary sewer which cuts through the ridge separating the watersheds, shall not be permitted.”

In light of developer requests to waive this standard and permit temporary pump stations and force mains, it is recommended that such requests be considered based on the criteria on the following pages.
1. **Temporary Basis:** Pump stations are more expensive to maintain and operate than gravity systems and will only be allowed on a temporary basis. Gravity flow sanitary sewer lines are still the best and most cost efficient long term method to provide service. Temporary shall mean a period up to six years, at which point the new gravity line is built allowing the facility to be discontinued. As soon as the gravity line is available, the pump station shall be discontinued and removed.

2. **Priority A Areas Only:** Pump stations and force mains shall only be allowed in Tier I - Priority A areas; provided that the gravity trunk line to the service area is in the 6 Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) with funding clearly identified.

3. **Limited Use:** The City of Lincoln’s gravity sewer system policy has served the community well for decades. It is the most efficient and cost effective system for the citizens and rate payers of Lincoln. Pump stations and force mains shall only be used in unusual circumstances for a substantial public benefit. It is anticipated that temporary pump stations may only be used one or two times in the entire Lincoln area. In the vast majority of situations, gravity sewer should be used, even if the trunk line construction is several years away. Pump stations and force mains are to be temporary due to a delay in the construction of the gravity line.

4. **Impact on Other Services:** Use of a pump station to advance development may also impact the provision of other public services. Thus, the developer must address the following information (based on principles for serving Priority B areas before Priority A areas, page F 30 of the Comprehensive Plan):
   
   a. “Demonstrate how the necessary infrastructure improvements to serve the sub-basin would be provided and financed. The City shall contact other public agencies to obtain their report on the infrastructure necessary to serve the sub-basin including utilities, roads, fire service, public safety, parks, trails, schools and library needs.

   b. The impact that development in the sub-basin will have on capital and operating budgets, level of service, service delivery and Capital Improvement Programs is addressed.

   c. There is demonstrated substantial public benefit and circumstances that warrant approval of the proposal in advance of the anticipated schedule.”

5. **Crossing Creeks:** Permanent lift stations to transfer sewage from one side of a creek to the other, as part of gravity system have always been permitted. There are circumstances where a lift station to cross a creek is the best solution instead of siphons. This has been a policy and practice of the city for decades.

6. **Receiving Sewer Line Capacity:** The receiving trunk and/or smaller line must have capacity based on current and projected flows to receive the extra flow during the temporary basis. The projected capacity should assume a full buildout of any land that is already planned to be served in the Comprehensive Plan. The projected capacity should be based on a reasonable buildout of any undeveloped land.

7. **Basins with Sewer Line Capacity:** The following sanitary sewer trunk lines have capacity as of this date (assuming projected Tier I development)
Lines With Capacity
- West O
- Little Salt

Lines Without Capacity
- Havelock
- Dead Man’s Run
- East Campus
- Antelope Creek
- Beal Slough
- Salt Creek (south)
- Middle Creek
- Oak Creek
- Lynn Creek

“Lines Without Capacity” is based on existing and approved developments underway and could not be pumped into under any circumstances. In some situations, once major improvements to a few of these lines are made, then there may be some capacity.

The new Stevens Creek trunk line, when constructed, would have capacity. The new Salt Valley relief trunk line is designed for a specific service area and will be considered at capacity.

8. **Use of Storage Tanks:** The use of storage tanks is prohibited. Developments have proposed building storage tanks in order to pump the waste out at night in areas where the existing pipes are at capacity. There are compelling technical and operational problems with storing effluent for period beyond a few hours. For example, there are odor problems and the waste when held for a long period can cause corrosion problems in pipe lines. A pump station is typically designed to pump all the waste out every 2 to 3 hours, which is the longest period the waste should be held.

9. **Service Area of Pump Station:** The pump station and force main should be sized to serve Tier I, Priority A land that is in the same sub-basin which naturally drains to the pump station. Pump stations to benefit and serve a single property are discouraged. Small pump stations are inefficient to operate. Pump stations should be designed to serve at least 500 to 1,000 acres. The area to be served by the pump station must be contiguous to the city limits. This policy is not intended to permit “leap frog” or growth that is not contiguous to the city. Any land to be served must be inside the city limits prior to service.

10. **Length of Force Main:** Even if fully funded by a developer, building long force mains rather than a gravity sewer lines is inefficient use of the future homeowner’s financial resources. The longer time it takes to transport the waste, the greater potential for problems with respect to corrosion and odor. The transit time must be based on estimated sulfide generating capacity (or offset by chemical addition). The developer must ensure reasonable velocity with at least 4 to 5 feet per second (fps) at least 1x/day. It will be important to make appropriate use of air relief valves, blowoffs, oxygen injection (if needed), in accordance with City design standards. To protect against peak flow impacts of major storm events, the pump station should be sunk in the ground and with an enlarged pipe coming in to the pump station to provide additional storage (Hydraulic Institute Standards 98). Standards will be needed for acceptable chemicals for use in pump station odor control.
11. **Notification of Other Affected Properties:** The City is responsible for contacting all other property owners that may reasonably be served by a pump station, early in the review process. This will allow other owners to have the same information and determine their interest in the potential pump station.

12. **Location of Pump Stations:** The developer is responsible for all costs involved in acquiring suitable land for the pump station and any costs for providing access drives to the facility. Pump stations shall not be in public right-of-way. The developer shall be solely responsible for all costs of any environmental analysis needed to locate the facility.

13. **Obtaining Right-of-Way and Easements:** The developer shall be solely responsible for all costs of obtaining right-of-way and easements without any reimbursement from the City or third parties. Force mains will be allowed to be located in arterial street right-of-way, if space is available. If the force main is to be abandoned after conversion to a gravity system, the developer must prove that there is adequate right-of-way for the unused force main and all other utilities typically found in the right-of-way.

14. **Construction:** The developer shall be solely responsible for all costs of constructing the pump station and force main. Construction plans shall be approved by the Director of Public Works and Utilities Department and be per city standards. Pump stations and force mains are considered temporary facilities and thus are not eligible for reimbursement under the Impact Fee Ordinance. Any construction will be solely at the cost of the developer without reimbursement from the City.

15. **Pump Station Design Specifications:** The City design specifications for temporary pump stations and force mains are under development and would be adopted by the Director of the Public Works & Utilities Department.

16. **Third Party Connections:** When another party other than the developer connects to the pump station that party shall reimburse the developer for their “fair share” of the cost of constructing and operating the pump station and force main. The method and formula for contribution is to be determined.

17. **Ownership and Operation:** The developer will own the pump station, land and easements, and the City will operate the pump station and force mains once inspections have been completed and the facilities are found acceptable. Pump stations in general are costly to maintain and operate and take staff dedicated to handle some time late night calls on failures and problems. Pump stations should be avoided and the City accepts operation responsibilities only to avoid problems of an inexperienced or inaccessible private operator would inadequately respond to complaints or emergency situations.
18. **Operating, Repair and Maintenance Costs:** There are substantial operation, repair and maintenance costs for a pump station and force main. The City may be required to hire additional staff to operate the facility. This requires specialized training and employees with this training are difficult to find to hire. The developer will be responsible for all costs for operating and maintaining the pump station and force main during the life of the facility. The costs of operating, maintenance, upgrading, permitting, administering, all are costs of the system that must be covered by the developer. This responsibility may not be passed to a Homeowner’s Association, though obviously the cost may be included in the lot price. The City does not want the additional cost of collecting from multiple property owners.

The developer will need to provide a bond for the operating costs over the full estimated life time of the facility at time of Annexation Agreement. The preliminary estimate for the operating, repair and maintenance costs is $25,000 per year. The bond will be estimated on a case by case basis depending upon the size and operation of the pump station and force main.

19. **Third Party Costs for Operation & Maintenance:** As third parties request connection, they will be required to pay all costs for operating and maintaining the pump station during the entire life of the facility.

20. **Closing of the Pump Station:** Once the station is decommissioned, the developer and any third parties will be billed all costs involved in decommissioning the station.

21. **Salvage Rights:** The developer shall fund all costs associated with properly abandoning the temporary pump station and force main, including any costs for restoring all property in or adjacent to the easements. The developer shall fund all costs associated with closing and removing the pump station. The developer shall have full salvage rights to the building, equipment and land for the pump station after it is closed. The future use of the land for the pump station shall be identified prior to approving the station.
Appendix

Background Information:

The Mayor’s Infrastructure Financing Committee (MIFC) was a group charged in 2002-2003 with making recommendations to Mayor Wesley on how we pay to maintain and build the City’s public infrastructure. One part of this effort was to examine ways to save on public infrastructure costs and increase the efficiency of infrastructure service delivery. The subcommittee on Cost Savings and Efficiency developed a recommendation on force mains based on a proposal by Kent Seacrest, attorney for a group of developers proposing a lift station and force main for development of land near 98th and O Streets.

The MIFC report included the following:

“1. Force Mains as Temporary Facilities
The Work Group recommends the selective deployment of force mains and lift stations as a temporary means for opening an area for future development. Developers would have to share in the costs of such systems. These systems would be replaced at such time as gravity flow services become available.

2. Service Considerations
The use of force main and lift stations would need to take into consideration these issues:

(1) the collection main into which the effluent is being pumped must have available capacity for the projected life of the force main or lift station;

(2) a written agreement regarding the specific geographic area contributing effluent via the force main or lift station must be defined prior to the provision of services; and

(3) as force mains and lift stations are more expensive to maintain than a gravity flow system, a written agreement regarding the developers contribution to the maintenance of the main or station must be in place prior to the provision of services.”
October 29, 2004

RE: Change of Zone No. 04071 and Change of Zone No. 04072
(Proposed Text Amendment to Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code)

Please be advised that the Director of Planning has proposed the following:

1. CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 04071, to amend Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code, by deleting the sections dealing with lot coverage for churches: Sections 27.11.030(a)(4), 27.11.040(s), 27.13.030(a)(4), 27.13.040(s), 27.15.030(a)(4), 27.15.040(v), 27.16.030(a)(4), 27.16.040(v), 27.17.030(a)(4), 27.17.040(t), 27.19.030(a)(3), 27.19.040(r), 27.21.030(a)(3), 27.21.040(q), 27.23.030(a)(3), 27.23.040(p), 27.63.520 "Permitted Special Use: Churches; Increased Lot Coverage".

2. CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 04072, to amend Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code by amending Section 27.63.025 to allow City Council members to appeal a decision of the Planning Commission, and by amending Section 27.80.025 to update the fee structure for Planned Unit Developments. A copy of the proposed text is attached.

The public hearing on these applications will be held before the Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Commission on Wednesday, November 10, 2004. The public hearing is your opportunity to appear and speak upon the merits of these applications. The Planning Commission meeting commences at 1:00 p.m. in the City Council Hearing Room on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

If you would like additional information, you are encouraged to contact the project planner, Derek Miller regarding Change of Zone No. 04071, at 441-6372, or Becky Horner regarding Change of Zone No. 04072, at 441-6373. You may also wish to appear at the public hearing or submit your comments prior to the public hearing in writing to the Planning Commission at the address below, by email to plan@ci.lincoln.ne.us, or by fax to 402-441-6377. The Planning Department staff report and recommendation will be available in the Planning Department office on Thursday, November 4, 2004, after 3:00 p.m. The "Planning Commission Agenda", including the staff report on these applications, will also be available on Internet at that time (http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm).

This notice is being provided as a courtesy to the Planning Department neighborhood and homeowner association contact list and development community contact list.

Sincerely,

Jean Walker
Administrative Officer

cc: Carol Brown, Chair, Mayor's Neighborhood Roundtable
    Neighborhood and Homeowner Association Contact Mailing List
    Development Community Mailing List
    City Council
27.63.025 Appeal of Planning Commission Action.
(a) Any aggrieved person or council member or any person or group officially designated to participate in the administration of this title may appeal any action of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing notice of appeal with the City Clerk within fourteen days following the action of the Planning Commission.
(b) Upon receipt of the appeal by the City Council, the council shall hold a public hearing thereon within thirty days from the date of appeal. Notice of the public hearing shall be given as provided in Chapter 27.81.
(c) The City Council may, after public hearing, in conformity with the provisions of this title reverse or affirm, wholly or partially, or may modify the action of the Planning Commission appealed from. (Ord. 15239 §7; August 7, 1989).

27.80.025 Planned Unit Development.
An application fee of $740.00 shall be charged at the time of filing an application for a Planned Unit Development.
The following filing fees shall be charged at the time of filing an application for a planned unit development:
(a) For the filing of a preliminary plan, $500.00:
(b) For the filing of a final plan, $500.00:
(Ord. 18229 §7; August 18, 2003: prior Ord. 17548 § 2; September 7, 1999: Ord. 15214 §2; July 10, 1989).
Dear Mr. Meredith:

Thank you for submitting your comments on Change of Zone No. 04068 and Special Permit No. 04057, which have now become part of the record. A copy will also be submitted to each Planning Commission member for their consideration prior to the continued public hearing, which is scheduled for Wednesday, November 10, 2004, beginning at 1:00 p.m.

The on-site field demonstration is scheduled for Wednesday, November 3, 2004, at 2:30 p.m., at 201 West South Street, with an alternate date in case of heavy rain on Tuesday, November 9th, at 1:00 p.m.

--Jean Walker, Administrative Officer
City-County Planning Department
441-6365
Wilderness Park is a refuge for many reclusive animals, and a place of peace and solace for many people. The whine of motorcycle engines threatens to ruin both of these.

The site itself includes a floodplain, woodlands, and wetlands. It would be a wonderful addition to Wilderness Park, but a poor site for a motorcycle racetrack.

I urge you to oppose the move to develop the motorcycle racetrack at the proposed site. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jackson Meredith
Lincoln, NE

Do you Yahoo!? Check out the new Yahoo! Front Page. www.yahoo.com
November 1, 2004

Dan Thomson  
Olsson Associates  
1111 Lincoln Mall  
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: Landmark Corporate Center 1st Addition Final Plat #04081  
Generally located at N. 33rd Street and Eastpark Circle

Dear Mr. Thomson,

Landmark Corporate Center 1st Addition generally located at N. 33rd Street and Eastpark Circle was approved by the Planning Director on November 1, 2004. The plat and the subdivision agreement must be recorded in the Register of Deeds. The fee is determined at $0.50 per existing lot and per new lot and $20.00 per plat sheet for the plat, and $0.50 per new lot and $5.00 per page for associated documents such as the subdivision agreement. If you have a question about the fees, please contact the Register of Deeds. Please make check payable to the Lancaster County Register of Deeds. The Register of Deeds requests a list of all new lots and blocks created by the plat be attached to the subdivision agreement so the agreement can be recorded on each new lot.

Pursuant to § 26.11.060(d) of the Lincoln Municipal Code, this approval may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any decision of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing a letter of appeal within 14 days of the action being appealed. The plat will be recorded with the Register of Deeds after the appeal period has lapsed (date + 14 days), and the recording fee and signed subdivision agreement have been received.

Sincerely,

Becky Horner  
Planner

CC: Donald Linscott, N. 33rd LLC  
City Council  
Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities  
Terry Kathe, Building & Safety  
Sharon Theobald, Lincoln Electric  
Jean Walker, Planning  
File
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PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : October 29, 2004

RE : Special Permit No. 04053
(Dwelling for Domestic Employee - 1701 S. 98th Street)
Resolution No. PC-00891

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, October 27, 2004:

Motion made by Taylor, seconded by Larson, to approve Special Permit No. 04053, with conditions, requested by David Guittar, for authority to construct a second dwelling unit for a domestic employee, on property located at 1701 S. 98th Street. Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 5-0 (Carlson, Taylor, Larson, Carroll and Bills-Strand voting 'yes'; Pearson declaring a conflict of interest; Marvin, Sunderman and Krieser absent).

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
Rick Peo, City Attorney
Public Works
David Guittar, 1701 S. 98th Street, 68520
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RESOLUTION NO. PC-00891

SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 04053

WHEREAS, David Guittar has submitted an application designated as Special Permit No. 04053 for authority to construct a second dwelling unit for a domestic employee on property located at 1701 S. 98th Street, and legally described as:

Lot 1, Guittar Subdivision, located in the Northeast Quarter of Section 35, Township 10 North, Range 7 East of the 6th P.M., Lancaster County, Nebraska;

WHEREAS, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission has held a public hearing on said application; and

WHEREAS, the community as a whole, the surrounding neighborhood, and the real property adjacent to the area included within the site plan for this second dwelling unit will not be adversely affected by granting such a permit; and

WHEREAS, said site plan together with the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth are consistent with the comprehensive plan of the City of Lincoln and with the intent and purpose of Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster
County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the application of David Guittar, hereinafter referred to as
"Permittee", to construct a dwelling unit for a domestic employee in an accessory
building be and the same is hereby granted under the provisions of Section 27.63.640
the Lincoln Municipal Code upon condition that construction of said accessory building
be in strict compliance with said application, the site plan, and the following additional
express terms, conditions, and requirements:

1. This permit approves a dwelling unit for a domestic employee in an
accessory building on the premise.

2. Before occupying the accessory building all development and
construction must conform to the approved plans.

3. Before occupying this accessory dwelling unit all development and
construction must conform to the approved plans.

4. The site plan, approved by this permit, shall be the basis for all
interpretations of setbacks, yards, locations of buildings, location of parking and
circulation elements, and similar matters.

5. The terms, conditions, and requirements of this resolution shall be
binding and obligatory upon the Permittee and the Permittee's successors and assigns.
The building official shall report violations to the City Council which may revoke the
special permit or take such other action as may be necessary to gain compliance.

6. The Permittee shall sign and return the City's letter of acceptance
to the City Clerk within 30 days following approval of the special permit, provided,
however, said 30-day period may be extended up to six months by administrative
amendment. The City Clerk shall file a copy of the resolution approving the special permit and the letter of acceptance with the Register of Deeds, filing fees therefor to be paid in advance by the Permittee.

The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission on this 27th day of October, 2004.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : October 29, 2004

RE : Waiver No. 04014
     (4239 Calvert Street)
     Resolution No. PC-00892

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, October 29, 2004:

Motion made by Taylor, seconded by Larson, to approve Waiver No. 04014, requested by Charles D. Humble on behalf of Marshall and Jennie Borchert, to waive the requirement that every lot shall front upon and have access to a public street in the Calvert Place 1st Addition final plat, on property located at 4239 Calvert Street. Motion for approval carried 5-0: Carlson, Taylor, Larson, Carroll and Bills-Strand voting 'yes'; Pearson, Marvin, Sunderman and Krieser absent.

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
    Rick Peo, City Attorney
    Public Works
    Charles D. Humble, Attorney at Law, 301 S. 13th Street, #400, 68508
    Marshall and Jennie Borchert, 4239 Calvert St., 68506
    Mike Dennis, South 48th Street Neighborhood, 1845 S. 48th Street, 68506
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RESOLUTION NO. PC-00892

WHEREAS, the final plat of Calvert Place 1st Addition has been submitted for acceptance and approval by the Planning Director, on property generally located at 4239 Calvert Street; and

WHEREAS, Lincoln Municipal Code § 26.23.140 requires lots to have access to a public street; and

WHEREAS, applicant has requested a modification to waive said requirement pursuant to § 26.31.010 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to relocate the common lot line between Lots D and E without frontage to a public street; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director has recommended approval of the requested modification to § 26.23.140 of the Lincoln Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds that the strict application of all requirements would result in actual difficulties or substantial hardship or injustice to the property owner.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the provisions of Section 26.23.140 of the Lincoln Municipal Code which provide that residential lots have access to a public street is hereby waived for Lots D and E which have access through an access easement.

All other Planning Director conditions for approval of the final plat of Calvert Place 1st Addition shall remain in full force and effect.
The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission on this 27 day of October, 2004.

ATTEST:

Mary Biles Strand
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
Memorandum

To:       Terry Werner, City Council Members
CC:       Mayor Seng
From:     Chief Tom Casady
Date:     November 3, 2004
Re:       Werner RFI 139

RFI #139
Terry Werner

To:  Police Chief Casady:

Mr. Werner received a telephone call from Robyn Robinson on Monday, Nov. 1st regarding 'The Office Gentlemens Club' that today around 3:00 p.m., she observed drinking & driving. She called the Sheriff's Office and they said they would check out.

Please respond to the following question:

Is 'The Gentlemens Club' on Folsom Street being monitored for under age drinking, public touching, etc.? Please respond to Robyn Robinson with copy to me. Thanks.

Response: Yes. We make regular checks at this and other licensed liquor establishments. We also monitor the business for compliance with the City ordinances regarding sexual contact and public nudity. During one such compliance check on August 12 of this year, an undercover officer observed a violation and arrested one person for illegal sexual contact. A violation report was also forwarded to the State Liquor Control Commission for their action. We will continue to be observant. Capt. Bob Wilhelm will personally contact Ms. Robinson.
Dear Freytag: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Fred Freytag <fred@witherbeena.org>

October 30, 2004

Dear City Council Members:

On behalf of members of the Witherbee Neighborhood Association (33rd to 56th, O to Randolph), I am writing to request that you support the proposed Neighborhood Design Standards (Misc #04010) that will come before you on Monday, November 1st. The WNA voted in September to support the proposal.

We believe these changes would create residences that complement the original architectural design elements of the existing character in a neighborhood. These amendments are important to preserving the character of the older neighborhoods of Lincoln and promoting compatibility in the design of new construction.

Witherbee Neighborhood Association goals include working for stability, including reasonable, well planned development, within the Association's borders. Homeowners and other residents within the Association's borders support the proposed changes as a tool to help protect the character of this and other residential areas.

We believe it is reasonable that developers should rehab or build structures to match or enhance the character of surrounding properties. To do otherwise contributes to a downward spiral of properties that are neither aesthetically pleasing or economically beneficial to the surrounding neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration and we would appreciate your vote of support.
Fred Freytag
President Witherbee Neighborhood Association

Visit us at http://www.WitherbeeNA.org
Joan V Ray  
11/02/2004 11:41 AM  
To: Remalone36@aol.com  
cc:  
Subject: Support of Neighborhood standards !!!!  

Dear Ms. Malone: Your message has been received in the Council Office (though after the meeting of November 1st) but will still be forwarded to the Council Members. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray  
City Council Office  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE - 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6866  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us  

----- Forwarded by Joan V Ray/Notes on 11/02/2004 11:39 AM -----  

Remalone36@aol.com  
11/01/2004 04:55 PM  
To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us  
cc:  
Subject: Support of Neighborhood standards !!!!  

Dear City Council Members,

I am writing to ask your vote in support of the Amendments to the Neighborhood Design Standards (Misc #04010) that will come before you on Monday, November 1st.

These amendments are important to preserving the character of the older neighborhoods of Lincoln. They add several important design elements to the planning of new construction projects and help protect the investments that property owners have made in their neighborhoods.

In addition, these amendments will help ensure design compatibility for any new construction of a principle building in an area. This will help prevent old houses from being torn down and replaced with unsightly buildings that do not blend in with their surroundings.

The Amendments to the Neighborhood Design Standards will help maintain Lincoln's older neighborhoods, helping to preserve property values and maintaining these areas as nice places to live, both for homeowners and renters. I would appreciate your vote of support.

Thank you,

Renee Malone  
Clinton Neighborhood - Board member
Dear Mr. Hampton: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"Bob Hampton" <bhampton@hamptonlots.com>

Dear council members:

A perfect storm of disaster is coming to Lincoln soon.

I am unable to afford to buy land in Lincoln to develop for housing. I am being forced to the small towns.

Land prices have gone from $35,000 to $63,000 per acre in the last year.

The people buying this land will loose money on a development.

Maybe go broke. The demand for acreage lots in the County will get stronger. You might as well have a 3 acre lot vs. a 55’ X 100’ city lot for a little more money.

Lincoln must do a sewer bond just like you wisely approved the water bond. If you do not get more trunk sewers installed in the next couple of years Lincoln will be left behind. I am already seeing developers and builders going to the small towns. Some are building in Omaha.

With out trunk sewers and or some lift stations the price of land will not come down and no more new lots will come to the market.

Lincoln will really be behind if building permits decline by half or more. More people will live outside of town but work in Lincoln and use our services.

Don’t do a one developer lift station gift at 98th and O St. Do it right and build the Stevens Creek trunk line. Don’t waste money on this temporary one developer deal. I have land to lift also. If you let them you should let me.

Get the half a dozen trunk lines built soon. It would cost much less than the City water system capacity bond done 15 years ago.

I recently visited with some California developers about there land costs. They pay $45 - $50,000 an acre for land now.

The big difference is they sell there lots for $100 – $150,000 per lot. The lot prices in Lincoln will have to
go up a lot.

The market will not pay what a developer needs to have to make a profit. Lot prices 1-2 years ago were $40-45,000 are now going for $65-85,000 These prices don’t not help housing demand. They don’t make Lincoln attractive to new residents or company’s with needed jobs.

Some one needs to take the lead and get some sewers built!!

Bob Hampton
Dear Mr. Meredith:  Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Jackson Meredith <onemarxistnebraskan@yahoo.com>

To Whom it Concerns,

I am writing today in regards to a proposed racetrack for motorcycles that may be allowed near Wilderness Park.

I oppose this because the noise, etc. from the track would disturb the four surrounding park sites and bike trail, and would also likely disturb athletic events at Sherman Field.

City codes currently require such a racetrack to be built a minimum of one mile away from any park, and there are four parks adjacent the proposed site for the racetrack. I believe the current distance requirements are adequate, and certainly should not be reduced.

City codes are also clear that this racetrack should require at least 35 acres, though the proposed site only offers 25.5 acres.

Wilderness Park is a refuge for many reclusive animals, and a place of peace and solace for many people. The whine of motorcycle engines threatens to ruin both of these.

The site itself includes a floodplain, woodlands, and wetlands. It would be a wonderful addition to Wilderness Park, but a poor site for a motorcycle racetrack.

I urge you to oppose the move to develop the motorcycle racetrack at the proposed site. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Jackson Meredith
Lincoln, NE

Do you Yahoo!?
Check out the new Yahoo! Front Page. www.yahoo.com
November 2, 2004

Joan E. Ross
City Clerk
City-County Building
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Joan:

Please find enclosed a request for resolution, fact sheet, and draft resolution for the City Council's consideration of the Lincoln Electric System (LES) Operating and Capital Improvements Budget for calendar year 2005. The 2005 LES Budget was approved by the LES Administrative Board on October 15, 2004.

We have proposed the following schedule for your consideration of the 2005 LES Budget:

  Monday, November 15  -  Introduction of LES budget resolution
  Monday, November 15  -  City Council Pre-Session Review
  Monday, November 29  -  Public Hearing on LES budget resolution at City Council meeting
  Monday, December 13  -  City Council action on the LES budget resolution

If you have any questions prior to our Pre-Council Session, please e-mail me at ssahling@les.com or call me at 473-3204. The remaining materials will be forthcoming.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Shelley Sahling-Zart
Vice President & Assistant Counsel

SRS:al

Enclosures

c: Joan Ray
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Deborah Myers
Address: 3145 Touzalin Avenue
City: Lincoln, NE 68507
Phone: 402-465-5449
Fax: Email: brother77x7@alltel.net

Comment or Question:
I've just returned from voting and I am extremely upset with the way the
smoking issue was handled. No matter how I voted there was going to be some
sort of smoking ban. One total, one unenforceable partial ban. What is up
with you people? Didn't the lawyers suggest that there be a choice, total or
no ban? Do you ever listen to anyone?
I don't smoke but I have a big problem with the government babysitting me and
trying to take care of me. Let me have a choice for God's sake!
I will be voting the next time around and believe me I will be campaigning to
get most of you out of office. I am sick and tired of the arrogance that I
see. Especially Mr. Svoboda. I think the power trip has really affected the
thinking in the City Council.
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Ben McElroy
Address: 4000 N.W. 44th
City: Lincoln, NE, 68524

Phone: 470-3311-ext. 144
Fax: 470-2768
Email: ben_mcelroy@tstna.com

Comment or Question:
With the new Smoking Ban going into affect, we will be taking steps to Ban smoking in our Factory. My question is can we build any type of smoking shelter for the employees that smoke? What kind of guidelines will be allowed for setting up a smoking shelter outdoors?
Dear Joan Ray,

I am a property owner at 1230 SW. 17th St. I was wondering what would it take to pave SW. 17th St.? It would be so much nicer in the winter and less dusty in the summer. If you would consider this I would be most grateful!!

Sincerely,
Tammy Ready

I spoke with a nice gentlemen whose name is Elmer, he helped me to ask for a petition.
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Monte Pierce
Address: PO Box 516
City: Tabor, IA 51653

Phone: 712-374-4524
Fax: 712-374-4524
Email: mpierce@iowatelecom.net

Comment or Question:
I am sorely disappointed to see that Lincoln, NE has joined the infamous rank of people such as the Nazis. Imposing a no smoking ban on the entire city is a major travesty to freedoms. This, especially when considering that you are imposing the will upon private business owners and usurping their ability to run their businesses as they see fit. Congratulations on becoming one of the most repressive cities in the midwest. It is apparent that you people are no different from the majority of other Nebraskans. Simply cattle, who are herded whichever way the powers that be, choose to herd you. Do I see you banning automobiles in Lincoln? After all, 58% of all known carcinogens can be found in vehicle exhausts. But no, that would be TOO much of an inconvenience wouldn't it? It is much easier to segregate one group of Americans and repress their rights. Same old Nazi vs. Jew scenario, except the name of the participants has changed. You people should be utterly ashamed of yourselves. You call yourselves Americans-the "land of the free," yet you impose authoritarian and totalitarian ordinances upon the people. If ever I had a need to travel to Lincoln, NE, I will NOT be doing so due to your socially repressive travesty. I thank God I live in Iowa, where I still have the RIGHT to CHOOSE what I want to do. It would serve freedom well, to replace each and every one of you who voted in favor of this repressive and unconstitutional ordinance. What's next? You going to pass an ordinance that mandates wearing black knee-high boots and wearing a swastika on people's arm? Totally disgusted this has happened in a country of supposedly "free" people.
November 2, 2004

Mr. Marvin Krout, Director
Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Department
555 South 10th Street, Room 213
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: Change of Zone # 04069

Dear Director Krout:

The Lincoln Chamber of Commerce is opposed to adding more layers of unnecessary local regulations of signage along the Interstate, or for that matter, anywhere, as proposed in the above referenced proposal.

As you know, the Lincoln Chamber of Commerce is responsible for administering the Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB) and the Lincoln Partnership for Economic Development (LPED). Both of these entities are directly involved in promoting economic activity that adds revenue to our community. LPED is concerned primarily with expanding existing businesses and attracting new jobs. The CVB is also engaged in one of the purest forms of economic development by attracting visitors and tourists to spend time and money in Lincoln enjoying our private and public amenities. Strategic plans commissioned by both (the Angelou Report and the Randall Travel Study) specify the need to ensure that appropriate gateway signage is in place and admonishes us for not having it there already.

I understand that even if the proposed local restrictions are not adopted we still would need to abide by state regulation. It is my further understanding that the State of Nebraska currently owns and controls the advertising easements from “L” Street in Omaha, west to Wyoming. So, it seems this is perhaps a bit of an overreaction to the “recent circumstances in other jurisdictions” noted in the Staff Report.

Finally, I would simply add my disappointment with the recent “Just Say No” article the Planning Newsletter. This looks like planning staff using the newsletter to run something akin to a political campaign. The Chamber, LPED, and CVB stand ready and willing to work with you and members of the Planning Commission to work on attracting visitors and new jobs, which may require signage along the Interstate. I know for sure it requires the willingness to do more than “Just Say No”. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jim Frawley, CCE, CED
President

cc: Mayor Coleen Seng
Planning Commission, City Council
Dear Mr. Sullivan: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Smoking Ban won't hurt Lincoln business, eh? E-mails and conversations like these are going on all around the city (see thread below). Remember, one-third of voters cast votes against this law. How many of the supporters actually spend their voluntary time in these businesses in the first place (especially the bars)? I bet not too many. Those who actually go to bars/grills were most likely to vote against a ban, while the occasional restaurant goer was more likely to vote for it.

Just wanted to point out that claims it wouldn't hurt businesses is nothing but bunk.

Begin forwarded message:

> From: "Jennifer Bolen" <jbolen@neded.org>
> Date: November 4, 2004 12:30:12 PM CST
> To: Anissa Schroeder <aschroeder@ameritas.com>, Brice Sullivan
> <brice@newslinkinc.com>
> Cc: "Kevin A Gude (E-mail)" <Kevin.Gude@dhs.gov>, "Scott Vestecka (E-mail)"
> <svestecka@webtv.net>, "Mike Vodvarka (E-mail)"
> <mike.vodvarka@nreca.coop>, "Deb J Buhr (E-mail)" <Deb.Buhr@dhs.gov>,
> "Gregg B Gwartney (E-mail)" <Gregg.Gwartney@dhs.gov>, "Patak, Craig"
> <CPatc@allstate.com>, "Chad. Dailey (E-mail)"
> <Chad.Dailey@hhss.state.ne.us>, "Bill and Jen Osborn (E-mail)"
> <jbosborn1@yahoo.com>, "Jbolen (E-mail)" <jbolen@neded.org>, "Jennifer Bolen (E-mail)" <jbolen30@hotmail.com>
> Subject: Re: Lincoln Smoking band
> Reply-To: jbolen@neded.org
> I think there is a bar in Eagle too. I am working at the Princeton bar
> this Friday (tomorrow), anyone should feel free to come out and
> have some mexican food and some good cocktails and all the
> smoking you want! Sprague is supposed to be a happenin bar, and
> the bar on 14th and Saltillo Road, I think it is the Silver Spoke--good
> biker bar!
Copies to:    "Kevin A Gude (E-mail)" <Kevin.Gude@dhs.gov>,
    "Scott Vestecka (E-mail)" <svestecka@webtv.net>,
    "Mike Vodvarka (E-mail)" <mike.vodvarka@nreca.coop>,
    "Deb J Buhr (E-mail)" <Deb.Buhr@dhs.gov>,
    "Gregg B Gwartney (E-mail)" <Gregg.Gwartney@dhs.gov>,
    "Patak, Craig" <CPatc@allstate.com>,
    "Chad. Dailey (E-mail)" <Chad.Dailey@hhss.state.ne.us>,
    "Bill and Jen Osborn (E-mail)" <jbosborn1@yahoo.com>,
    "Jbolen (E-mail)" <jbolen@neded.org>,
    "Jennifer Bolen (E-mail)" <jbolen30@hotmail.com>
From:            Brice Sullivan <brice@newslinkinc.com>
Subject:         Re: Lincoln Smoking band
Date sent:       Thu, 4 Nov 2004 11:07:44 -0600
To:              Anissa Schroeder <aschroeder@ameritas.com>

Paper says starts Nov. 22 (just after my bday).  I hope some
"private clubs" spring - if that lets them get around the law, which
I'm not sure of because of the employee health thing.

Waverly is a shorter drive away - Keno parlor there.  They don't
have
a ban, do they? Always have Denton too.

I've heard that Private Clubs won't be able to have smoking either, but
have not seen the law for myself. What BS. Isn't Coach's out of city
limits? That's where we used have to go to get beer on Sundays when
you couldn't buy it in Lincoln- remember?... in the olden days.

On Nov 4, 2004, at 11:00 AM, Anissa Schroeder wrote:

Wahoo VFW with $1.50 drinks :D

"Patak, Craig" <CPatc@allstate.com>

11/04/2004 10:55 AM

To:
    "Anissa Schroeder (E-mail)"
    "Bill and Jen Osborn (E-mail)"
    "jbosborn1@yahoo.com," Brice Sullivan (E-mail)"
    "brice@newslinkinc.com," Chad. Dailey (E-mail)"
    "Chad.Dailey@hhss.state.ne.us," Deb J Buhr (E-mail)"
    "Deb.Buhr@dhs.gov," Gregg B Gwartney (E-mail)"
    "Gregg.Gwartney@dhs.gov," Jbolen (E-mail)" <jbolen@neded.org>,
    "Jennifer Bolen (E-mail)" <jbolen30@hotmail.com, "Kevin A Gude
    (E-mail)" <Kevin.Gude@dhs.gov>, "Mike Vodvarka (E-mail)"
    "mike.vodvarka@nreca.coop," Scott Vestecka (E-mail)"
    <svestecka@webtv.net, "Svestecka (E-mail)" <svestecka@webtv.net>

cc:    Subject: Lincoln Smoking band
I guess this morning at 12:01am. Anyone know of any good bars just outside of the city limits?

Craig Patak
Allstate Relationship Team
Lincoln Benefit Life
1-800-525-2799 ext. 87149
cpatc@allstate.com

****
This message may contain confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is legally privileged. If you are not the addressee, or the person responsible for delivering it to the addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and delete the original message immediately thereafter.

Thank you. ****

Jen Bolen, Development Consultant
Department of Economic Development
Community and Rural Development Division
PO Box 94666, Lincoln, NE  68509
Phone: 402-471-6280  Fax: 402-471-8405
ADDENDUM TO DIRECTORS' AGENDA MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2004

I. MAYOR

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Enforcement Of Smoking Ban To Begin January 1 - (See Release)

2. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng's Public Schedule Week of November 6 through November 12, 2004 - Schedule subject to change - (See Advisory)

3. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng and members of the Lincoln City Council will see a demonstration of the new combination vacuum/jet flusher truck at 10:15 a.m. on Monday, November 8th at the City's Street Maintenance Facility, 901 N. 6th Street - (See Advisory)

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE - NONE

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

1. Physician Advisory from Bruce Dart - RE: Influenza Vaccine Update - (See Advisory)

URBAN DEVELOPMENT

1. Memo & Material from Dallas McGee - RE: Lincoln Center Redevelopment Plan Revisions: Haymarket 7th & 8th Street Core Project -replacement pages (Council received their copies of this Material on 11/8/04) (Copy of this Material on file in the City Council Office)

C. MISCELLANEOUS

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 4, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831
Charlotte Burke, Health Department, 441-8011

ENFORCEMENT OF SMOKING BAN TO BEGIN JANUARY 1

Mayor Coleen J. Seng today said the City will begin enforcement of the voter-approved smoking ban on January 1, 2005.

In last Tuesday’s general election, 62 percent of Lincoln voters approved the city-wide smoking ban ordinance. The ordinance will go into effect when the election is certified by the Lancaster County Election Commissioner later this month. Normally, enactment and enforcement of a new ordinance begins 15 days after City Council approval. In the case of an election, the Mayor has latitude on when enforcement of the ordinance begins, according to the City Attorney.

"With a January 1st start date, we will begin the new year with this new ordinance as a smoke-free community," said Mayor Seng. "The January 1st start date will be easy for everyone to remember and also allows the places of employment and public places about one month after the election is certified to prepare before the enforcement of the smoking ban begins."

The Mayor said this will be a major change in Lincoln. The smoking ban ordinance applies to all indoor places of employment and public places. Employers, employees and residents who have questions about the smoking ban ordinance can contact the Lincoln Lancaster County Department’s Health Promotion and Outreach Division at 441-6225.

The smoking ban ordinance is available for viewing or printing on the home page of the City of Lincoln Web site at lincoln.ne.gov.

- 30 -
Date: November 5, 2004  
Contact: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

**Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule**  
**Week of November 6 through 12, 2004**  
*Schedule subject to change*

**Saturday, November 6**  
- Neighborhoods Inc. “Great Neighborhoods” Leadership Course, remarks - 11:30 a.m., “F” Street Recreation Center, 1225 “F” Street  
- Nebraska International Multicultural Exchange Conference, welcome - 12:45 p.m., UNL City Campus Union  
- 125th Anniversary of Mt. Zion Baptist Church, proclamation and remarks - 6 p.m., Embassy Suites, 1040 “F” Street

**Monday, November 8**  
- Public Works truck demonstration - 10:15 a.m., 901 North 6th Street  
- Mayor’s Award of Excellence presentation - 1:30 p.m., City Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street

**Tuesday, November 9**  
- News conference - 10:15 a.m., topic and location to be announced.  
- International visitors from Azerbaijan, Armenia and Georgia - 11 a.m., Mayor’s Conference Room, 555 South 10th Street

**Thursday, November 11**  
- Juliette Low Society Recognition Dinner, remarks - 6:30 p.m., Country Club of Lincoln, 3200 South 24th Street

**Friday, November 12**  
- International visitors from the Ukraine, Mayor’s Conference Room, 555 South 10th Street
DATE: November 5, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Dave Norris, Citizen Information Center, 441-7547

Mayor Seng and members of the Lincoln City Council will see a demonstration of the new combination vacuum/jet flusher truck at 10:15 a.m. Monday, November 8 at the City's Street Maintenance Facility, 901 N. 6th Street.

The new truck will combine three separate functions (vacuuming, street flushing and jet flushing) that were previously done by three separate trucks, reducing the need for extra equipment and operating crews.

Enter the Haymarket Park parking lot area off of Line Drive. The demonstration will occur at the Street Maintenance Facility located south of the main Haymarket Park parking lot.
November 5, 2004

To: All Physicians, Administrators and Laboratory Personnel

From: Bruce Dart, MS, Health Director

Subject: Physician Advisory

**INFLUENZA VACCINE UPDATE**

At this time, the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department has taken or plans to take the following steps related to Influenza vaccination of high risk individuals:

On October 28th, the Department began providing flu vaccine to children 6 months through 23 months of age. The Flu vaccine for this age group was obtained through the Vaccine for Children (VFC) program. That effort continues on a Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. basis at the Department’s main office, 3140 N Street. Since Influenza immunizations are now part of the childhood immunization schedule for this age group, no physician order is required to obtain the vaccine at the Department.

Beginning Tuesday, November 9th, the Department will begin providing Flu vaccine to high risk children, 2 years to 18 years of age. The vaccine will be provided Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. at the Department’s main office, 3140 N Street. For the 2-18 year old age group a written physician order MUST accompany the child at the time of vaccination.

On Saturday, November 13th, the Department will hold a public Flu clinic for high-risk individuals. The clinic will be held from 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at Lincoln East High School, 1000 South 70th Street. This is for high-risk individuals only, as listed under the current CDC recommendations for who should be vaccinated. Pneumococcal Polysaccharide vaccine will also be available for those over 65 years of age. Those on Medicare Part B can receive both Flu and Pneumococcal Polysaccharide vaccine at no cost. Those high-risk adults not on Medicare will be charged a flat fee of $17.00 for Flu vaccine and $26.00 for Pneumococcal Polysaccharide vaccine. Children 2-18 year old MUST have a written physician order at the time of vaccination.

The current CDC recommendations for who should be vaccinated this Flu season are:

**People 65 years of age and older**

OVER
Children ages 6 months to 23 months

Adults and children 2 years of age and older with chronic lung or heart disorders including heart disease and asthma

Pregnant women

Adults and children 2 years of age and older with chronic metabolic diseases (including diabetes), kidney diseases, blood disorders (such as sickle cell anemia), or weakened immune systems, including persons with HIV/AIDS

Children and teenagers, 6 months to 18 years of age, who take aspirin daily

Residents of nursing homes and other chronic-care facilities

Household members and out-of-home caregivers of infants under the age of 6 months (Children under the age of 6 months cannot be vaccinated.)

Healthcare workers who provide direct, hands-on care to patients

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department has been working with the Lancaster County Medical Society to assure that individuals in the top three priority categories, which were communicated to local health-care providers earlier as a recommendation for consideration when allocating limited vaccine supplies, were able to have first access to the vaccine. At this time, residents in local long-term care facilities have had the opportunity to receive flu vaccine. Also, the opportunity to obtain flu vaccine was provided to local health-care providers who had no vaccine for patients in these three categories.

The Department is now preparing as outlined above to make the remaining flu vaccine supplies available to all other high-risk individuals. It is our hope that we will be able to obtain additional vaccine, so we can continue to offer vaccine to high-risk individuals beyond just the public clinic on the 13th. If that becomes possible, we will communicate that to you and the public, through our flu hotline (441-0358) and the media. We appreciate the cooperation of our local providers and the patience of all those who have waited until our vaccine supplies increased to the point where we can plan public clinics.

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department does active weekly flu-like illness surveillance with 24 local provider practices and hopes to add weekly laboratory and hospital flu surveillance in the next few weeks. The additions will strengthen our current flu surveillance efforts.

**Physician Advisory Available By E-Mail**

Physicians, Advance Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants, Health-Care and Laboratory Administrators can receive periodic physician advisories by e-mail. Send an e-mail to ttimmons@ci.lincoln.ne.us with your name, type of practitioner (MD, ARNP, PA-C, Administrator, etc.) and location of practice.

PC  Mayor Coleen J. Seng
    Board of Health
    Steven Rademacher, MD, Medical Consultant
    Thomas Stalder, MD, Medical Consultant
    James Nora, MD, Medical Consultant
    Joan Anderson, Executive Director, Lancaster County Medical Society
MEMO

To: Lincoln Center Redevelopment Plan Recipients
   Lincoln City Council
   Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission
   Mayor's Office
   City Attorney's Office
   Bennett Martin Library
   Public Works Department
   City Clerk

From: Dallas McGee, Urban Development Department

Subject: Lincoln Center Redevelopment Plan Revisions: Haymarket 7th & 8th Street Core

Date: October 27, 2004

The Lincoln Center Redevelopment Plan was amended by City Council Resolution No. A-83005 on September 27, 2004.

This amendment incorporates a new redevelopment project into the plan: the Haymarket 7th & 8th Street Core Project. Attached are the pages of the plan that have been changed to reflect this amendment.

To update your copy of the plan, please remove the pages noted below and replace with the updated pages that are attached.

- Remove and Replace revised outside cover (3 ring binder);
- Remove and Replace inside cover
- Remove and Replace fifth page of the Table of Contents;
- Remove and Replace second page of Appendices;
- Remove and Replace sixth page of List of Exhibits;
- Remove and Replace page I-2;
- Remove and Replace page III-1;
- Remove and Replace page III-5;
- Remove and Replace page III-9;
- Remove and Replace page III-19a and III-20;
- Remove and Replace page IV-1;
- Insert pages IV-235 through IV-243;
- Insert Appendix 30 after Appendix 29.

If you have any questions or would like additional copies of the update, please call Kristi at 441-8206. Thank you.
Brian R. Watkins and 48th & St. Paul Projects
2039 Q
Lincoln, Nebraska 68503

November 6, 2004

City of Lincoln
Public Works
Attn: Dennis Bartels
555 South 10th
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

RE: Asphalt of North-South alley/48th and St. Paul

Dear Dennis:

I received your voice mail message advising me that Public Works would not approve the temporary asphalt repair of the City alley-way as referenced above. I am very disappointed.

As you know the City has approved a comprehensive redevelopment plan for University Place. It includes making the area in question a public parking/traffic flow area. With the many twists and turns that come in the way of swift construction of a plan of this magnitude we run across little issues (such as this alley-way) that appear small but have a history and reason behind them that would compel City cooperation, not City blockage.

Let me give you a small sample of how we got to the point of even asking the City for the approval to asphalt this little alley-way.

1. I have been involved with Mary O’Shea for over 5 years in trying to get this little neighborhood back on its feet and be something special for the City and State to come see and shop. The City has never done one thing to maintain the north-south or east-west alleyway over this period of time. We have paid for rock and gravel. We have paid for snow removal. We have had to check and maintain the sewer line. We can’t get the power pole out of the traffic flow and people just keep bumping into it with their cars. Really, we kind of gave up on the City.

2. While we were doing our thing we had purchased over $45,000.00 of materials to start to build a little shop on the land just east of this alley-way. We spent a few thousand for plans and about a thousand more on a parking lot plan. We were going to pave the alley-way under an executive order and build a little parking lot.

3. Then came Green’s fire.

4. Then came the idea to revisit the redevelopment plan and it lead to the current approved plan. We held off on doing something inconsistent with the overall plan. Still trying to be good citizens. This is getting costly.
5. Then the post Green’s loss of businesses. We lost about everyone adjoining this alleyway.

6. Not to despair. We have some really neat people in the area now. Young folks giving it a go in the world of free enterprise. I told them all to build their entrances out towards the alley-way because this is the way the plan wants the people traffic to flow. They did this.

I am now looking at a mess behind these shops. Would I like to get out in my high heels and walk over rock and or gravel to get to the shops? No I wouldn’t. Besides I don’t wear high heels. Still you get my point.

We worked with Urban Development and Traffic on this problem. They have found money in their budget to do the north-south portion, we will do our portion, and the east-west can get rock. See my attached bid. We are ready to go. We must go soon because the asphalt plant closes for the season on 12-15-04. Our bid time is running out. The little businesses are hitting their biggest time of year. You are holding up progress and killing them slowly.

This is intended as a temporary fix until the final plan goes forward. It will realistically be there not over two years. That is assuming a pace that I am now becoming accustomed to on these issues. Ice and snow can be better removed, customers won’t get muddy, it will look better.

Please reconsider your position. Please do it soon. On behalf of Ms. O’Shea and myself I thank you and your staff for your efforts.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Brian R. Watkins
Cc: Mayor Seng
   Urban Development
   City Council Members
PROPOSAL

Date 10/25/04

BRIAN WATKINS

Phone 730-8000
Fax 477-9752

JOB: 48th & ST. PAUL

EAST / WEST ALLEY -
Grade, lay 4" asphalt paving, 1280 sq. ft. @ $2.00/sq. ft. - $2560.00

NORTH / SOUTH ALLEY -
Grade, lay 4" asphalt paving, 2300 sq. ft. @ $2.00/sq. ft. - $4600.00

PARKING LOT EXTENSION -
Grade, lay 4" asphalt paving, 1800 sq. ft. @ $2.00/sq. ft. - $3600.00

We Hereby Propose to furnish labor and materials complete in accordance with the above specifications, for the sum of $______________________________

With payment to be made as follows: 30 days upon completion.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE______________________________

NOTE: We may withdraw this proposal if not accepted within 30 days.

Cather & Son’s Construction, Inc.
P.O. Box 29199, Lincoln, NE 68529
Phone (402) 464-2113  Fax (402) 464-6759

ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL - The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE______________________________ Date accepted______________________________
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MINUTES
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2004
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

Council Members Present: Terry Werner, Chair; Ken Svoboda, Vice-Chair; Jon Camp, Jonathan Cook, Patte Newman, Glenn Friendt, Annette McRoy.

Others Present: Mayor Coleen Seng, Mark Bowen, Ann Harrell, Darl Naumann (briefly), Corrie Kielty, Lin Quenzer, Mayor’s Office; City Clerk, Joan Ross; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Directors and Department Heads; Darrell Podany, Aide to Council Members Camp, Friendt, & Svoboda and Tammy Grammer, City Council Staff.

I. MAYOR

Mayor Coleen Seng stated to Council that quite a few staff has things that they’d like to report on. Mayor Seng commented that’s what took them so long, they were just kind of going around seeing what’s all going on.

Mayor Seng stated Allan Abbott was talking about his big piece of equipment the three of them saw this morning. Allan Abbott commented it’s unfortunate more of them couldn’t show up, but it was a pretty neat piece of equipment. It’s a truck that replaces three other pieces of equipment the truck itself costs almost $225,000, it replaced three trucks which kept them from preventing three other pieces of equipment that would of cost a little over $350,000, so it was actually a wise investment. It’s a combination truck as far as cleaning the streets the truck has nozzles for that, it also cleans out sanitary sewers and it’s a vacuum as well. Mr. Abbott indicated they had a little demonstration for the vacuum, they were picking up some bricks and bags of sand which were pretty impressive. Mr. Abbott commented about 8:00 a.m. tomorrow morning it’s going to go out and start doing what it was purchased to do. Again, he thinks it is a pretty neat piece of equipment and it shows off their technology has come and it also shows what’s needed in order to keep the streets and sewers and stuff in this town cleaned up and hopefully this will help them get the job done. Mr. Cook asked Mr. Abbott if they considered video taping this for channel 5? Mr. Abbott stated they had a couple of TV Stations out there, but doesn’t know whether or not channel 5 was there or not.

Assistant Police Chief Jim Peschong stated this weekend they had a homicide over on “K” Street between South 26th & 27th Streets. Basically it was an after hours party, an argument started and it became involved in a physical confrontation out on to the street and a gentleman was killed, Desmond Redstarr, who’s 22 years old was shoot in the head. A few hours later a gentleman, Ronald Grant, who’s an ex-con has
a history of violence that they’ve dealt with for a number of years was arrested. They’ve got a lot of loose ends to tie up on that, but at least the person responsible they feel in a way has been arrested.

Assistant Police Peschong stated around the first part of September they had a homicide that happened at 21st & “G” Street where a car load of people came there with some guns after there had been a fight there. The person they feel did that particular shooting at the house, not in regards to Larrick Johns who was actually killed, they think there’s probably a real good possibility that was done in self-defense. But, the gentleman who started shooting at the house and brought other parties over there in a car was arrested last night and so within the next day or two after the County Attorney’s Office reviews those reports they’ll probably be charging him.

Fire Chief Mike Spadt reported that early Saturday morning about 12:50 a.m. a house fire at 3046 “T” Street. They had one victim trapped on the second floor in the bedroom/bathroom combination, he’s at St. Elizabeth’s Burn Unit.

On Sunday morning about 12:41 a.m. they had a fire at 7920 Sandalwood, lost two dogs in that fire.

Also, on the situation at “Russ’s B&R” yesterday, he believes they’re open now. A hazardous material where a contractor was sealing the concrete floor with a chemical that was to be used outdoors only and it permeated indoors and some of the employees were complaining of headaches and illness. So, once they evacuated them, they recovered and he thinks everything is going to be fine. Chief Spadt stated he doesn’t know from the Health Department stand-point if there’s going to be any further thought.

Steve Beal stated they’ve received some more flu vaccine which is good news, they know it’s been pretty much a short supply. They’re going to have their first public clinic this coming Saturday from 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at Lincoln East High School, they’re anticipating a big turn out for it and parking will be better out there, it will be safer. They talked to the Police Chief about it and his recommendation was that they look at an outside location for it. They’re staffed and prepared to do up to 1,500 or more immunizations that day and also this week they’re starting to give vaccine to children. They were giving it to children 6 months to 23 months, they’re now giving it to children and young kids 2 years of age up to 18 years of age and so again they’re focus is on what’s consistent with the Centers for Disease Control guidelines. They’re going to be able to get a lot more vaccine out in the community now that they have received this shipment, they received about 2,500 doses, but they’re still a little short. Mr. Friendt asked Mr. Beal if any of this vaccine is available through your personal medical doctor. Mr. Beal stated ‘yes’, some doctors
have it and some don’t, again, depending upon if they ordered from Chiron or Aventis. Mr. Beal stated that Aventis has been honoring a lot of those physicians that didn’t have their vaccine ordered from them so not all of them do, so there are still some folks that will call them and say my doctor doesn’t have any vaccine can they get it from them. So, hopefully this clinic that they have on Saturday particularly for people 65 and older that couldn’t get it from their physician can get it from the Health Department. Ms. McRoy asked Mr. Beal if there will be a press release going out with the criteria for who should come to Lincoln East on Saturday. Mr. Beal replied ‘yes’, he looked at it right before he came over here and so he thinks that they’re probably sending it out as they speak.

Ray Hill stated this afternoon for Items 2 & 3 the staff would like an opportunity to discuss with Council the pros and cons of public streets that they had recommended the development have. Mr. Hill stated the Planning Commission recommended approval through private roadways over their objections. Allan Abbott stated he believes they have a memo signed by him and Marvin Krout about how much of a mistake they think this will be to have a private road operating in that particular area. Mr. Abbott commented so again why the Planning Commission did what they did, neither one of them knows, but they believe it’s a mistake. [Copy of the Memo from Allan Abbott and Marvin Krout is on file in the City Council Office.]

[Change of Zone 04057-Application of Hoegemeyer Palmer Construction for a change of zone from AG Agricultural District to R-3 Residential District on property generally located on the east side of S. 84th Street, south of Kathy Lane; and Special Permit 04042 -Application of Hoegemeyer Palmer Construction to develop Lattimer’s Addition Community Unit Plan for 13 single family dwelling units, with requested waivers to the preliminary plat process and the recreation plan requirement, on property generally located on the east side of S. 84th Street, south of Kathy Lane.]

Mayor Seng commented the Parks & Recreation Department has a little concern about the meeting tomorrow night. Lynn Johnson stated the Woods Park Neighborhood Association has scheduled a public meeting to discuss the Woods Park Master Plan. Unfortunately, the way that the information was distributed it’s a little misleading and suggested that Parks & Recreation have plans to develop three additional tennis courts and they certainly don’t at this point. Mr. Johnson stated he’ll give them a little history on it. They started in July and met this summer and based on a commitment they’ve made to the Woods Park Neighborhood Association to update the Master Plan and as they may know that’s a very rare unusual Master Plan. It was adopted by the City Council by Resolution in 1992 after he thinks a fairly contentious issue regarding the bubble and so they started in July, they had a public meeting and identified all of their discussions that they thought they were going to address. It was part of the Park Planning Committee and they had three
meetings and three out of the four meetings went very well. The issue that has surfaced is that there are residents of the Woods Park Neighborhood who are adamantly opposed to ... there are three tennis courts showing as being proposed that are just east of Woods pool and they had recommended that they be taken off and it was the Woods Tennis Center that were interested in seeing them remaining. They had recommended that there be 12 additional courts in this park and City Council approved 6, so they feel that they’re pretty possessive of those remaining three courts. Mr. Johnson stated they indicated at that meeting the department did not have the ability to take those off the Master Plan because they were approved by the City Council only the City Council could do it and suggested that the Neighborhood Association have time to develop a formal position on this that they bring it to the Parks & Recreation Advisory Board. Mr. Johnson indicated they suggested February because of the timing of meeting and then perhaps next spring they’ll be able to bring the full discussion to the City Council for review and adoption of this updated Master Plan. Mr. Johnson stated he expects this to be a fairly contentious meeting tomorrow night in part because of the way that it has been advertised and they all know that there’s a fair amount of history with Woods Park relative to improvements particularly in that end. Mr. Johnson said he had a lot of discussion with the individual who generated it and he was sort of mislead, he was not at the final meeting and he indicated that his intention had been [inaudible] of the neighborhood. But, when he understood the discussion hadn’t really taken place, he felt...he thinks a little bad about it. So, he is planning on going and having a discussion with the Woods Park Leadership tonight about how that meeting is going to be facilitated because he doesn’t intend to be a target for this. Mr. Johnson commented they’ve had good discussions to this point and he thinks they can work through this, but he doesn’t agree with an approach that encourages a lot of motion in the neighborhood or on an issue that doesn’t exist. Mayor Seng commented Jonathan [Cook] she hopes that he might be able to attend the meeting tomorrow night with Lynn [Johnson], if he can. Mr. Cook stated he’ll see if he can.

1. Mayor Coleen J. Seng comments on Monday, November 1, 2004 at the 11:30 a.m. Directors-City Council Meeting. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Deadline Extended For Parade Judges. — NO COMMENTS

3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Separation Of Yard Waste Continues Through November. — NO COMMENTS

4. Director’s Report-October 2004 from Bonnie Coffey, Lincoln/Lancaster Women’s Commission. — NO COMMENTS
II. DIRECTORS

FINANCE

Don Herz stated they are planning next Tuesday to do the public auction of the revenue bonds for the water system and he thinks they’re planning on doing an Internet auction. So, if there’s anybody from the Council that might be interested in observing, let him know and they may want to discuss it at their “Noon” Meeting. Mr. Herz stated he will let them know the time and location, but that’s tentatively planned a week from tomorrow.


FINANCE DEPARTMENT/CITY TREASURER

1. Material from Don Herz, Finance Director & Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer - RE: Resolution & Finance Department, Treasurer of Lincoln, Nebraska - Investments Purchased October 18 thru October 29, 2004. — NO COMMENTS

HUMAN SERVICES

1. Material from Kit Boesch - RE: Low Income Bus Tickets-Pilot Project Update. — NO COMMENTS

LIBRARY

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Lincoln City Libraries Ranks 7th in National Index. — NO COMMENTS

PLANNING


2. Letter & Material from Jean Walker - RE: Change of Zone No. 04071 and Change of Zone No. 04072 (Proposed Text Amendment to Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code). — NO COMMENTS
3. Response E-Mail from Jean Walker to Jackson Meredith - RE: Oppose the Proposed Motorcycle Racetrack by Wilderness Park-Change of Zone No. 04068 and Special Permit No. 04057. — NO COMMENTS

4. Letter from Becky Horner to Dan Thomson, Olsson Associates - RE: Landmark Corporate Center 1st Addition Final Plat #04081 - Generally located at N. 33rd Street and Eastpark Circle. — NO COMMENTS

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION ..... 

1. Special Permit No. 04053 (Dwelling for Domestic Employee - 1701 S. 98th Street) Resolution No. PC-00891. — NO COMMENTS

2. Waiver No. 04014 (4239 Calvert Street) Resolution No. PC-00892. — NO COMMENTS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT - 

Steve Masters stated to Council on their Agenda for today, Item 13 under 1st Reading is a clean up item relatively to their Solid Waste Ordinance, giving definition to special waste as a solid waste and they’ve been working on that with the Health Department. They’ve met with haulers and they have invited them to meetings and this item will be up for hearing next week. [Amending Chapter 8.32 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to incorporate the term special waste into the definition of refuse, update definitions, and clarify who must be licensed to haul certain waste to better reflect the intent of the code.]

III. CITY CLERK

City Clerk Joan Ross stated to Council that she’ll call Items 2 & 3 together and then as they see there’s a request for 2nd & 3rd Reading. [Change of Zone 04057-Application of Hoegemeyer Palmer Construction for a change of zone from AG Agricultural District to R-3 Residential District on property generally located on the east side of S. 84th Street, south of Kathy Lane; and Special Permit 04042-Application of Hoegemeyer Palmer Construction to develop Lattimer’s Addition Community Unit Plan for 13 single family dwelling units, with requested waivers to the preliminary plat process and the recreation plan requirement, on property generally located on the east side of S. 84th Street, south of Kathy Lane.]
For Items 4 & 5, she was wondering if these items could be called together, which relate to pay range. Mr. Werner stated that’s fine. [Amending the pay schedules of employees whose classifications are assigned to the pay range which is prefixed by the letter “M” by creating the job classification of “Utilities Security Manager”; and Amending the pay schedules of employees whose classifications are assigned to the pay range which is prefixed by the letter “A” by changing the job classification title “Assistant Superintendent of Equipment Management” to “Assistant Superintendent of Fleet Services” and “Superintendent of Equipment Management” to “Superintendent of Fleet Services”; and amending the pay schedules of employees whose classifications are assigned to the pay range which is prefixed by the letter “C” by creating the job classification of “Visitor Services Coordinator” and deleting the job classification “Meter Reader III”.

She handed out to them the Substitute Resolution in regards to Item 28. Mr. Werner stated to Dana Roper since the substitute is the one that was advertised and signed, do they need to still have it as a substitute. Mr. Roper stated he thinks that they can now call it the Resolution. [Accepting the report of new and pending claims against the City and approving disposition of claims set forth therein for the period of October 15-31, 2004.]

IV. COUNCIL

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

JONATHAN COOK - NO COMMENTS


2. Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director - RE: Stop Signs at 30th & Calvert (RFI#121-11/03/04). — NO COMMENTS

GLENN FRIENDT - NO COMMENTS

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Public Works /Law /Urban Development - RE: Alley improvements (RFI#38 - 8/16/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DENNIS BARTELS, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#38 - 8/23/04. – 2.) SEE RESPONSE FROM JEFF COLE, URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#38 - 8/26/04. — NO COMMENTS
2. OUTSTANDING Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director/ Marvin Krout, Planning Director - RE: Williamsburg Lake Dredging (RFI#39 - 8/17/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM KARL FREDRICKSON, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#39-10/01/04. — NO COMMENTS

PATTE NEWMAN - NO COMMENTS

1. Request to Marc Wullschleger, Urban Development; Don Herz and Steve Hubka, Finance; Allan Abbott and W. Telen, Public Works; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Marvin Krout, Planning - RE: Antelope Valley Project, Tax Increment Financing (in relationship to non-profit organizations and the current Michigan and Connecticut court cases on eminent domain and their effects on T.I.F funding here) and Consultants and Consulting fee funding. (RFI #27 - 10-01-04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM MARVIN KROUT, PLANNING DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#27-10/06/04. – 2.) SEE RESPONSE FROM JOEL PEDERSEN, CITY LAW DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#27 - 10/18/04. — NO COMMENTS

TERRY WERNER - NO COMMENTS

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04). — NO COMMENTS

2. Request to Dana Roper, City Attorney - RE: Butchering Animals (RFI#138-11/01/04). — NO COMMENTS

3. Request to Police Chief Casady - RE: “The Office Gentlemen’s Club” on Folsom Street (RFI#139-11/03/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM POLICE CHIEF CASADY RECEIVED ON RFI#139-11/03/04. — NO COMMENTS

ANNETTE McROY - NO COMMENTS
JON CAMP -

Mr. Camp asked Don Herz if there was any decision on the Appeals Board regarding the fire truck purchase. Mr. Herz stated the Appeals Board met Thursday and they just sent that in this morning at 10:00 a.m and he hasn’t had a chance to sit down and visit with the Mayor. So, probably this afternoon they’ll be able to release it, but ‘yes’ they got it this morning.

B. COUNCIL COMMENTS - NO FURTHER COMMENTS

V. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Fred Freytag, President, Witherbee Neighborhood Association - RE: In Support of the Neighborhood Design Standards. — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail from Renee Malone, Clinton Neighborhood Board member - RE: Support of Neighborhood standards. — NO COMMENTS

3. E-Mail from Bob Hampton - RE: Need sewers. — NO COMMENTS

4. E-Mail from Jackson Meredith - RE: Oppose the Proposed Motorcycle Racetrack by Wilderness Park. — NO COMMENTS

5. Letter from Shelley R. Sahling-Zart, Vice President& Assistant Counsel, Lincoln Electric System - RE: The 2005 LES Budget. — NO COMMENTS

6. E-Mail from Deborah Myers - RE: The new smoking ban. — NO COMMENTS

7. E-Mail from Ben McElroy - RE: The new smoking ban going into effect. — NO COMMENTS

8. Letter from Tammy Ready - RE: Wondering what would it take to pave SW 17th Street? — NO COMMENTS

9. E-Mail from Monte Pierce - RE: The new smoking ban. — NO COMMENTS
10. Letter from Jim Fram, CCE, CED President, Lincoln Chamber of Commerce to Marvin Krout, Planning Director - RE: Change of Zone #04069. — NO COMMENTS

11. E-Mail from Brice Sullivan - RE: The new smoking ban. — NO COMMENTS

**ADDENDUM - (For November 8th)**

**I. MAYOR**

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Enforcement Of Smoking Ban To Begin January 1ST. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule Week of November 6 through November 12, 2004 - Schedule subject to change. — NO COMMENTS

3. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng and members of the Lincoln City Council will see a demonstration of the new combination vacuum/jet flusher truck at 10:15 a.m. on Monday, November 8th at the City’s Street Maintenance Facility, 901 N. 6th Street. — Allan Abbott mentioned this issue earlier under “I. Mayor”. (See that discussion)

**II. CITY CLERK - NONE**

**III. CORRESPONDENCE**

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE - NONE

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

1. Physician Advisory from Bruce Dart - RE: Influenza Vaccine Update. — Steve Beal mentioned this issue earlier under “I. Mayor”. (See that discussion)

URBAN DEVELOPMENT

1. Memo & Material from Dallas McGee - RE: Lincoln Center Redevelopment Plan Revisions: Haymarket 7th & 8th Street Core Project -replacement pages (Council received their copies of this Material on 11/8/04) (Copy of this Material on file in the City Council Office). — NO COMMENTS
C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. Letter from Brian Watkins to Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities Department
   -RE: Asphalt of North-South alley/48th and St. Paul. — NO COMMENTS

[End of Addendum]

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED - Approximately at 11:21 a.m.